

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

40(8): 57-65, 2022; Article no.AJAEES.86026 ISSN: 2320-7027

# Structural Breaks, Growth and Instability Test in Onion Cultivation: A Case of Saurashtra Region of Gujarat

S. Hemagandhi<sup>a</sup>, V. D. Tarpara<sup>b\*†</sup> and K. M. Vasavada<sup>a#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics, JAU, Junagadh, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2022/v40i830937

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <a href="https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86026">https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86026</a>

**Original Research Article** 

Received 09 February 2022 Accepted 19 April 2022 Published 28 April 2022

# ABSTRACT

Onion is one of the most important commercial vegetable crops grown in India. Among the bulb crops onion is the only member grown to a great extent in this country. The historical data on area, production and yield of onion from Gujarat were collected for 26 years from the year 1992-93 to 2017-2018 and analysis was carried out using compound growth rate, Cuddy- Della Vella index and Structural break analysis (Chow test). This study was conducted on onion cultivation in Gujarat for the study period of 1992-93 to 2017-18. To capture the impact of NHM (National Horticulture Mission), the study period was re-categorized into: Pre- NHM Period (1992-93 to 2004-05); Post-NHM Period (2005-06 to 2017-18) and Overall Period (1992-93 to 2017-18). Major onion producing districts of Gujarat were selected for analysis. In case of growth rate and instability the yield was showed negative during study period so, more emphasis should be given to enhance the yield level with the help of innovations and technology in onion cultivation. structural break points plays a vital role regarding the forecasting purpose. For, structural break chow test was carried out, the results revealed that occur of structural break in particular district due to area and yield components used in this study.

<sup>†</sup>Associate Research Scientist;

<sup>#</sup>Senior Research Fellow;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: vasavadakairav39@gmail.com;

Keywords: Onion cultivation; Structural breaks; Growth rate; Instability analysis.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Onion (*Allium cepa* L) is an important spice and vegetable crop known not only for household consumption but also as the highest foreign exchange earner among fruits and vegetables. Onion has the primary center of origin in Central Asia and secondary center of origin in the Near East Asia. ). It contains vitamin 'B', traces of vitamin 'C', carbohydrates, protein and traces of minerals like iron, calcium and phosphorus. The outstanding characteristic of onion is its pungency, this is due to a volatile oil known as allyl prophyl disulphide.

It is an important bulb crop grown throughout the world and is commercially cultivated in 175 countries. It is cultivated year-round by small and marginal farmers but maximum during *rabi* season in India. India ranks second in the world in area and production of onion and third in exports after Netherlands and Spain [1]. Vegetable crops like onion play a unique role in developing countries like India both in economic and social sphere for improving income and nutritional status particularly of rural masses [2].

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This present study is based on the secondary data on area, production and productivity of onion crop during 1994-95 to 2017-18. As National Horticulture Mission (NHM) was implemented in India from 2005-06 onwards. The study period was classified as pre-NHM (1994-95 to 2004-05), post-NHM (2004-05 to 2017-18) and

#### For combined period

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_t + u_1$$

the overall period (1994-95 to 2017-18). Accordingly, for onion crop the districts of Bhavnagar and Junagadh were selected.

#### 2.1 Structural Break Analysis

In order to test for a structural break, we often use the Chow test [3] which in effect uses an Ftest to determine whether a single regression is more efficient than two separate regressions involving splitting the data into two sub- samples. In Chow Test, we assume that a break point data is divided into two periods, for e.g. pre NHM period I (1994-95 to 2004-05) and post NHM period II (2004-05 to 2017-18).Separate regressions can be considered for each periods and pooling the observations for each periods together a combined regression (1994-95 to 2017-18) can also be considered.

Let us consider the two variables regression model as follows:

#### For Period I

$$Yt = \beta 10 + \beta 11Xt + u1t \quad t = 1, 2, ..., n_1 \quad (1)$$

For Period II

$$Y_t = \beta_{20} + \beta_{21} X_t + u_{2t} \qquad t = 1, 2, ..., n_2 \qquad (2)$$

Where,  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the number of observations in two periods. Now combining  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ observations, it can be consider one regression for pooled sample.

