



# Effect of Feeding Locally Produced Soybean Meal and Fishmeal on the Performance of Broiler Chicks

**E. O. Amasaib<sup>a</sup> and S. M. Osman<sup>a\*</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Production, University of Khartoum, Sudan.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors contributed equally to research work execution, analyzing, interpreting the data and manuscript preparation. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2023/v42i34059

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97177>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 01/01/2023**

**Accepted: 03/03/2023**

**Published: 06/03/2023**

## **ABSTRACT**

This study was carried out to determine the effect of the inclusion of Soybean meal and fish meal on the broiler chicks performance. One hundred and sixty unsexed day-old chicks were used in this study and distributed randomly into 16 pens (10/pen) as replicates in a completely randomized design. The chicks were assigned to four experimental diets: Diet A containing imported concentrate, Diet B containing a mixture of 75 % of soybean and 25 % fish meal, Diet C containing 25 % imported concentrates and 75% the mixture, and Diet D containing 50% imported concentrates and 50% of the mixture. Feed intake, feed conversion ratio, body weight gain and mortality rate were recorded weekly, while the carcass weight, dressing percentage, weight of the internal organs (liver, spleen, heart and abdominal fat) and serum contents (blood cholesterol, total lipids, glucose, albumen and protein) were determined at the end of the experimental period. The results showed that the birds fed Diet A consumed significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) higher feed (3728.4 g) and gained more life weight (1818 g). The feed conversion ratio was not affected by treatments, although the birds fed diet A recorded the best value (2.05). For carcass weight and dressing

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: Samaniamsaib@gmail.com;

percentage, the birds fed diet A recorded the highest values 1551.25g and 72.28% respectively. The same trend was reported in the internal organs liver, heart, and fats wherein diet A recorded the highest value 60.0, 12.5 and 23.1g respectively. For blood biochemical, the birds fed diet A had the highest value of protein, cholesterol, and lipids while the birds fed diet B recorded the highest value of glucose. It could be concluded that the inclusion of locally prepared Soybean and fish meal reduced the performance of the broiler chicks and the control diet had the best broiler performance.

*Keywords: Soybean meal; fish meal; broiler performance.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Commercial broiler production has become a specialized and speedy poultry operation in recent years all over the world” [1] “Total consumption of poultry meat has increased dramatically during the past five” [2-6]. “successful broiler rearing depends on many factors like availability of feed ingredients at a reasonable cost, proper management and quality chicks” [7]. “Feeding cost amount to about 70% or more in the poultry industry. Optimal rations should satisfy the nutrient requirements of birds and be economically feasible” [8]. “Moreover, the prices of protein ingredients are comparatively higher than that of the other ingredients; protein costs involve about 45 % of the total feed cost. Nowadays, the cost of feed ingredients has steadily increased all over the world. This is not only an inflationary factor but also a reduction in the availability of poultry feed ingredients” [9]. “In Sudan, concentrates have been used till now in poultry production due to their vital role of completing the protein and microelements in poultry feed to maximize the growth performance of birds. Today the poultry industry in Sudan is facing a feed crisis because of the high cost of production which is attributed to the raising of feed ingredients mainly imported concentrates. Now there were many attempts by nutritionists to replace the imported concentrate with different locally available protein sources [10], completely replacing imported concentrate with synthetic lysine and methionine and they recorded significant improvement in the chick's performance” [11]. “Traditionally, protein needs in poultry ration are met up mainly with vegetable proteins plus a little amount of animal protein” [12]. “Soybean is not only a source of high-quality edible oil for humans but also a high quality-vegetable protein in animal feed worldwide. Its universal acceptability in animal feed has been due to favorable attributes such as relatively high protein content and suitable amino acid profile except for methionine, minimal variation in nutrient contents. In addition to that,

attention has been focused on soybean utilization as an alternate protein source in animal diets due to the changing availability or allowed uses of animal proteins coupled with relatively low cost” [13]. “In a broiler ration, fish meal, meat, and bone meal and other protein concentrates are predominantly the principal Sources of animal protein” [4]. “Fish meal is an excellent source of protein. It is considered to be one of the best ingredients for broilers and layers, as it enhances feed consumption and feed efficiency” [14]. It is high in protein, energy, minerals (calcium and phosphorus), a natural source of vitamins (including choline, biotin and vitamin B12, A and E) and microelements, selenium and iodine. Moreover, it contains all the essential amino acids, especially lysine and methionine in adequate quantities required for poultry.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the replacement of the imported concentrate by the concentrate made of soybean and fish meal in different ratios on the performance of broiler chicks.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental Site, Duration, and Housing

The study was carried out in poultry experimental houses, Faculty of Animal Production, Khartoum University at Shambat, during the period from 12 December to 5 February 2013, in Temperature ranging between 22 - 30<sup>0</sup> C. The house was partitioned into 16 pens each of them have one-meter dimension.

