



# Screening of Mung Bean Genotypes against Yellow Mosaic Virus (YMV) Resistance

Anita Choudhary <sup>a</sup>, Sushila Choudhary <sup>b,c,#\*</sup>,  
Mahabeer Singh <sup>a†</sup>, Vijay Laxmi Yadav <sup>d</sup>  
and Vishnu Moond <sup>e#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner, Jaipur (SKNAU, Jobner) Rajasthan-303329, India.

<sup>b</sup> Division of Plant Pathology, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur (SKNAU, Jobner) Rajasthan-302018, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, RNT College of Agriculture, Kapasan, Chittorgarh (MPUAT, Udaipur), Rajasthan-312202, India.

<sup>d</sup> Division of Agronomy, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur (SKNAU, Jobner) Rajasthan-302018, India.

<sup>e</sup> Department of Agronomy, RNT College of Agriculture, Kapasan, Chittorgarh (MPUAT, Udaipur) Rajasthan-312202, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i21659

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96786>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 11/12/2022**

**Accepted: 19/02/2023**

**Published: 23/02/2023**

## ABSTRACT

Experiment was conducted to identify the resistant Mung bean varieties against MYMV in *Kharif* during 2017. Ten Indian mung bean genotypes obtained from AICRP on Arid legumes, RARI, Durgapura, Jaipur, were used in the screening experiment and the obtained results revealed that

# Assistant Professor;

† Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [scpath16220@gmail.com](mailto:scpath16220@gmail.com);

there was significant variation among the genotypes for resistance against MYMV. Based on the average MYMV score, only two genotypes (IPM 02-3 and IPM 0205-7) were found completely free from the disease and the plants had maximum chlorophyll content whereas IPM-409-4 and RMG 991 were found resistant and chlorophyll content was low as compared to the highly resistant genotypes. The rest of six genotypes observed moderately resistant, moderately susceptible, susceptible and highly susceptible to the disease.

**Keywords:** Mung bean; vector; *Bemisia tabaci*; yellow mosaic virus; resistance source.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.)] is native to India-Burma region of South-East Asia and also grown in some part of America and Africa. Mungbean belongs to the leguminaceae family and sub family Papilinoaceae. It is very primeval annual crop in Indian farming cultivation. The crop is being sown usually as a dry land crop in almost all the states of India. It is also known as greengram, greenbean, mashbean, goldengram, chickasawpe and greensoy [1]. Being a short duration pulse crop, it fits well in mixtures and crop rotation and it can be used as green manure crop or as a combined cash and soil improvement crop with residues incorporated in to soil after pods have been harvested and it helps to enrich the soil by symbiotic relationship with specific soil rhizobia of the genus Bradyrhizobium. It is an excellent source of high quality protein with easy digestibility hence preferred to patient too. It fixes biological nitrogen ranging 30-74 kg/ha in the soil and also provides plant residues 15-20 q/ha [2]. The mung bean rank third to Bengalgram and Redgram. It contains protein 24 per cent, Fat 1.3 per cent, Carbohydrates 56 per cent, Fibre 4.1 per cent, Moisture 10 per cent, Minerals 4 per cent, Iron 7.3 mg per 100 g, Calcium 124 mg per 100 gm, Phosphorus 326 mg per 100 g [3]. As vegetable protein, it is rich in vitamin B which is protective against the beriberi disease.

Mung bean being an extremely drought resistant crop is extensively cultivated in arid and semi-arid areas of Rajasthan, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Haryana, U.P., Andhra Pradesh and Orissa. In Rajasthan Mung bean mostly grown under rain fed condition in Jaipur, Ajmer, Nagour, Sikar and Tonk. This crop is also sown in *kharif* season during July-August and harvested from October to November. The reasons for low productivity may be traditional methods of cultivation practiced by the farmers with the development of high yielding genotypes and better management practices. Hence, there is a much opportunity for further increase in yield. In the arid areas of

Rajasthan, this is the only crop taken during *Kharif* and cannot be replaced by other legumes like moth bean, cowpea, guar etc. because of its drought resistance.