(3)

In each regression the error term satisfies all the classical linear regression assumptions; that is, u1t ~NID (0, $\sigma$ 2), u2t ~NID (0, $\sigma$ 2) and ut ~NID (0, $\sigma$ 2) One of the main restrictions is that the variable must be equal. In other words, this regression assumes that the intercept as well as the slope coefficient remains the same over the entire period; that is, there is no structural change. If this is in fact the situation, then  $\beta_0 = \beta_{10} = \beta_{20}$  and  $\beta_1 = \beta_{11} = \beta_{21}$ . Regression assume that the regressions in the two time periods are different; that is, the intercept and the slope coefficient are different (Gujarati, 2005).

The structural model to be used in the study of production function type and it is given as follows:

 $t=1,2,...,n_1+n_2$ 

$$Q_{t} = \alpha_{0} A_{t} {}^{\beta_{1}} R_{t} {}^{\beta_{2}} F_{t} {}^{\beta_{3}} Y_{t} {}^{\beta_{4}} T_{t} {}^{\beta_{5}} u_{t} \qquad t = 1, 2, ... n$$
(4)

Where  $Q_t$  is the aggregated production at t<sup>th</sup> year,  $A_t$  is cultivated area of land at t<sup>th</sup> year,  $R_t$  is the rainfall of total aggregate crop t<sup>th</sup> year,  $F_t$  is aggregated fertilizer at t<sup>th</sup> year,  $Y_t$  is yield for total aggregated crops at t<sup>th</sup> year,  $T_t$  is the time period is that the variable must be equal and ut is the disturbance term. Here, production is dependent variable then Rainfall, area, aggregate fertilizer, yield and time period was independent variables.

Since equation no (4) it is a non-linear function, to apply OLS it will transform into log linear function as follows:

$$\ln Q_t = \ln \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \ln A_t + \beta_2 \ln R_t + \beta_3 \ln F_t + \beta_4 \ln Y_t + \beta_5 \ln T_t + u_t$$
(5)

$$Q_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}A_{t} + \beta_{2}R_{t} + \beta_{3}F_{t} + \beta_{4}Y_{t} + \beta_{5}T_{t} + u_{t}$$
(6)

Suppose the structural change of the Cobb-Douglas production function is as:

$$Q_t = \alpha_{10} A_t \beta_{11}^{\beta_{11}} R_t \beta_{12}^{\beta_{12}} F_t \beta_{13}^{\beta_{13}} Y_t \beta_{14}^{\beta_{15}} u_{1t} \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$$
(7)

This can be expressed in logarithmic form as:

$$Qt = \beta 10 + \beta 11A1t + \beta 12R2t + \beta 13F3t + \beta 14Y4t + \beta 15T5t + u1t$$
(8)

And after the structural change, it is

Qt = 
$$\alpha_{20}$$
 At  $\beta_{21}$  Rt $\beta_{22}$  Ft $\beta_{23}$  Yt $\beta_{24}$  Tt $\beta_{25}$  u2t t= 1,2,...n2 (9)

This can be expressed in logarithmic form as:

$$Qt = \beta_{20} + \beta_{21}At + \beta_{22}Rt + \beta_{23}Ft + \beta_{24}Yt + \beta_{25}Tt + u_{2t}$$
(10)

The test statistic of Chow-test is given below:

$$F = \frac{RSS/RSS1 + RSS2}{RSS1 + RSS2 \pm (n1 + n2 - 2k)} \sim F(n_1 + n_2 - 2k)$$
(11)

Where, k is the number of parameters in the model including the intercept term; RSS, RSS1 & RSS2 is the residual sum of square with (n-p),  $(n_1-p)$  &  $(n_2-p)$ , respectively. RSS= RSS<sub>1</sub>+RSS<sub>2</sub>. Here, number of parameter k=6 then n<sub>1</sub>=12 and n<sub>2</sub>=12.

#### 2.2 Compound Growth Rate

The compound growth rates (CGRs) of onion was calculated by using the exponential function of the following specification:

$$Y_t = ab^t$$

Where,  $Y_t$  is Dependent variable (Area, Production and productivity of onion crop in the year 't'

The parameters were estimated by converting the equation in logarithmic form and then tested for its significance by using Student's t-test

#### 2.3 Instability Analysis

In order to study variability in onion, an index of instability index was used as a measure of variability. The coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated by using the following formula:

$$CV(\%) = S/\overline{X} *100$$

The trend coefficient was tested for its significance. Whenever, the trend coefficient was found to be significant, the variation around the trend rather than variation around mean was used to measure instability. The formula

suggested by Cuddy and Della [4] was used to compute the degree of variation around the trend.

$$Ix = CV\sqrt{(1 - R^2)}$$

Where, Ix is Instability Index, CV is Coefficient of variation and  $R^2$  is adjusted coefficient of multiple determination.

