



# Nutritional Composition of Yellow Pericarp Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench): A Review

K. Jaisimha Reddy <sup>a\*</sup>, G. S. Madhu Bindu <sup>b</sup>,  
S. A. Hussain <sup>c</sup>, M. Uma Devi <sup>d</sup>  
and S. Maheshwaramma <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> UAS, Dharwad, Karnataka State, India.

<sup>b</sup> Examination Center, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India.

<sup>c</sup> College Farm, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India.

<sup>d</sup> Regional Agricultural Research Station, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India.

<sup>e</sup> Regional Agricultural Research Station, PJTSAU, Palem, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i121618

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96615>

Review Article

Received: 25/10/2022

Accepted: 30/12/2022

Published: 31/12/2022

## ABSTRACT

Sorghum is a gluten-free cereal and forms the staple diet of a majority of the populations living in the semi-arid tropics. It was believed that food security attained through green revolution would solve India's nutritional issues. However, we have now realized that in these attempts, rice and wheat received a lot of policy attention, while other crops such as sorghum were neglected. This trend is frequently cited as one of the causes behind nutritional conundrum in India in which undernutrition and hidden hunger coexist. Sorghum grain contains pigmented pericarp (i.e., red, yellow, brown) and non-pigmented pericarp (i.e., white). Sorghum grains with yellow pericarp have

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [kjaisimhareddy66@gmail.com](mailto:kjaisimhareddy66@gmail.com);

gained greater demand in market during recent times owing to its nutritional importance, good roti making quality and keeping quality. The purpose of this review paper is to provide a comprehensive understanding of yellow pericarp sorghum in terms of its nutritional composition, and to compare the nutritional characteristics with white sorghum. From a nutritional perspective, a major advantage of sorghum as a healthy and nutritious crop is its higher proportion of slowly digestible and resistant starch components compared with other staple cereal crops. The nutritional composition of *Sorghum bicolor* grain includes energy (193 cal), moisture content (52%), protein (7.1 g), fat (0.6 g), carbohydrates (39.8 g), fiber (0.9 g), calcium (10 mg), iron (3.5 mg), and niacin (1.7 mg). Sorghum is a good source of minerals and vitamins and mostly located in aleurone layer and germ. It is an important source of B vitamins except for vitamin B<sub>12</sub> and vitamin A. Research studies have proven that Yellow pericarp sorghum has relatively higher protein content (12-13%) than white sorghum (10-11%) and is rich in beta carotene, leutin and zeaxanthin. It is characterized by high carbohydrate, calcium, and zinc contents. Yellow pericarp sorghum is also rich in flavanones and has slightly higher total phenolic contents than white sorghum which act as antioxidants and play a crucial role in enhancing the immune system. Thus yellow pericarp sorghum can serve as a significant nutrient-rich cereal and could play a role in dietary diversification and a balanced diet as a means of addressing nutritional security in India.

**Keywords:** Nutritional composition; minerals; vitamins; yellow pericarp sorghum.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench), also known as Jowar in India, is a staple food for millions of people living in the semiarid tropics of Asia and Africa. It is the fifth most significant cereal crop in India and accounts for around 16% of global sorghum production. India contributed 13% of the world's sorghum area (40.97 M ha) and 8% of the global sorghum production (59.76 M t) in 2019-2020. It is grown for food, animal feed, fodder and more recently for biofuel. In India, Rajasthan state is the largest sorghum grower and producer followed by Uttar Pradesh and Maharashtra. The crop is cultivated over an area of 13.64 lakh ha with a production of 4.80 M t and a productivity of 998 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [1]. In Telangana, it is cultivated in 56,000 ha with production of 1.60 lakh tonnes and a productivity of 790 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Out of the total cultivated area under sorghum in Telangana, 60% accounted is under rainfed condition. Whereas in Andhra Pradesh, it is cultivated in 1.20 lakh hectares with production of 4.10 lakh tonnes and productivity of 3428 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Green Revolution enabled India to transition from chronic food shortages to an era of abundance. The gains, however, did not appear to have reached the grassroots level. Before the green Revolution, the staple foods of many Indians were sorghum and millets. Green revolution brought rapid increase in rice and wheat yields brought about by improved varieties in combination with expanded use of fertilizers and other chemical inputs. Whereas, crops like