There are various factors which limit the production of the crop including several diseases viz, cercospora leaf spot (*C. canescens*), powdery mildew (*Erysiphe polygoni*), root disease complex (*Pythium spp.* or *Fusarium spp.*) and the reniform (*Rotylenchulus reniformis*) and root knot (*Meloidogyne spp.*) nematodes, viruses namely, bean common mosaic virus, cucumber mosaic virus, leaf crinkle virus, leaf curl virus, mosaic mottle virus and mung bean yellow mosaic virus. Among all the constraints, mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) caused by the Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV) belonging to Begomovirus species in the family, Geminiviridae is the most destructive and cause significant yield losses by up to 100% or even kill a plant infected at an early vegetative stage [4]. The viruses are transmitted by the vector, whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) in a persistent circulative manner. In India, Mung bean yellow mosaic virus is the most viral destructive disease of legumes popularly known as yellow plague of *Kharif* pulses. Mung bean yellow mosaic virus disease on mung bean was first ever reported from fields of IARI, New Delhi in 1960 and is transmitted principally by whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) and grafting but not by sap, seed or soil [5]. Whitefly is the only vector reported by several scientists for the natural transmission of virus in different plants. The virus has geminate particle morphology (20 x 30 nm) and the coat protein encapsulates spherical, single stranded DNA genome of approximately 2.8 Kb.

Mung bean yellow mosaic virus causes irregular green and yellow patches in older leaves and yellowing of younger leaves. Affected plants produce fewer flowers and pods, pods often develop mottling, remain small and contain fewer and smaller seeds thus affecting yields qualitatively and quantitatively. Yellow mosaic virus infection bring about drastic change in the

biochemical components such as chlorophyll “a”, “b” and total chlorophyll, nitrogen, protein etc. There might be a deviation from normal development and functioning of plant due to virus infection, the extent of metabolize disturbance brought by viral infection with in the host plant.

Screening of genotypes for yellow mosaic resistance is the most important step in developing MYMV resistant genotypes. Screening of green gram for yellow mosaic disease resistance in natural field condition was also earlier studied by many authors viz. Mohan et al., [6], Suman et al., [7], Bhanu et al., [8], Deepa et al., [9], Khaliq et al., [10] and Awasthi et al., (2007). Comparative investigations between healthy and sick tissue of some of the biochemical resistance were conducted to acquire insight into the disturbed physiology of the yellow mosaic effect on mung bean.

The most economic, operative and ecological method to minimize the losses due to MYMV is the utilization of natural genetic resource and resistant genotypes against MYMV. Thus, the objective of the present experiment is identification of MYMV resistant mung bean genotypes among the popularly released genotypes and local green gram varieties of Rajasthan arid zone. This will also help in the identification of green gram genotypes which can be recommended for cultivation in mung bean growing areas.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at Agronomy Research Farm, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner during *Kharif*, 2017. The field experiment was conducted in randomized block design in 3 m x 2 m plot at a spacing of 40 cm x 15 cm with four replications. Mung bean genotypes were obtained from AICRP on Arid legumes, the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RARI, Durgapura, Jaipur. Ten germplasm entries and varieties were screened out against Mung bean yellow mosaic disease under field conditions and total chlorophyll content (mg/g f. wt) were also observed of the all ten screened varieties.

### 2.1 Estimation of Chlorophyll (Chlorophyll a, b and Total Chlorophyll)

Chlorophyll was estimated in healthy and diseased leaves showing four categories of

disease severity (25%, 50%, 75% and 100%). One gram fresh plant material of each healthy and diseased leaves were rapidly used and homogenized with 25 ml of 80 per cent acetone in Mortar with Pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 20 minutes and the volume made to 100 ml. The optical density was read at 645 nm, 652nm & 663 nm using 80 per cent acetone as blank [11]. Three replicates of each sample were prepared and mean value of optical density was recorded.

For calculation of total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a and b following equation were used:

$$\text{Total chlorophyll} = \frac{20.2A_{645} + 8.02A_{663}}{a \times 1000 \times W} \times V \text{ (mg/g f. wt.)}$$

$$\text{Chlorophyll a} = \frac{12.7A_{663} - 2.69A_{645}}{a \times 1000 \times W} \times V \text{ (mg/g f. wt.)}$$

$$\text{Chlorophyll b (mg/gm)} = \frac{22.9A_{645} - 4.68A_{663}}{a \times 1000 \times W} \times V \text{ (mg/g f. wt.)}$$

Where,

a = Length of light path in the cell (usually 1 cm)

V = Volume of the extract in ml.

W = Fresh weight of the sample in gram

\*A<sub>645</sub> and A<sub>663</sub> are the optical densities of the sample at 645 nm and 663 nm, respectively.