# Matrix of association between Growth and Instability

Based on variation in rates and instability indices the variables under consideration were classified four fold-typology as follows



- 1. **High Growth/ High Instability (HG-HI):** The variables whose growth rate and instability are greater than the average;
- High Growth/Low Instability (HG-LI): The variables whose growth rate is higher than the average but the instability is lower;
- Low Growth/ High Instability (LG-HI): The variables with growth rate below average and instability above average; and
- 4. Low Growth/Low Instability (LG-LI): The variables with growth rates and instability below average.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Structural Break Analysis

A test for structural change was employed to determine whether the coefficients in a regression model are the same in separate sub samples. In econometrics, the Chow test is most commonly used in time series analysis to test for the presence of a structural break. An informal test, time plot technique was used to identify the breaks in onion production of time series data during 1994-95 to 2017-18. In Chow test approach, three regressions were run, for whole sample (1994-95 to 2017-2018) and for two sub

samples (*i.e.*, 1994-95 to 2005-06 and 2006-07 to 2017-18) by which we can compare the results before and after structural break with combine results.

From the above Table 1, it was observed that the value of  $\beta_0$  is -819.78 which represents that the effect of cultivated area (At), Rainfall (RF), Fertilizer and yield (Yt) are zero. The average production of onion is -819.78 units and t- statistic is -3.17 and p-value is 0.0052 implies that at 5% level of significance the state of technology was significant during 1994-95 to 2017-18. Elasticity of cultivated area to production  $\beta 1 = 29.24$ represents the production of output increases 29.24 unit if cultivated area (At) increases one unit, having all other coefficients are fixed and tstatistic = 36.68 and its p value= 2.27 implies that at 5% level of significance the effect of cultivated area was insignificant. Elasticity of yield to production β4=0.02 represents the production of output increases 0.022 unit if distribution of yield increases one unit, having all other coefficients are fixed and t-statistic = 3.96 and its p value= 0.0009 implies that at 5% level of significance the effect of distributed yield was significant.

The  $R^2 = 0.9892$  shows explanatory power of the model. Then the calculated Chow statistic f value was 0.8000, which being less than the table f value, an insignificant results was found also, so there was no structural break in Junagadh district. In the whole period, reveals that at least, variables among the factors considered the presence of a structural change. Similar results were found in Onion crop with f value was insignificant and no structural break in Maharashtra state.

From the above Table 2, whole period 1994-95 to 2017-18, the value of Chow statistic 6.86 reveals the at least one structural break in Bhavnagar district at 5 % level of significance during the period of 1994-95 to 2017-18. In addition  $R^2$  value of 0.98 indicates that the variable (Area, Rainfall, Fertilizer, Yield and Time period) are best fit to explain the model and 98% change in production is explained by the variable explained in the model. Among the factor considered area (27.41) and yield (0.16) show significant effect, on an average, over production at 1% and 5% level of significance respectively. Accordingly the presence of structural change in onion may be due to area and yield.

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	R <sup>2</sup>	Chow f	Table f
Intercept							Table f 5%
(β0)	-819.78	258.2901	-3.17	0.0052			2.99612
At (β1)	29.24	0.7971	36.68	2.27E-06	0.98	0.8000	Table f 1%
RF (β2)	-0.13	0.0811	-1.62	0.1222			4.820574
Ft							
(NPK)							
(β3)	1.04	1.0675	0.98	0.3397			
Yt (β4)	0.02	0.0068	3.96	0.0009			
Τ (β5)	-1.80	4.1406	-0.43	0.6683			

Table 1. Estimation results of onion for whole period in Junagadh (1994-95 to 2017-18)

### Table 2. Estimation results of onion production in Bhavnagar (1994-95 to 2017-18)

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	R <sup>2</sup>	Chow f	Table f
Intercept							Table f 5%
(β0)	-3866.92	1121.938	-3.4466	0.0028			2.9961 2
Ät (β1)	27.41	1.4924	18.3687	4.16E-13	0.9874	6.8687	Table f 1%
RF (β2)	-0.33	1.0320	-0.3234	0.7501			4.8205
Ft (NPK)							74
(β3)	-1.04	5.4021	-0.1925	0.8494			
Ÿt(β4)	0.16	0.0524	3.2241	0.0047			
t(β5)	-16.53	29.397	-0.5623	0.5808			