### 2.2 Experimental Birds and Design

A total of 160 unsexed day-old Ross commercial broiler Chicken were used in the study. The chicks were weighed and randomly divided into four treatments with four replicates of 10 chicks.

### 2.3 Experimental Diets

Soybean and fish meal which were used in the experiment were purchased from Shambat market and milled to get the meal. The chicks were assigned to the four experimental diets, which were divided as follows: Diet **A** was the control, containing only an imported super concentrate, Diet **B** containing 100% soybean and fish meal (containing 75 % of soybean and of 25 % of fish meal), Diet **C** was containing 25 % of imported concentrates and 75% of the mixture, and Diet **D** containing 50% imported concentrates and 50% of the mixture. The inclusion of these diets at 5% of the feed.

### 2.4 Parameters Measured

Feed intake and body weight gain were measured weekly. At the end of the experiment, the birds were fasted overnight except for water. Four birds from each replicate were randomly selected, labeled and individually weighed. They were manually slaughtered without stunning. Birds were slaughtered at six weeks of age. The hot carcass weight was recorded. Internal organs were weighed mainly liver, gizzard, spleen, heart, and abdominal fat. Blood samples were collected from two randomly selected birds from each cage

to determine serum cholesterol, triglycerides and glucose contents using commercial kits.

### 2.5 Chemical Analysis

A sample of experimental diets were analyzed for approximate composition on a dry matter basis according to AOAC methods [15] and presented in Table 1.

### 2.6 Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance was done by Statistical Analysis System (SAS) version 9.1, software program, using one-way ANOVA. Results were shown as mean, mean separation is done by Duncan Multiple Range Test according to [16] and the significance different at (P<0.05).

## 3. RESULTS

The effect of different levels of inclusion of soybean meal and fish meal on the feed intake of broiler chick is shown in Table 2. The data showed that there was a significant difference in all weeks except in week three. The group of birds fed diet D consumed less feed, while the birds fed the control diet consumed the highest feed.

**Table 1. Determined chemical composition of the experimental diet**

Ingredients %	Experimental diets			
	A	B	C	D
Crude protein	21	22.75	21	21
Crude fiber	9.7	9.86	10.6	9.56
Ether extract	4.36	8.6	4.8	6.03
Ash	6.86	5.1	4.92	5.56
DM	96.86	95.92	96.6	96.45

**Table 2. The effect of dietary treatments on weekly feed intake (g)**

Weeks	Treatments				P –Value
	A	B	C	D	
Week1	291.6 <sup>a</sup>	145.8 <sup>c</sup>	211.7 <sup>b</sup>	104.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.00
Week2	498.5 <sup>a</sup>	338.25 <sup>c</sup>	396.25 <sup>b</sup>	393.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.00
Week3	581.90 <sup>a</sup>	424.63 <sup>a</sup>	477.24 <sup>a</sup>	408.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.92
Week4	775.42 <sup>a</sup>	481.43 <sup>ba</sup>	726.0 <sup>a</sup>	558.16 <sup>b</sup>	.001
Week5	961.66 <sup>a</sup>	649.08 <sup>c</sup>	864.90 <sup>b</sup>	820.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.001
Week6	1109.3 <sup>a</sup>	771.3 <sup>b</sup>	1006.6 <sup>b</sup>	922.8 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0072

a, b, c means with different letters in the same row are statistically different at (P<0.05)

**A:** Control Conc.

**B:** Mixture (soybean 75+25 fish meal).

**C:** 25 conc. +75 mixture.

**D:** (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture.)

Data on the weight gain of broiler chicks as affected by different treatments was presented in Table 3. In all weeks there was a highly significant difference ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) between treatments. The group of birds fed the imported super concentrate recorded the highest value of weight gain, while the lowest value of weight gain was secured in a group of birds fed diet D.

The effect of different inclusion of soybean meal and fish meal on the weight gain of broiler chick is shown in Table 4. The data showed that there

was a highly significant difference ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) in all weeks except weeks six, the bird fed diet A outnumbered the other weeks, while in week six the trend is variable.