The seeds of each variety was sown in two rows and flanked with one line of local susceptible variety to Mung bean yellow mosaic virus disease on both sides. Observations for disease intensity were being recorded during near to crop maturity. Total chlorophyll content of all the varieties were also recorded using the above procedure. Per cent disease index were also calculated by scoring disease as per disease rating scale of 0 – 5 and using the following formula suggested by Mc Kinney [12].

$$\text{PDI} = \frac{\text{Sum of all numerical ratings} \times 100}{\text{Number of plants assessed} \times \text{Maximum disease rating}}$$

Mung bean yellow vein mosaic virus disease was scored on 0-5 arbitrary scale as suggested by Bashir [13] and the genotypes were scored as Highly Resistant (HR), Resistant (R), Moderately Resistant (MR), Susceptible (S) and Highly Susceptible (HS) based on disease severity.

0 = No virus symptoms seen (Highly Resistant)

1= Occasional mild symptoms (1-10% infection) (Resistant)

2= moderate infections (11-20% infection) (Moderately Resistant)

3= 21-30% infection (Moderately Susceptible)

4 = severe and wide spread symptoms (30-50% infection) (Susceptible)

5 = Severe with likely loss in yield (More than 50% infection) (Highly Susceptible)

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Resistant genotypes are considered to be the most practical and economical means of controlling a plant-disease. Ten mung bean genotypes were screened under natural field condition against yellow mosaic virus disease. The observations on disease intensity of various genotypes were recorded and total chlorophyll content (mg/g f. wt.) was calculated. The results of pooled data presented in Table 1, revealed that only two genotypes (IPM 02-3 and IPM 0205-7) were found completely free from the disease whereas IPM-409-4 and RMG 991 were found resistant. IPM 02-17 was found moderately resistant. Further, two genotypes namely RMG 1145, RMG 1136 were found moderately susceptible whereas RMG 62 and RMG 1099 were found susceptible to the disease. Remaining genotype, RMG 344 was found highly susceptible to the Mung bean yellow mosaic disease.

Chlorophyll content of highly resistant varieties IPM 02-3 and IPM 0205-7 were recorded 1.07 and 0.98 (mg/g f. wt.), respectively. Whereas chlorophyll content 0.46 (mg/g f. wt.) was recorded in highly susceptible variety RMG 344. It was noted that total chlorophyll content recorded more in highly resistant varieties followed by resistant, moderately resistant,

moderately susceptible, susceptible and highly susceptible.

Out of ten screened genotypes of mung bean under natural field conditions against yellow mosaic virus disease, only two genotypes (IPM 02-3 and IPM 0205-7) were found completely free from the disease and plant had maximum chlorophyll content whereas, IPM-409-4 and RMG 991 were found resistant and chlorophyll content was low as compared to highly resistant varieties. Similar result was obtained by Darshan et al. [14]. They screened 35 mung bean genotypes against MYMV. Among these five were found highly resistant.

IPM 02-3 and IPM 0205-7 either be released as a variety or can be utilized as a source of resistance in future breeding programs for improvement of the crop. Earlier also, resistance to yellow mosaic in mung bean has been reported by Singh et al. [15] and Singh et al. [16]. Present investigation was found that total chlorophyll content was also found lower in virus infected mung bean varieties supported with the study of Sinha and Shrivastava [17]. They reported that the effect of mung bean yellow mosaic virus on the chlorophyll content in three varieties of mung bean plants – HUM-2, ML-192 and Pusa baishakhi had lowest total chlorophyll content. John et al. [18] screened one hundred genotypes of mung bean (*Vigna radiate* L. Wilczek) against Mung bean yellow mosaic virus under natural field condition in Agricultural Research Station, TNAU, Virinjipuram, Tamil Nadu. They observed mung bean genotypes to yellow mosaic virus showed that 11 genotypes were found to be resistant, 23 genotypes were found to be moderately resistant reaction and 21 genotypes were moderately susceptible to mung bean yellow mosaic virus [19].

