



## Effects of Application of Night Splint in the Management of Plantar Fasciitis

Dilir Jamal <sup>a\*#</sup>, Mohammad Habibur Rahman <sup>b≡</sup>, Moinuddin Hossain Khan <sup>b<sup>o</sup></sup>,  
Ripon Kumar Saha <sup>c#</sup> and Prasanta Kumar Chakraborty <sup>d<sup>o</sup></sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, National Institute of ENT, Tejgaon, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedic Rehabilitation (NITOR), Dhaka, Bangladesh.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, DMCH, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, National Institute of Cancer Research and Hospital, Mohakhali, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJMAH/2022/v20i630466

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/81183>

Original Research Article

Received 02 January 2022

Accepted 01 March 2022

Published 16 April 2022

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Plantar fasciitis is one of the most common causes of heel pain. The condition usually comes on gradually without any injury. Clinically there is pain and tenderness in the sole of the foot, mostly under the heel, with standing or walking. The diagnosis of patient with planter fasciitis is essentially clinical. Treatment options for planter fasciitis include rest, stretching, strengthening exercise, shoe modification, arch supports, orthotics, night splints, anti-inflammatory agents, and surgery. Although injections and Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) bring relief, their effects are often only temporary. Stretching of the calf and plantar fascia may provide some benefit. In chronic planter fasciitis, surgical treatment is only advocated where adequate conservative management fails.

# Assistant Professor;

≡ Retired Prof. and Head;

<sup>o</sup> Associate Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: dilir.evan@gmail.com;

**Objective:** The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of the application of night splint in the management of plantar fasciitis.

**Materials and Methods:** This randomised clinical trial included 50 (fifty) patients with planter fasciitis who were seen in the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation department of the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedic Rehabilitation (NITOR) in Dhaka. The patients were divided randomly into two groups, Group A and Group B. Patients in Group A were treated with NSAID, ADL and Night splint, whereas Group B patients were treated with NSAID and ADL. The patients were evaluated clinically, and data was collected from both groups in a pre-designed data collection sheet for Pain score, Tenderness index, and Visual analogue scale in every 2 weeks interval from the first visit for up to 6 weeks. All the data were analyzed by SPSS version 16.1.

**Results:** The present study showed pain and tenderness were significantly improved in Group A, who were treated with Night Splint, NSAID & ADL instructions than in Group B who were treated with NSAID & ADL instructions after 6 weeks(  $P < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** Night splint is an easy, non-surgical and cost-effective one which can be applied with other modalities. Night Splint, along with NSAIDs and ADL instructions, is more effective in the reduction of pain and other symptoms in a patient with planter fasciitis.

*Keywords: Planter fasciitis; pain; night splint; ADL.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Plantar fasciopathy (PF) is characterized by discomfort and anatomical abnormalities at the plantar fascia's proximal insertion in the os calcis [1]. It is a common musculoskeletal injury that affects people of all ages and levels of activity [2]. It is often caused by overuse of the plantar fascia or arch tendon of the foot. There is pain and tenderness in the sole of the foot, mostly under the heel, with standing or walking [3]. Plantar fasciitis is a common problem without known etiology. Sometimes there is a history of sudden increase in sporting activity like running and long distance walking and whose occupation requires prolonged weight-bearing or a change of footwear or running surface. The condition is more likely to occur in persons, especially in middle-aged, obese and who are on their feet most of the day [4,5]. Changes in the collagen matrix of the plantar fascia are the pathophysiological basis of this condition [5].

The diagnosis of a patient with planter fasciitis is essentially clinical. Treatment of planter fasciitis includes rest, stretching, strengthening exercise, shoe modification, arch supports, orthotics, night splints, anti-inflammatory agents and surgery. Although injections and NSAIDs bring relief, their effects are often temporary. Therapeutic exercises and orthotics to correct the biomechanical faults in athletes bring better results [6]. Stretching of the calf and plantar fascia may provide some benefit. Surgical treatment is only advocated in chronic planter

fasciitis where adequate conservative management fails.