Table 5 noted the effect of different dietary treatments on feed conversion ratio. No significant difference was found between parameters in all weeks. The trend is quite variable, but the control diet secured the best value of feed conversion ratio.

**Table 3. The effect of dietary treatments on the body weight (g) per Weeks**

Weeks	Treatments				P -Value
	A	B	C	D	
Week1	321.87 <sup>a</sup>	199.37 <sup>bc</sup>	249.87 <sup>b</sup>	179.87 <sup>c</sup>	<b>0.000</b>
Week2	579.62 <sup>a</sup>	327.62 <sup>c</sup>	452.50 <sup>b</sup>	356.00 <sup>c</sup>	<b>0.000</b>
Week3	948.77 <sup>a</sup>	547.37 <sup>c</sup>	686.92 <sup>b</sup>	616.00 <sup>bc</sup>	<b>0.000</b>
Week4	1195.75 <sup>a</sup>	753.7 <sup>c</sup>	1016.50 <sup>b</sup>	966.00 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.000</b>
Week5	1627.75 <sup>a</sup>	994.25 <sup>c</sup>	1300.50 <sup>b</sup>	1269.75 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.000</b>
Week6	2052.0 <sup>a</sup>	1198.00 <sup>c</sup>	1602.75 <sup>b</sup>	1704.75 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.000</b>

*a, b, c means with different letters in the same row are statistically different at ( $P < 0.05$ )*  
**A:** Control Conc.                      **B:** Mixture (soybean 75+25 fish meal).  
**C:** 25 conc. +75 mixture.            **D:** (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture.)

**Table 4. The effect of dietary treatments on weight Gain (g) in Each week**

Weeks	Treatments				P -Value
	A	B	C	D	
Week1	187.75 <sup>a</sup>	78.27 <sup>b</sup>	118.00 <sup>b</sup>	50.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.008
Week2	257.75 <sup>a</sup>	128.25 <sup>c</sup>	202.63 <sup>ab</sup>	176.13 <sup>bc</sup>	0.007
Week3	274.86 <sup>a</sup>	181.63 <sup>c</sup>	192.40 <sup>bc</sup>	238.94 <sup>ab</sup>	0.008
Week4	309.22 <sup>a</sup>	190.82 <sup>b</sup>	292.94 <sup>a</sup>	350.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.008
Week5	432.29 <sup>a</sup>	207.19 <sup>b</sup>	278.62 <sup>b</sup>	278.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.005
Week6	379.94 <sup>ab</sup>	166.42 <sup>c</sup>	434.92 <sup>bc</sup>	434.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.011

*a, b, c means with different letters in the same row are statistically different at ( $P < 0.05$ )*  
**A:** Control Conc.                      **B:** Mixture (soybean 75+25 fish meal).  
**C:** 25 conc. +75 mixture.            **D:** (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture.)

**Table 5. The effect of dietary treatments on weekly feed conversion ration**

Weeks	Treatments				P -Value
	A	B	C	D	
Week1	1.60	1.9825	1.95	3.36	0.21
Week2	1.98	2.6850	2.03	2.29	0.08
Week3	1.49	2.3975	2.3825	1.76	0.11
Week4	2.57	2.7625	2.55	1.59	0.099
Week5	2.25	3.33	3.2075	3.12	0.109
Week6	<b>2.43</b>	4.7	4.1500	2.02	0.15

*a, b, c means with different letters in the same row are statistically different at ( $P < 0.05$ )*  
**A:** Control Conc.                      **B:** Mixture (soybean 75+25 fish meal).  
**C:** 25 conc. +75 mixture.            **D:** (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture.)

The data in Table 6 noted the effect of different treatments on the carcass parameters. For life weight and carcass weight, the results showed that there was a significant difference between the four diets, the group of birds fed the control diet secured the highest value of life weight (2128.75 g) and carcass weight (1551.25), while the lowest life and carcass weight was recorded for the birds fed diet (B) 1275 and 824.37 respectively. For dressing percentage, a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) was observed between treatments, the birds fed diet A had the highest value (72.28), followed by diet D, B and the least diet C. The same trend was found in the internal organs liver, Heart, and Fats wherein diet A recorded the highest value, while diet B showed the lowest value.

Table 7 showed the effect of different treatments on overall performance. For feed intake and body weight, the data revealed that the birds fed diet A consumed ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) more feed (3728.4) and gained more weight (1818.7 g), while group B consumed less feed (2801.33) and had the lowest (97.5) body weight. For feed conversion ratio, no statistical difference was reported between the treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ). The best value of FCR was maintained by group fed diet A (2.05), while the worst FCR was reported for the birds fed diet C.