#### Table 3. District wise mean and standard deviation of onion cultivation in Gujarat

Districts	Period I (19	94-95 to 2004-05)	Period II ( 2 2017-18)	2005-06 to	Period III ( 1 18)	994-95 to 2017-
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Bhavnagar						
Area	154.46	78.01	316.92	122.35	235.69	130.26
Production	3813.31	2229.5	8896.12	3616.83	6354.71	3922.01
Yield	23.59	3.31	28.11	2.18	25.85	3.58
Junagadh						
Area	26.07	19.54	54.60	34.72	40.34	31.20
Production	826	717.46	1616.64	1060.24	1221.32	974.26
Yield	29.77	5.46	29.83	1.43	29.80	3.91

At the same time period II (2005-06 to 2017-18), the mean of area and production was highest in Bhavnagar 316.92 ha and 8896.12 tonnes followed by Junagadh 54.60 ha and 1616.64 tonnes respectively. The mean of yield was observed highest in Junagadh 29.83 tonnes per hectare

### 3.2 Trend in Growth Dimension of Onion

Onion in Gujarat has undergone significant change over the years. The production of onion has apparently increased owing to the growing importance of the crop on both the domestic and international fronts. But it has to be seen whether the production has increased due to area expansion or due to improvement in yield.

In this study, it can be seen that growth dimension of onion (i.e. area, production and yield) in different districts. The present analysis was carried out to understand the trend in area, production and yield of onion. The mean and standard deviation (S.D) of area, production and

yield of major onion producing districts of Gujarat was given in Table 3. This data shows that the mean value of area, production and yield in period II (2005-06 to 2017-18) in all the district was found higher when compared to period I (1994-95 to 2004-05). It validates that after implementation of NHM the mean of area, production and yield showed increasing trends in all the selected districts. In terms of overall period (1994-95 to 2017-18), Bhavnagar district recorded highest mean of area of 235.69 ha, Junagadh district observed highest mean of production and yield of 1221.32 tonnes and respectively 29.80 tons per hectare. Coming to period I, the mean of area and production was highest in Bhavnagar district 154.56 ha and

3813.31 tonnes respectively, whereas Mean of yield was highest in Junagadh district 29.77 tonnes per hectare.

The results from the analysis revealed that the Bhavnagar (2.08% per annum) showed positive non-significant growth whereas Junagadh (-1.69% per annum) recorded negative and non-significant growths in area during period I. simultaneously, the compound growth rate of production was found positive and non-significant in Bhavnagar (3.14% per annum) while Junagadh (-0.85% per annum) showed negative non-significant growth rates. The productivity growth rate of Bhavnagar (1.00% per annum) and Junagadh (0.74% per annum) showed positive and significant growth during Period-I.

For period II (2005-06 to 2017-18), the district wise growth rate of area showed positive and non-significant growth in Bhavnagar (0.58% per annum) whereas Junagadh (-9.39 % per annum) showed negative and non-significant growth. The production growth rate revealed same trend like area, showed positive and non-significant growth in Bhavnagar (0.66% per annum) whereas Junagadh (-9.45 % per annum) showed negative and non-significant growth. The growth of productivity was found to be positively significant for Bhavnagar (0.08% per annum) and negative but significant in Junagadh (- 0.10% per annum) Similar results were found in some agriculture crop with growth of productivity was insignificant and negative growth rate in kahta district.

Coming to the overall study Period III (1992-93 to 2017-18), the growth rate of area showed positive and non-significant growth in all the selected districts and Bhavnagar (4.91% per annum) district had highest growth rate followed by Junagadh (3.39% per annum). The growth rate of

production were also found positive and significant growth rate in both the district under study. The productivity growth rate was observed positive and significant at 1% level in Bhavnagar (1.19 % per annum) and Junagadh (0.17 % per annum) districts. The influence of NHM was positive in growth rate of area, production and yield. Bairwa *et.al.*[5], analysed the growth performance of fruit crops, they also found positive growth rate in area, production and yield over the study period (1991 to 2007). The area under sapota was registered highest CGR of 11.74 per cent followed by citrus (4.98 per cent), mango (4.58 per cent) respectively.