### 1.1 Objective

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of application of night splint in the management of plantar fasciitis.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was conducted on 50(fifty) patients (who met the selection criteria) with planter fasciitis who were attending the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation department of the National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedic Rehabilitation (NITOR), Dhaka for six months from April 1st, 2013 to September 30th, 2013 in a randomised clinical trial. The patients were divided into two groups (Group A and Group B). Each group consisted of 25 patients. The pre-designed semi structured questionnaire was used for all cases. Assessment included clinical findings, planter fasciitis characteristics, impairments and functional abilities. Group A patients provided with Night splint along with NSAID & ADL instructions and Group B patients received NSAID treatment & ADL instructions. Data was collected from both groups in a pre – designed data collection sheet from the first visit. Further data was collected from each patient every two weeks from the first visit for up to 6 weeks. Methods of assessment were Visual analogue scale (VAS) on Pain, Tenderness index, and pain scale. There were 3 visits and

these evaluations were always performed by the same examiner.

### 2.1 Inclusion Criteria

- Patients suffering from planter fasciitis during the period of six months.
- Those who gave consent and participated to fill up questionnaire.

### 2.2 Exclusion Criteria

- Those who refused to provide inform consent & interview.
- Do not agreed to do baseline investigations.

### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 16 was used to enter data into the computer. SPSS was used to conduct the statistical analysis. The significance level was considered a "P" value less than 0.05 and double-checked before analysis.

## 3. RESULT

A total of 50 patients were enrolled in this study. Half (n=25) of the patients were provided with Night splint along with NSAID & ADL instructions, referred as Group A patients and the rest of the patients, who received NSAID treatment & ADL instructions referred to as Group B patients. Table-1 shows mean pain score of pretreatment in group-A was 14.31(±2.33), and 13.40(±1.68) were in group-B, the p value was (p>0.05) that was not statistically significant. Mean pain score in first follow up 10.80(±1.43) were in group-A and 10.48(±1.42) were in group-B. P value was (p>0.05), that was statistically not significant.

That mean group-A is significantly better than group-B in first follow up but not different in pretreatment (Fig. 1).

Table 2 shows mean pain score of 2<sup>nd</sup> follow up in group-A were 8.02(±1.31) and 8.65(±1.31) were in group-B, p value was (p<0.05), that was statistically significant. Mean pain score in 3<sup>rd</sup> follow up 4.68(±1.58) were in group-A and 6.74(±1.89) were in group-B. p value was (p<0.05), that was statistically significant (Fig. 2). That mean group-A is significantly better than group-B in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> followed up.

Tenderness index (Table 3, Fig. 3) was 2.20 ± 0.76 in group A and 2.20 ± 0.61 in group B at their pre treatment observations. Tenderness indexes were improved significantly (p < 0.05) in their second follow up at the end of 4<sup>th</sup> week. However, the differences between groups were highly significant (p < 0.001) at their 6<sup>th</sup> weeks follow ups. Significant difference at the end of 4<sup>th</sup> week and highly significant difference between group A & B: where group A noticed far improvement at the end of 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> weeks (t-test) was seen (P <0.001).


The mean patient's assessment of pain on a 0-10 visual analogue scale (VAS) was 7.10 ± 1.32 in Group A and 7.30± 1.11 in Group B on their respective treatment modalities (table-4). Pain scores on VAS scale after 2, 4 and 6 weeks showed progressive improvement on both group A and B. However, statistically highly significant (p < 0.005) improvement was observed at 6<sup>th</sup> week of management among patient of Group A, who received Night splint along with NSAID and followed ADL instructions (Table 4). Group A patient showed more improvement than Group B patient (<0.001).