The inclusion of different levels of soybean meal and fish meal with the imported super concentrate on blood biochemical revealed a significant effect on protein, cholesterol,

**Table 6. The effect of dietary treatments on carcass parameters of broiler chicks**

Measurements	Treatments				P-Value
	A	B	C	D	
Live weight	2128.75 <sup>a</sup>	1275.0 <sup>c</sup>	1824.37 <sup>b</sup>	1786.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.00
Carcass weight	1551.25 <sup>a</sup>	824.37 <sup>c</sup>	1163.75 <sup>b</sup>	1196.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.00
Dressing%	72.28 <sup>a</sup>	64.65 <sup>b</sup>	63.62 <sup>a</sup>	66.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.003
Liver(g)	60.00 <sup>a</sup>	36.25 <sup>c</sup>	45.62 <sup>b</sup>	50.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.00
Heart(g)	12.50 <sup>a</sup>	9.37 <sup>a</sup>	11.25 <sup>a</sup>	11.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.154
Fat(g)	23.12 <sup>a</sup>	9.37 <sup>b</sup>	20.00 <sup>a</sup>	22.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.00

a, b, c means with different letters in the same row are statistically different at ( $P < 0.05$ )

A: Control Conc.

B: Mixture (soybean 75+25 fish meal).

C: 25 conc. +75 mixture.

D: (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture.)

**Table 7. The effect of dietary treatments on overall performance of broiler chicks**

Measurements	Treatments				P-Value
	A	B	C	D	
Feed intake	3728.44 <sup>a</sup>	2801.33 <sup>c</sup>	3669.55 <sup>a</sup>	3194.14 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.000</b>
Body weight	1818.7 <sup>a</sup>	972.5 <sup>c</sup>	1265.36 <sup>b</sup>	1342.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.000
Weight gain	852.03 <sup>a</sup>	400.00 <sup>±a</sup>	318.2 <sup>a</sup>	279.5 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.107</b>
FCR	2.050 <sup>a</sup>	2.88±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	2.90±0.40 <sup>a</sup>	2.38±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.051</b>

a, b, c means with different letters in the same row are statistically different at ( $P < 0.05$ )

A: Control Conc.

B: Mixture (soybean 75+25 fish meal).

C: 25 conc. +75 mixture.

D: (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture.)

**Table 8. The effect of dietary treatments on blood biochemical of broiler chicks**

Measurements	Treatments				P-Value
	A	B	C	D	
Protein	4.13 <sup>a</sup>	3.63 <sup>ab</sup>	3.06 <sup>bc</sup>	2.84 <sup>c</sup>	<b>0.002</b>
Albumin	1.54 <sup>a</sup>	1.54 <sup>a</sup>	1.39 <sup>a</sup>	1.32 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.307</b>
Cholesterol	115.21 <sup>a</sup>	88.85 <sup>b</sup>	84.14 <sup>b</sup>	95.37 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.003</b>
Glucose	201.18 <sup>a</sup>	210.67 <sup>a</sup>	185.09 <sup>a</sup>	174.25 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.196</b>
Lipids	393.28 <sup>a</sup>	245.73 <sup>b</sup>	256.36 <sup>b</sup>	231.28 <sup>b</sup>	<b>0.000</b>

a, b, c means with different letters in the same row are statistically different at ( $P < 0.05$ )

A: Control Conc.

B: Mixture (soybean 75+25 fish meal).

C: 25 conc. +75 mixture.

D: (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture.)

and lipids, while no significant effect was noticed in the glucose and Albumin Table 8. For protein, cholesterol, and lipids the highest value was recorded in diet A which were 4.13, 115.2, and 393.8 respectively. While the highest value of glucose and albumen was found in diet B.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Feeding cost amount to about 70% or more in the poultry industry. Optimal rations should satisfy the nutrient requirements of birds and be economically feasible. This study is an attempt to find a new alternative to the imported super concentrates in the broiler rations. The effect of different inclusion of soybean meal and fish meal on the weekly feed intake of broiler chicks and the overall feed intake revealed a significant difference between parameters, the birds fed the imported super concentrate consumed more feed than the other groups. This finding is similar to that of [17] and [18] who reported that the highest feed intake was recorded for the birds fed imported concentrate when compared to the locally made concentrate. This result is likely to be related to better availability of nutrients from the protein of the imported concentrate in the digestive tract of broiler chickens, moreover, the combinations between fish meal and soybean meal may affect the palatability of the diet because the fishy smell in the fish meal and the trypsin inhibitor in the soybean meal may result in reduction feed intake.