# 3.3 Instability Analysis of Onion in Saurashtra Region

Instability means deviation from the trend. Coefficient of variation and Cuddy- Della Valle Index (corrected coefficient of Variation) were used in the present study to calculate instability in onion cultivation. The index of CDV is preferred over CV because it can provide clear direction about instability in the variable and to examine the extent of risk involved in onion production. Besides CV has some limitations in calculating instability of time series data, as it discards trend and thereby overestimate instability. That is why, CDV alone should be used when there is significant trend. Otherwise CV (%) alone sufficient to measure instability. Significance of the trend is determined by running a simple OLS regression whereby t statistic obtained to test the effect of beta coefficients. The effect of beta coefficient was found to be significant indicate the significance of the underlying trend. A high value of this index indicates the high instability in production and vice-versa.

<b>Study Period</b>						
Districts Period I (1992		93 to 2004-05)	) Period II ( 2005-06 to 2017-18)		Period III ( 1992-93 to 2017-18)	
	CGR (%)	SE (b)	CGR (%)	SE (b)	CGR (%)	SE (b)
Bhavnagar						
Area	2.08	0.70	0.58	0.58	4.91	0.63
Production	3.14	0.82	0.66	0.60	6.06	0.72
Yield	1.00***	0.14	0.08***	0.07	1.19***	0.11
Junagadh						
Area	-1.69	0.78	-9.39	0.78	3.39	0.99
Production	-0.85	0.82	-9.45	0.73	3.55	0.78
Yield	0.74***	0.17	-0.10***	0.04	0.17***	0.13

Table 4. District wise compound growth rate analysis of on	onion in Gujarat
--	------------------

Note: 1. \*, \*\* and \*\*\* indicates significance at 10%,5% and1% levels, respectively;

2. CGR-Compound Growth Rate and SE- Standard error of the coefficient estimates;

3. Period I, Period II, Period III are pre-NHM, Post- NHM and Overall periods of study

Study Period							
Districts	Period I	(1992-93 t	o 2004-05)	Period II (2 18)	2005-06 to 2017-	Period III 2017-18)	(1992-93 to
	CV (%)	C	DV (%)	CV (%)	CDV (%)	CV (%)	CDV (%)
Bhavnagar							
Area		50.50	49.98	45.71	43.68	57.51	46.42
Production		58.46	55.61^	47.32	45.13	63.50	50.15^
Yield		14.05	13.90	27.74	26.46	23.57	18.65
Junagadh							
Area		85.19	83.16^	72.61	66.85	78.01	77.53
Production		87.69	86.66^	75.13	69.01	81.05	79.62
Yield		28.04	28.74	31.24	31.13	24.00	20.71

1 abic J. District wise instability analysis uurinu (1332-2010)
---

Note: 1. CV-Coefficient of variation (%), CDV-Cuddy-Della Vella index (%). 2. ^- Non significant values and only CV (%) is to be considered

The results of instability analysis of onion crop in selected two districts are presented in Table 4. From the analysed results, it was observed that in period I (1992-93 to 2004-05), as CDV (%) was found insignificant for area in Junagadh districts and the production was insignificant in Bhavnagar and Junagadh districts so, CV (%) considered to measure of instability. The instability measures CDV (%) of area was highest in Bhavnagar (49.98%). At the same time for yield, lowest instability was found in Bhavnagar district (13.90%). In terms of CV (%) the instability of area was found highest in Junagadh (85.19%) district.

In period II (2005-06 to 2017-18), the instability in area was found to be highest in Junagadh district with the CDV value of (66.85 %) district. In case of production, a similar trend was found in instability. Junagadh district recorded highest instability with the CDV value of (69.01 %). Similar results were found in production condition of ginger in north east region by Das (2016).

During the overall period (1992-93 to 2017-18), CDV (%) of production variable in Bhavnagar district found insignificant that is why CV (%) taken for measure of instability. The area was ascertained with highest instability in Junagadh (77.53 %) district. Similar result was also found in production also Junagadh district (79.62 %) was observed highest instability. In case of productivity Junagadh (20.71%) and Bhavnagar (18.65 %) observed medium instability. The results showed that after the [implementation of NHM the instability rate decreased compared to pre-NHM period. Deka, S. (6] analysed the instability of vegetable crops in north eastern states, the result revealed that instability of yield variable was lower as compared to area and production instability as same as in this study and Nagaland state gives highest instability with CDV (%) of area (68.4 %) and production (34.1 %). Similar results were found by Dekha (2014) in Vegetable crops in north eastern region in which instability with CDV (%) of area (65.4 %) and production (42.4 %).