sorghum took a back seat as the area and production levels decreased gradually over the years. Sorghum is a hardy crop that grows well even in dry areas as rain-fed crops under marginal soil fertility and moisture conditions. The area under sorghum decreased from 10.25 M ha in 1999-2000 to 5.82 M ha in 2014-2015. The total production decreased from 8.68 M t to 5.39 M t. During the same time span, however, the productivity grew from 847 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 907 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mostly due to the farmers' adoption of new production technologies. The poorest people in the arid and semi-arid tropics are at a high risk from deficiencies of calories and micronutrients especially the deficiency of iron, zinc and vitamins, as they cannot afford a variety of food items in their diet. Besides stress tolerance for cultivation, sorghum provides nutritious food as compared to others cereals with high fibre content, minerals and slow digestibility.

India's sorghum production is dominated by high-yielding white sorghum hybrids, and new production technology have resulted in an increase in production from a gradually shrinking cultivation area. Recent market demand has increased for sorghum grains with yellow pericarp due to their nutritional value, good rotimaking and preserving qualities. Yellow sorghums are typically tall (≥2.5 m) statured as against the white sorghum (2.0 m) and are susceptible to lodging, when raised under improved management practices during *rabi* and summer. Hence, they are invariably cultivated during *kharif* season. Currently cultivated sorghum cultivars with yellow pericarp are low-

yielding local land races. Yellow pericarp sorghums are generally raised in patches in tribal areas of Telangana during *kharif* for subsistence with minimum management practices resulting in low yields and susceptibility to pests and diseases. However, keeping in view the consumer's preference recently high yielding sorghum (both grain and fodder) cultures with yellow pericarp were developed by RARS, Palem, Telangana, India.

## 2. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WHITE AND YELLOW PERICARP SORGHUM

Sorghum grain is composed of naked caryopsis, which consists of pericarp, endosperm, and germ. The sorghum kernel is a caryopsis in which the pericarp is completely connected to the endosperm. Variations exist in sorghum owing to the difference in the colour of the pericarp. Sorghum grain contains pigmented pericarp (i.e.,

black, red, yellow, brown) and non-pigmented pericarp (i.e., white). Anerao et al. [2] determined physical properties of different sorghum varieties such as thousand kernel weight and thousand kernel volume and found that white sorghum recorded higher thousand kernel weight and thousand kernel volume as compared to yellow sorghum. These results were in close resemblance with the results reported by [3]. Anerao et al. [2] also reported that seed shape of both yellow and white sorghum genotypes was round and was in line with the results obtained by Mabelebele et al. [4].

## 3. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF WHITE AND YELLOW PERICARP SORGHUM

Sorghum is a gluten-free grain that is rich in carbohydrates, protein fibre, vitamins and minerals viz., magnesium, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, iron and antioxidants.

**Table 1. Differences between Yellow and White Sorghum**

Characters	Yellow Sorghum	White Sorghum
Glume colour	Yellow	White
Protein content	12 – 13%	10 – 11%
Seed Shape	Round	Round
Plant height	≥2.5 m	2.0 m
Duration	95- 105 days	100-105 days
Ear head	Compact and Symmetric	Loose or semi compact
Pest and Disease incidence	Comparatively less	More
Cost	Rs 49/kg	Rs 35/kg
Yield	18-20 q/ha	20-25 q/ha