**Table 1. Screening of biochemical nature of resistance in different varieties against Mung bean yellow mosaic virus**

S. No.	Variety/ Entries	Percent Disease Intensity (PDI)	Total chlorophyll content (mg/g f.wt.)*	Host Reaction
1	RMG-1099	34.45	0.70	S
2	RMG-62	45.32	0.65	S
3	RMG-1145	22.62	0.80	MS
4	RMG-1136	26.48	0.78	MS
5	RMG-344	16.41	0.46	HS
6	IPM-02-17	58.61	0.86	MR
7	IPM-409-4	6.62	0.91	R
8	RMG-991	7.52	0.94	R
9	IMP-0205-7	0.00	0.98	HR
10	IPM-02-3	0.00	1.07	HR

\*Average of four Replications

#### 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The present investigation concluded and reveals that two varieties/genotypes viz. IPM 02-3 and IPM 0205-7 were identified as Resistant against to the MYMV that can be used to further developing of resistant varieties against MYMV through different modern breeding techniques viz., Marker Assisted Selection and Genetic Engineering.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Dean of SKN Agriculture University, Jobner for funding and also gratitude to the Director and Head of the Division of Plant Pathology, RARI, Durgapura, Jaipur that was supported at every step of concise experiment.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Markam NK, Nair SK, Nanda HC, Lakpale N. Studies on allelic relationship for resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus disease in mungbean genotypes. Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(2):2401-3.
2. Herridge DF, Peoples MB, Boddey RM. Global inputs of biological nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. Plant Soil. 2008;311(1-2):1-18. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-008-9668-3
3. Hou D, Yousaf L, Xue Y, Hu J, Wu J, Hu X et al. Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.): Bioactive polyphenols, polysaccharides, peptides, and health benefits. Nutrients. 2019;11(6):1238. DOI: 10.3390/nu11061238, PMID 31159173, PMCID PMC6627095.
4. Kitsanachandee R, Somta P, Chatchawankanphanich O, Akhtar KP, Shah TM, Nair RM, et al. Detection of quantitative trait loci for mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV) resistance in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) in India and Pakistan. Breed Sci. 2013; 63(4):367-73. DOI: 10.1270/jsbbs.63.367, PMID 24399908.
5. Nariani TK. Yellow mosaic of mung (*Phaseolus aureus*). Indian Phytopathol. 1960;13:24-9.
6. Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of mungbean germplasm for resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus under natural condition. Indian J Sci Technol. 2014;7(7):891-6. DOI: 10.17485/ijst/2014/v7i7.1
7. Suman S, Sharma VK, Kumar H, Shahi VK. Screening of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] genotypes for resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV). Environ Ecol. 2015;33(2A):855-9.
8. Bhanu AN, Singh MN, Srivastava K. Screening mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek] genotypes for mungbean yellow mosaic virus resistance under natural condition. Adv Plants Agric Res. 2017; 7(6):417-20.
9. Deepa H, Govindappa MR, Kenganal M, Kulkarni SA, Biradar SA. Screening of greengram genotypes against mungbean yellow mosaic virus diseases under field condition. Int J Pure App Biosci. 2017; 5(2):1049-56. DOI: 10.18782/2320-7051.2618
10. Khaliq N, Koul V, Shankar U, Ahmad Ganai SA, Sharma S, Norboo T. Screening of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) varieties against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn.) and mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(8):129-32. DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.017
11. Vanekar A, Kumar SC, Prathibha KY, Geethanjali R, Banu KF, Keshamma E. Estimation of chlorophyll content of mung bean plants treated with *Amaranthus viridis*. Int J Innov Res Technol. 2023; 9(8):262-4.
12. Mckinney HH. Influence of soil temperature and moisture on infection of wheat seedlings by helminthosporium sativum. J Agric Res. 1923;26:195-217.
13. Bashir M, Jamali AR, Ahmad Z. Genetic resistance in mungbean and mashbean germplasm against mungbean yellow mosaic Begomovirus. Mycopathol. 2006; 4(2):1-4.
14. Darshan T Dharajiya, Ravindrababu Y, Pagi NK. Screening of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] genotypes for resistance against mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) under field condition. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018; 7(5):3472-83.
15. Singh GS, Kapoor, Singh K. Multiple disease resistance in mung bean with special emphasis on mung bean yellow

- mosaic virus. Asian vegetable Research Development Center. 1988; 88:290-6.
16. Singh DP, Singh DB, Gupta RP. Evaluation of mung bean germplasm for disease. Indian J Pluses Res. 1989;2:10-4.
17. Sinha A, Srivastava M. Biochemical change in mungbean plants infected mungbean yellow mosaic virus. Int J Virol. 2010;6(3):150-7.
- DOI: 10.3923/ijv.2010.150.157
18. John NBK, Packiaraj D, Pandiyan M, Senthil N. Screening of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) genotypes for resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus. Trends Biosci. 2015;8:2042-6.
19. Awasthi LP, Singh S. Screening of mungbean germplasm for field resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus. New Bot-Int J Plant Sci Res. 2008;35:65-70.

---

© 2023 Choudhary et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96786>