Fig. 1. Locally made planter fasciitis night splint

**Table 1. Mean pain score (0-4) at pre-treatment and 1<sup>st</sup> follow up of the study population (N=50)**

Group	Pre-treatment	1 <sup>st</sup> Follow up	P value
	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)	
Group-A	14.31(±2.33)	10.80(±1.43)	0.06
Group-B	13.40(±1.68)	10.48(±1.42)	0.35



**Fig. 2. Mean pain score at pre-treatment, 1st follow up, 2nd and 3rd follow up according of the study population (N=50)**



**Fig. 3. Mean Tenderness index at different time period**

**Table 2. Mean pain score at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> follow up of the study population (N=50)**


Group	2 <sup>nd</sup> Follow Up	3 <sup>rd</sup> Follow Up	P value
	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)	
Group-A	8.02(±1.31)	4.68(±1.58)	0.04
Group-B	8.65(±1.31)	6.74(±1.89)	<0.001

**Table 3. Mean Tenderness index at different time period**

Time Period	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)	P value
	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	
Tenderness index pre treatment score	2.20(0.76)	2.20(0.61)	1
Tenderness index score at 2nd week	1.40(0.49)	1.30(0.46)	0.42
Tenderness index score at 4th week	0.80(0.61)	1.10(0.30)	0.01
Tenderness index score at 6th week	0.10(0.30)	0.70(0.46)	<0.001

**Table 4. VAS on pain before treatment, after 2th, 4th and 6th weeks**

Time Period	Group A	Group B	P value
	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	
VAS score at pre treatment	7.10(1.32)	7.30(1.11)	0.53
VAS score at 2nd week	5.30(1.29)	5.60(1.13)	0.34
VAS score at 4th week	2.50(1.30)	3.0(1.43)	0.16
VAS score at 6th week	0.20(0.40)	1.10(0.71)	<0.001



**Fig. 4. VAS on pain before treatment, after 2th, 4th and 6th weeks**

**4. DISCUSSION**

The primary purpose of this study was to assess the effect of night splint on plantar fasciitis. This randomized clinical trial study was performed in the Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, NITOR, Dhaka. An evaluation was made before the treatment and every 14 days interval. There were 3 visits and these evaluations were always performed by the same examiner. Patient’s assessment of pain on VAS scale was 7.10±1.32 and 7.03±1.11 in group A and B, respectively (P=0.53) on pre treatment. At the end of 2<sup>nd</sup> week, VAS scale was 5.30 ± 1.29 and 5.60 ± 1.13 in group A and B respectively (P=0.34). After 4<sup>th</sup> week, no significant improvement was observed between the groups (P <0.16). But after the end of 6<sup>th</sup> week, significant improvement of symptoms of both the groups was found. However, the improvement

among group A patients is significantly higher than that of group B patients (P< 0.001). In a very similar study; Beyzadeoğlu T, Gökçe A, Bekler H noticed that there were no significant differences between the two groups with regard to the initial VAS scores, patients using a night splint exhibited significantly higher improvements in both scores at the end of the sixth week [7]. In the present study we have found tenderness index 2.20 ± .76 in group A and 2.20 ± .61 in group B on pre treatment period. After 2<sup>nd</sup> week it was 1.40 ± .490 and 1.30 ± .46 in group A and B respectively, then 0.80 ± .61 and 1.10 ± .30 after 4<sup>th</sup> and 0.10 ± .30 and 0.70 ± .46 after 6<sup>th</sup> week. Significant difference after 4<sup>nd</sup> week and highly significant difference after 6th week was seen (P = <0.001) between group A(Night splint+ NSAID + ADL instruction) and group B(NSAID+ ADL instruction ). In a study conducted by Ahmed H. Alghadir showed that planter fasciitis tenderness

index was significantly lowered at the end of six week with the treatment of night splint with other modalities [8].

In the present study mean pain score of pretreatment in group-A were 14.31( $\pm$ 2.33) and 13.40( $\pm$ 1.68) were in group-B, p value was ( $p>0.05$ ) that was not statistically significant. Mean pain score in first follow up 10.80( $\pm$ 1.43) were in group-A and 10.48( $\pm$ 1.42) were in group-B. P value was ( $p>0.05$ ), that was statistically not significant. That mean group-A is significantly better than group-B in first follow up but not different in pretreatment. Powell et al. discovered that just one month of wearing the night splint was enough to reduce pain by 88 percent in 37 patients with chronic plantar fasciitis [8]. As a result, based on the available information, it appears that a night splint should be used for 1 to 3 months to get adequate symptom relief [9].