**Table 2. Nutritional composition of sorghum grain (per 100g)**

Ingredient	Quantity
Protein (%)	4.40 – 21.10
Lysine (%)	0.30 – 0.90
Carbohydrates (g)	55.60 – 75.20
Amylose	21.20 – 30.20
Fat (g)	2.10 – 7.60
Crude fibre (g)	1.00 – 3.40
Ash	1.30 – 3.30
<b>Minerals (mg/100 g)</b>	
Calcium (g)	11.0 – 586.0
Phosphorus (mg)	0.90 – 20.0
<b>Vitamins (mg/100 g)</b>	
Thiamine	0.24 – 0.54
Niacin	2.90 – 6.40
Riboflavin	0.10 – 0.20
<b>Anti-nutritional factors</b>	
Tannin (%)	0.1 – 7.22
Phytic Acid (mg/100 g) as Phytin Phosphate	875.00 – 2211

Source : Makokha et al. [5]



**Fig. 1. Images of yellow sorghum (left) and white sorghum (right) seeds**

### 3.1 Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates make up the largest proportion of sorghum's nutritious components. The carbohydrates in sorghum consist of starch, soluble sugar, and fibre. Non-structural carbohydrates in sorghum (sugars, starch, and fructosans) and structural carbohydrates are distinguished in sorghum (cellulose, hemicelluloses and pectin substances). Anerao et al. [2] reported relatively higher carbohydrate values in yellow pericarp sorghum variety (73.53 %) as compared to white sorghum variety (72.36%). Similar results were obtained by Longvah et al. [6].

Sorghum has a significant amount of slowly digested starch (SDS), which has a functional quality and delays the digestion and absorption of carbs in the colon. This component is extremely advantageous for diabetics. Grain starches are relatively more difficult to digest than cereal grains. According to reports, sorghum grain has the lowest raw starch digestibility because endosperm proteins hinder starch accessibility. However, yellow sorghum has less fiber content and higher starch digestibility as compared to white sorghum [7]. Foods with a low Glycemic index help slow absorption of carbohydrates and prevent extreme blood glucose fluctuation. White jowar (flour) has a lower glycemic index (49.85) than yellow jowar (flour) (52.56), according to Vahini and Bhaskarachary [8].

### 3.2 Proteins

The second significant component of sorghum grains is proteins. It is known that the protein concentration of sorghum fluctuates along with

its amino acid profile. In addition to genetic considerations, environmental variables may contribute to the considerable variance in protein content among organisms [9]. Sorghum's protein content is comparable to that of wheat and corn. Significant proportion of sorghum protein is prolamin (kaffirin), which has the unique property of being less digestible than other grain proteins after boiling, which may be advantageous for the health of specific dietary groups. Compared to white sorghum, yellow sorghum has a greater protein level (12-13%) than white sorghum (10-11%). Mohammed et al. [10] reported higher protein content in yellow sorghum (11.57%) as compared to white sorghum (9.57%). The results obtained were similar to the findings of Chavan et al. [11].

### 3.3 Dietary Fibre

Sorghum also contains between 9.7 and 14.3 g of dietary fibre, which acts as a bulking agent, cholesterol-binding agent, increases transit time, and retards carbohydrate absorption [12], which has a significant positive effect on preventing and managing diseases such as constipation, irritable bowel syndrome, and obesity. Anerao et al. [2] reported that crude fibre content did not differ significantly between yellow and white pericarp sorghum. These results were in agreement with Mohammed et al. [10] who also noticed no significant differences in crude fibre content of white and yellow pericarp sorghum.

### 3.4 Total Soluble Sugars

Total soluble sugars are largely responsible for the good taste of roti prepared from sorghum [13]. Anerao et al. [2] noticed higher total soluble

**Table 3. Differences in nutritional composition of yellow pericarp sorghum and white sorghum varieties**

S No	Genotype/ Variety	Protein (%)	Fiber (%)	Total sugars (g/100g)	Reducing Sugars	Glume Color
<b>Yellow pericarp sorghum</b>						
1.	PYPS-2	12.02	1.25	2.76	0.279	Yellow
2	PYPS-8	13.52	1.37	3.65	0.26	White with red glume
3	PYPS-13	12.77	1.54	3.42	0.514	Creamy white
4	PYPS-14	13.17	1.54	2.81	0.375	White
5	PYPS-19	13.34	2.31	4.56	0.708	White with black glume
6	PYPS-28	13.43	1.85	3.03	0.499	Creamy white
<b>White Sorghum Checks</b>						
1	SPV-462	10.10	2.61	2.61	0.411	White
2	PSV-56	8.52	1.83	3.04	0.481	White

Source: RARS, Palem, Telangana

sugars (1.65%) in white sorghum which was followed by yellow pericarp sorghum (1.35%) which recorded a lower amount of total soluble sugar. Nirmal et al. [13] also reported similar results.