# 3.4 Trade of between Growth and Instability

Matrix of association between growth and instability figures (%) was carried out using the four fold typology as given in methodology section. The four fold typology consisted of the following desirable and non-desirable situation:

High Growth-Low Instability: Most desirable situation

High Growth-High Instability: Desirable situation

Low Growth-Low Instability: Least desirable Low Growth-High Instability: Not desirable

Accordingly, the classification of growth and instability in terms of area, production and yield of the onion was in turn assumed in the following order as per the existing literature available [7].

Low growth	: < 10%	Low instability	: < 30%
High growth	: > 10%	High instability	: > 30%

# Table 6. Growth-instability trade-off in area, production and productivity of onion in Gujaratduring 1992-93 to 2017-18

Area particular	High growth	Low growth
High instability		Bhavnagar (4.9, 46.42) Junagadh (3.39, 77.53)
Low instability		
Production particulars	High growth	Low growth
High instability		Bhavnagar (6.06, 63.50) Junagadh (3.55, 79.62)
Low instability		
Productivity particulars	High growth	Low growth
High instability		-
Low instability		Bhavnagar (1.19, 18.65) Junagadh (0.17, 20.71)

Note: Value in bold and italics indicate growth (%) and instability (%), respectively

# High Growth-Low Instability (Most desirable situation)

In this most desirable situation, every district was positioned neither yield nor other factors.

# High Growth-High Instability (Desirable situation)

None of the district fall in this category in terms of area, production and productivity.

#### Low Growth-Low Instability (Least desirable)

In terms of area and production none of the district fall under this category, but in case of yield, Bhavnagar and Junagadh both districts fall under this category. Therefore they need to be introspected under this category. Thereby, the research proves helpful to increase the growth rate of onion production in these district there is need to popularize the high yielding varieties of onion to farmers.

#### Low Growth-High Instability (Not desirable)

In terms of area and production Bhavnagar, and Junagadh both districts fall under this category, need to be introspected not only the scientific community but also by the policy makers. Assured incentives may be given to the farmers for faster adoption of technologies and technical practices.

# 4. CONCLUSION

In terms of growth rate and instability the yield was showed negative during study period so, more emphasis should be given to enhance the yield level with the help of innovations and technology in onion cultivation [8-10]. As the growth-instability trade-off has placed most of the districts either 'not desirable' or 'least desirable'

category with respect to area, production and yield components so, it is suggested that the institutional intervention should be put in place to maintain area, production and yield. The findings of structural break points plays a vital role regarding the forecasting purpose. Without finding structural break points, someone can make a misleading conclusion regarding forecasting or prediction. To maintain the stable condition in onion production the research and research finding will helpful.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- 1. APEDA. Agricultural Processed Food Exports Development Agency database;2018. Available:www.apeda.gov.in. Accessed on: 29 August, 2019.
- Nagaraj H. Export performance and prospects of selected fruits, vegetables and processed fruits in India: An economic analysis. An unpublished Ph.D. thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore (India);1997.
- Chow GC. Test of equality between sets of coefficients in two linear regressions. Econometrica. 1960;28(1):591-605.
- Cuddy JDA, Della VPA. Measuring the instability of time series data. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. 1978;40(1):79-85.
- 5. Bairwa KC, Sharma R, Kumar T. Economics of growth and instability: fruit crops of India. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education. 2012;20(1):128-132.
- 6. Deka S. Vegetable crops in north eastern region of India: Its growth and instability.

International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research. 2014;3(4):1186-1197.

- Das K. Production conditions of spices in north east India: Cases of ginger and chilli. Discussion paper No. 151.Centre for Development Studies, Trivandrum;2016.
- 8. Jitendra K. Onion Market Chain and Power Relations There In: A Study of Nashik District in Maharashtra, India. International

Institute of Social Studies. 2020;5(4):54-58.
 Gujarati DN. Basic Econometrics. New York, Tata McGraw-Hill;2005.

10. Ismali U. Application of Break Even Analysis and analysis of growth on some agricultural products. The case of Kahta District. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development. 2019;8(3):85-92.

© 2022 Hemagandhi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86026