Highly significant variation was found in weekly body weight and overall life body weight as affected by different treatments. The imported super concentrate (the control) recorded the highest feed intake in both weekly and final body weight, while the diet D (50 imported conc. + 50 mixture) had the lowest weekly gain and Diet B (Mixture of 75 % soybean +25% fish meal) had the lowest final body weight. This result may be attributed to the lower feed consumption which was noted in this result to the locally made concentrate thus leading to a deficiency in essential amino acids. These results were in the line with the results obtained by [18] who found the imported concentrate secured the highest body weight in all six weeks except week one. On the contrary, these results were not in the line with the results obtained by [18-21] who found that body weights were similar in the chicken fed the diet with the local concentrate compared to the imported concentrate.

No statistical difference was reported between the treatments in terms of feed conversion ratio, the best value of FCR was maintained by the group of birds fed the control diet while the worst FCR was reported for the birds fed diet containing 25 imported concentrate. +75 mixtures. Changes in feed conversion ratio in chicks relate mainly to the type of diet rather than the breed, age and sex, moreover, the lowest weight gain may result in poor feed conversion ratio. The results obtained in this could be comparable to that obtained by [18] who indicated that no significant difference was obtained between local and imported concentrate for FCR. [22] found that FCR was significantly different among birds fed fish meal, Soybean meal, and Poultry by-product meal, the FCR of birds fed FM diets was poorer than birds fed SBM and PBM diets. Similar results were reported by [23] who found that the feed conversion ratio was poorer for diets containing plant concentrate in comparison to the imported control.

A higher carcass weight was recorded among chicks fed imported concentrates compared to other diets, while the birds fed diet A had the highest value followed by diet D, B and C. The same trend was found in the internal organs liver, heart, and fats wherein diet A recorded the highest value, with the least value recorded for diet B. These results are similar to that obtained by [22] who stated that birds fed soybean meal and poultry byproduct meal had significantly greater body weight ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) than those fed fish meal diets. [20] noted that there was no significant difference in the use of locally manufactured protein concentrate on the carcass traits (carcass weight, dressing percentage, relative weight of main cuts and abdominal fat). Moreover, [24] indicated that "there were no significant differences on the carcasses weights and the relative weight of the main cuts (breast and thigh) when used the poultry slaughterhouse waste powder as a source of protein into broiler diets in ratios".

The inclusion of different levels of soybean meal and fish meal with the imported super concentrate on blood biochemical revealed a significant effect on protein, cholesterol, and lipids, while no significant effect was noticed in albumin and glucose. For protein, cholesterol, and lipids the highest value was recorded in diet A, while the highest value of glucose and albumen was found in diet B. These results are similar to that found by [23] who stated that the