#### 4. MINERAL COMPOSITION OF WHITE AND YELLOW PERICARP SORGHUM

Sorghum is a rich source of minerals and vitamins, the majority of which are found in the aleurone layer and germ, which are essential to the human diet. Therefore, consumption of sorghum through a variety of products with added value will contribute to the supply of important minerals in the human body. Anerao et al. [2] reported that white sorghum recorded higher amount of phosphorus content (490.55 ppm) than yellow sorghum (390.21 ppm). Whereas, yellow sorghum recorded higher potassium content (61ppm) and the white sorghum having the least potassium content (53ppm) in a study conducted by Mohammed et al. [10]. The values obtained from this study were found to higher than that reported by Leder [14]. A higher level of calcium content was found in the yellow pericarp sorghum which is (27.86 ppm) which was followed by white sorghum (18.71 ppm). With respect to micro nutrients, yellow pericarp sorghum showed higher iron content (5.27 ppm) as compared to white sorghum (4.33 ppm) [2]. Whereas, yellow pericarp sorghum recorded lower zinc content (5.27 ppm) as compared to white sorghum (4.33 ppm).

#### 5. VITAMIN COMPOSITION OF WHITE AND YELLOW PERICARP SORGHUM

Sorghum is an important source of B vitamins except for vitamin B<sub>12</sub> [15]. Yellow coloured

sorghum grain is rich in beta carotene, leutin and zeaxanthin. The vitamin content of sorghum is not up to the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) recommended by WHO. Mohammed et al. [10] observed that yellow pericarp sorghum recorded higher vitamin B<sub>1</sub> (Thiamine), B<sub>3</sub> (niacin) and B<sub>6</sub> (pyridoxine) than white sorghum. While sorghum does not contain vitamin A, While, yellow pericarp varieties contain small amounts of Beta carotene a precursor of Vitamin A.

#### 6. TOTAL PHENOLIC CONTENT OF WHITE AND YELLOW PERICARP SORGHUM

The primary polyphenols in sorghum grain, such as phenolic acids and tannins, are considered to operate as antioxidants and play a crucial role in enhancing the immune system [16]. It is rich in phenolic compounds, including as phenolic acids, flavonoids, and condensed tannins, which exhibit antioxidant capability and possible health advantages [17]. Yellow pericarp sorghum is rich in flavanones and has slightly higher total phenolic contents than white sorghum [18]. Anerao et al., [2] noticed that yellow pericarp sorghum recorded higher total phenolic content (289.20 mg/100g) than white sorghum (157.63 mg/100g). The grain color is one of the reasons that results in the TPC differences among these varieties, however the variation in brewing sorghum grains may come from other reasons, such as the strain and growing environment.

Almond et al. [19] observed relatively similar dry matter, crude protein and amino acid content in both yellow and white sorghums but white sorghum had markedly higher tannin content. The presence of tannins in the grain contributes

to the low digestibility of sorghum in comparison with other cereals. The concentration of tannins in yellow sorghum ranges from 0.2 to 0.5 % whereas that of white sorghum varieties can reach 3%. Tannins not only reduce palatability but also effect feed intake and growth as they reduce amino acid digestibility. On the other hand tannins found in sorghum contain antioxidants that protect against cell damage, reduce disease and aging.