Currently, studies showing the effects of night splint in reduction of pain on planter fasciitis in our country are limited. Despite this, external device like night splint can be a preferable modality for the treatment of patients of planter fasciitis. A night splint can be made locally with low cost materials so that most of our poor people can afford to buy that. According to the findings of our research, addition of Night splint to NSAID and ADL instruction in patients with planter fasciitis is superior to NSAID+ ADL instruction in improving pain and functional performance.

The study has some limitations. The sample size included in the study was small, the study duration was short and there was no long term follow up. We could not incorporate all the demography data of patients here. Also the different modalities of treatment used to treat planter fasciitis (orthoses, stretching exercise, foot wear etc.) cannot be measured as we only use night splint.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In Bangladesh number of planter fasciitis patient become increasing day by day though we have little statistics about it. In spite of getting conventional treatment such as NSAIDs, thermotherapy, exercise, wearing soft soled shoe, heel pad; some patients do not get better result, & recurrence of attack occurs. Besides this treatment application of night splint has found much improvement. It is an easy, non surgical and cost effective one which can be applied with other modalities. Night Splint along

with NSAIDs and ADL instructions is more effective in reduction of pain and other symptoms in patient with planter fasciitis.

## 6. RECOMMENDATION

1. A more elaborate, multi-centered, long term, prospective study with relatively larger sample size may provide more information on the future prospect of night splint in the management of planter fasciitis.
2. As night splint is a less expensive, non surgical and easily operable external device, it could be readily adopted in the hospitals with rehabilitation services available. Follow up study for longer duration after night splint application can be done for better management of planter fasciitis patient. Further study with standardized protocol of only night splint modality can be organized in different hospitals having Physiatric specialty.

## DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

## CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Monteagudo M, de Albornoz PM, Gutierrez B, Tabuenca J, Álvarez I. Planter fasciopathy: A current concepts review. EFORT open reviews. 2018;3(8): 485–493.

- Available:<https://doi.org/10.1302/2058-5241.3.170080>
2. Rhim HC, Kwon J, Park J, Borg-Stein J, Tenforde AS. A Systematic Review of Systematic Reviews on the Epidemiology, Evaluation, and Treatment of Plantar Fasciitis. *Life* (Basel, Switzerland). 2021; 11(12):1287. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/life11121287>
  3. Marx JA, Hockberger RS, Walls RM, Adams J, Rosen P. *Rosen's emergency medicine: Concepts and clinical practice*. Philadelphia: Mosby/Elsevier; 2010.
  4. Sheon RP, et al. Plantar fasciitis and other causes of heel and sole pain. Available:<http://www.uptodate.com/home/index.html> Jan. 27 2011. (October 27 2013)
  5. Sharma NK, Singh G, "Splinting and Stretching for Plantar Fasciitis Pain", *Journal of Lower Extremity Review*. 2010;2(10):225–27.
  6. Digiovanni BF, Nawoczinski DA, Malay DP, Graci PA, Williams TT, Wilding GE, Baumhauer JF. Plantar fascia-specific stretching exercise improves outcomes in patients with chronic plantar fasciitis. A prospective clinical trial with two-year follow-up. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery*. 2006;88(8):1775–1781. Available:<https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.E.01281>.
  7. Plantar fasciitis and bone spurs. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Available:<http://orthoinfo.aaos.org/topic.cfm?topic=A00149>. Accessed Feb. 2, 2011.
  8. Cole C, Seto C, Gazewood J. Plantar fasciitis: evidence-based review of diagnosis and therapy. *American family physician*. 2005;72(11):2237–2242.
  9. McPoil TG, Martin RL, Cornwall MW, Wukich DK, Irrgang JJ, Godges JJ. Heel pain--plantar fasciitis: clinical practice guidelines linked to the international classification of function, disability, and health from the orthopaedic section of the American Physical Therapy Association. *The Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*. 2008;38(4): A1–A18. Available:<https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2008.0302>.

© 2022 Jamal et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/81183>