lowest level of serum cholesterol was observed in birds fed plant concentrate while the highest level was obtained in birds fed on control diets. [25] noted that “on the observation of blood profile studies after treatment of different levels of SHR there was an increase of Hb, PCV and ESR concentration in the birds but they were not satisfactory significant, they reported that hematological parameters remain unchanged even though after fed different levels of protein”.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It could be concluded that from this result, the inclusion of Soybean meal and Fishmeal powder as protein concentration in the broiler diet reduced the feed intake, weight gain, and carcass weight, and increased the feed conversion efficiency and caused the blood biochemical (Albumen, glucose, protein, cholesterol, and lipids) to be reduced. Further studies are needed to investigate the best levels of Soybean meal and Fishmeal that could be used without retarding the performance of the chickens.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Kairalla MA, Alshelmani MI, Aburas AA. Effect of diet supplemented with graded levels of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) powder on growth performance, carcass characteristics, blood hematology, and biochemistry of broilers. *Open Veterinary Journal*. 2022;12(5):595-601.
2. Hossain MH, Ahammad MU, Howlider MAR. Replacement of fish meal by broiler offal in broiler diet. *International Journal of Poultry Science*. 2003;2(2):159-163.
3. Alshelmani MI, Loh TC, Foo HL, Sazili AQ, Lau WH. Effect of feeding different levels of palm kernel cake fermented by *Paenibacillus polymyxa* ATCC 842 on nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology, and gut microflora in broiler chickens. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 2016;216:216-224.
4. Alshelmani MI, Kaka U, Abdalla EA, Humam AM, Zamani HU. Effect of feeding fermented and non-fermented palm kernel cake on the performance of broiler chickens: a review. *World's Poultry Science Journal*. 2021;77(2):377-388.
5. Alshelmani MI, Abdalla EA, Kaka U, Basit MA. Nontraditional feedstuffs as an alternative in poultry feed. In *Advances in Poultry Nutrition Research*. IntechOpen; 2021.
6. Kairalla MA, Aburas AA, Alshelmani MI. Effect of diet supplemented with graded levels of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) powder on growth performance, hematological parameters, and serum lipids of broiler chickens. *Archives of Razi Institute*. 2022;77(6):2077-2083.
7. Hossain MD, Bulbul SM, Howlider MAR. The composition of some unconventional feeds. *Poult. Adv*. 1989;22:37-40.
8. Seham AK, Mahgoub GE, Eiman E, Sawsan MA, Lila DM. Comparison between local and imported commercial concentrates on the Performance of broiler chicks, *Sudanese Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2015;2:16-21.
9. Ahmad M, Allah MY, Ali MA, Hossain MA. Effect of different protein concentrates replacement of fish meal on the performance of broiler. *International Journal of Poultry Science*. 2006;5(10): 959-963.
10. Omer MA. Replacement of super-concentrate by l-lysine and dl-methionine at different levels in broiler rations. MSc.Thesis, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Sudan; 2001.
11. Mukhtar AM, Mohamed HT. Comparative study of local concentrate with import concentrate inclusion in broiler chicks diets, *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research & Analysis*. 2014;4(2):2014 / 80-82.
12. Alam MJ, Holider MAR, Pramanik NI. AH, Hague MA. Effect of exogenous enzymes in diet on broiler performance. *Int. J. Poult. Sci*. 2003;2:68-173.
13. Dei HK. Soybean as a feed ingredient for live'stock and poultry, recent trends for enhancing the diversity and quality of soybean products. Prof. Dora Krezhova (Ed.); 2011. ISBN: 978-953-307-533-4, InTech.
14. Solangi AA, Memon A, Qureshi TA, Leghari HH, Baloch GM, Wigan MP. Replacement of fishmeal by soybean meal in broiler ration. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv*. 2002;1:28-30.

15. AOAC. Official Method of Analysis Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 15 th. Edition Washington, D.C. USA. 1990;66-88.
16. Steel RGD, Terrie JH. Principles and procedures of statistics. A Biometric Approach. 2nd ed. Mc Graw -Hill, NewYork, NY; 1997.
17. Amasaib EO, Zahra M. Soliman, Badawi BY. Comparative study between local and imported concentrates on the performance of broiler chicks. International Journal of Development Research. 2022;12(12):60801-60805.
18. Khalid SA, Elhag M. Comparison between local and imported commercial concentrates on the performance of broiler chicks. Sudanese Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015;2:16– 21.
19. Al-Mhsenawi Z, Alasadi M, Al khfaji Q. Effect of different levels of local concentrated protein manufactured from slaughterhouse wastes on some carcasses traits of broiler. Basrah J. Agric. Sci. 2012;34(1):60-66.
20. Jaffer MJ. Effect of using local fishmeal (Liza abu) as protein concentration in broiler diets. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2010;9(12):1097-1099.
21. Shahid R, Talat NP, Abu Saeed H, Muhammad WS, Kira M. Effect of different levels and sources of fish meal on the performance of broiler chicks. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research. 2015;6(7):July-2015.
22. Frempong, Nana S, Thomas N. N. Nortey, Chad Paulk, Charles R. Stark. Evaluating the effect of replacing fish meal in broiler diets with either soybean meal or poultry by-product Meal on Broiler Performance and total feed cost per kilogram of gain. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 2019;28:912–918.
23. Dousa BM, Abdel Ati AA, Elawad SM. Inclusion of some raw legume grains as broiler chicks concentrates. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2011;10(5):393-396.
24. Sahraei M, Lootfollahian H, Ghanbari A. Effect of poultry by product meal on performance parameters, serum Uric acid concentration and carcass characteristics. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science, 2, 73-77. Wastes on some Carcasses Traits of Broiler. Basrah J. Agric. Sci. 2012;34(1):60-66.
25. Ahmed S, Islam MA. Effect of graded levels of slaughter house residues on growth performance and haematological parameters in broiler chicken's ration. Asian Research Journal of Agriculture. ARJA. 2018;9(1):1-8.

© 2023 Amasaib and Osman; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97177>