## 7. CONCLUSION

Based on the different reviews examined it can be said that yellow pericarp sorghum has relatively higher nutrients as compared to white sorghum. Yellow sorghum contained higher amount of carbohydrates, proteins, vitamins and minerals like calcium, potassium, iron etc. Thus yellow pericarp sorghum can serve as a significant nutrient-rich cereal and could play a role in dietary diversification and a balanced diet as a means of addressing nutrition security in India. Yellow sorghum can also be used to make different value added products having health benefits to fetch more benefits.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi; c2020. 2020;751.  
Available: <https://eands.dacnet.nic.in/>  
<https://doi.org/10.7312/seir17116-004>
2. Anerao KK, Gadhe KS, Gaikwad SS, Kamble RE and Dhutmal RR. Physico-chemical properties and nutritional variance of different genotypes of Indian major millet (Jowar). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(11): 157-163.
3. Gürsoy S, Güzel E. Determination of physical properties of some agricultural grains. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*. 2010;2(5):492- 498.
4. Mabelebele M, Siwela M, Gous RM, Iji PA. Chemical composition and nutritive value of South African sorghum varieties as feed for broiler chickens. *South African Journal of Animal Sciences*. 2015;45(2):206-213.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v45i2.12>
5. Makokha AO, Oniang'o RK, Njoroge SM, Kamar OK. Effect of traditional fermentation and malting on phytic acid and mineral availability from sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) grain varieties grown in Kenya. *Food and Nutrition and Bulletin*. 2022;23(3):241-250.
6. Longvah T, Ananthan R, Bhaskarachary K, Venkaiah K. *Indian Food Composition Tables*. National Institute of Nutrition. 2017;505.
7. Arun GK, Sosle VR, Raghavan VGS. Nutritional and Rheological Properties of Sorghum. *International Journal of Food Properties*. 2009;12: 55–69.
8. Vahini J and Bhaskarachary K. Effect of Processing and Cooking on Glycemic Index of Jowar varieties. *International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences*. 2013;2(3):236-242.
9. Geleta N, Labuschagne MT, Osthoff G, Hugo A, Bothma C. Physical and chemical properties associated with food quality in sorghum. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*. 2005;22(3):175-179.
10. Mohammed ZS, Mabudi AH, Murtala Y, Jibrin S, Sulaiman S, Salih J. Nutritional Analysis of Three Commonly Consumed Varieties of Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) in Bauchi State, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Science and Environment and Mangement*. 2019;23(7): 1329-1334.
11. Chavan UD, Patil JV, Shinde MS. Nutritional and Roti Quality of Sorghum Genotypes. *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2016;10(2):80.
12. Narasinga Rao BS. Bioactive phytochemicals in Indian foods and their potential in health promotion and disease prevention. *Asia Pacific Journal of Chemical Nutrition*. 2003;12: 9-22.
13. Nirmal SV, Pawar MSS, Dalvi US. Nutritional Quality of Hybrid Sorghum Genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6(2):586-592.
14. Leder I. Sorghum and Millets in Cultivated Plants, Primarily as Food Sources. In: Gyorgy Fuleky (ed) *Encyclopedia of Life Support System (EOLSS)*, Developed Under the Auspices of the UNESCO, Eolss Publishers, Oxford, UK; 2004.
15. Gazzaz SS, Rasco B, Dong FM, Borhan M. Effects of processing on the thiamin,

- riboflavin and vitamin B-12 content of fermented whole grain cereal products. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*. 2007;13 (5):321-334.
16. Hassan ZM, Sebola NA and Mabelebele M. The nutritional use of millet grain for food and feed: A review. *Agriculture and Food Security*. 2021;10 (16):887-895.
  17. Alfieri M, Balconi C, Cabassi G, Habyarimana E, Redaelli R. Antioxidant activity in a set of sorghum landraces and breeding lines. *Maydica*. 2017;62-2017.
  18. Dykes L, Peterson GC, Rooney WL, Rooney LW. Flavonoid composition of lemon-yellow sorghum genotypes. *Foods*. 2011;127:173–179.
  19. Almond M, Smith WC, Savage GP, Lawrence TL. A comparison of two contrasting types of grain sorghum in the diet of the growing pig. *Animal Science*. 1979;29(1):143-50.

---

© 2022 Reddy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96615>