

Prospects for Gravitational Wave Measurement of ZTF J1539+5027

Tyson B. Littenberg^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9574-578X)} and Neil J. Cornish^{[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7435-0869)} and Neil J. Cornish² and NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812, USA

 2 eXtreme Gravity Institute, Montana State [U](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7435-0869)niversity, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA Received 2019 August 1; revised 2019 August 4; accepted 2019 August 5; published 2019 August 23

Abstract

The short-period eclipsing binary ZTF J1539+5027 discovered by Burdge et al. will be a strong gravitationalwave source for the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA). We study how well LISA will constrain the parameters of this system by analyzing simulated gravitational-wave data, and find that LISA observations will significantly improve measurements of the distance and inclination of the source, and allow for novel constraints to be placed on the speed of gravity.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: [Gravitational waves](http://astrothesaurus.org/uat/678) (678); [Relativistic binary stars](http://astrothesaurus.org/uat/1386) (1386); [White dwarf](http://astrothesaurus.org/uat/1799) stars ([1799](http://astrothesaurus.org/uat/1799))

1. Introduction

Ultra-compact binaries (UCBs) are expected to be the most numerous gravitational-wave (GW) sources in the mHz band, with tens of sources already discovered electromagnetically (- Kupfer et al. [2018](#page-3-0)). The Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) is a planned space-based GW detector that will have peak sensitivity in the frequency range populated by shortperiod UCBs. The expected sensitivity of LISA is such that the UCBs will dominate the data stream from 0.1 to several mHz, making them an important source for LISA science.

The discovery of ZTF J1539+5027 (Burdge et al. [2019,](#page-3-0) hereafter [B19](#page-3-0)) as part of an ongoing survey by the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF; Bellm et al. [2019](#page-3-0)) and the Kitt Peak 84-inch Electron Multiplying Demonstrator (KPED; Coughlin et al. [2019](#page-3-0)) has added a new UCB system to the census of known LISA sources, adding to other recent discoveries such as SDSS J0651+2844 Brown et al. ([2011](#page-3-0)) and WD 0931+444 Kilic et al. ([2014](#page-3-0)).

ZTF J1539+5027, henceforth abbreviated as ZTF J1539, is among the shortest-period sources currently known. Highfrequency systems are ideal for precision measurement by LISA as they are in the most sensitive part of the LISA band, away from the confusion foreground due to low-frequency UCBs. They will also exhibit detectable changes in the orbital period due to the loss of energy and angular momentum through GW emission, breaking parameter degeneracies and enabling detailed investigations of the orbital dynamics of the binary.

The exquisite measurement of ZTF J1539 will serve as input for multi-messenger analysis of the source, combining what is now known from electromagnetic (EM) observations with what will be learned when LISA is operational. The information encoded in the GW signal is complementary to what can be measured electromagnetically, providing direct measures of distance and inclination, and independently constraining the orbital dynamics of the binary.

In this Letter we simulate a fiducial GW signal using the EM-measured binary parameters (randomizing over the GW observables that are unknown), and apply a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) pipeline for the detection and characterization of UCBs in LISA data (Littenberg [2011](#page-3-0); T. B. Littenberg et al. 2019, in preparation). We confirm that ZTF J1539 will be a loud source for LISA. LISA observations will improve the measurement of the binary inclination with 1σ uncertainty within ∼1° after 1 yr of observing, and down to \sim 0°.15 by the end of an 8 yr extended mission—a factor of \sim 5 improvement over the current measurement. Assuming that the orbital evolution is dominated by GW emission as predicted by General Relativity, and marginalizing over uncertainty in tidal dissipation, the distance to ZTF J1539 will be measured by LISA to a comparable level as currently known after a 2 yr observation, and improving the current distance measurement by a factor of 10 after an extended mission. Improvements to the distance measure are realized within 1 yr of LISA observations if measurement of ZTF J1539's orbital evolution improves due to continued EM monitoring of the source. LISA will constrain the eclipse times to a precision of ≤ 1 s, allowing for a novel constraint on the speed of gravity.

2. Method

UCB GW signals are typically modeled with eight free parameters: GW frequency at the start of observations f_0 , time derivative of the frequency f_0 ; the GW amplitude A ; two angles encoding the sky location (α, β) ; and three angles encoding the orientation of binary's orbital angular momentum vector with respect to the observer $(\iota, \psi, \text{ and } \varphi_0)$, which are the inclination, polarization angle, and phase of the waveform at the start of observations.

The simulated GW signals for this study were placed at the sky location of ZTF J1539 with parameters derived from the central values quoted in Table 1 of [B19](#page-3-0) for the orbital period and it is first time derivative P, \dot{P} ; inclination i (here ι), and distance d (here d_L). The polarization and initial phase are not constrained by EM measurements, and so were drawn randomly from uniform distributions over their supported range. The parameter values for the simulated GW signals are as follows: $f_0 = 0.00482170$ Hz, $f_0 = 2.76428021 \times 10^{-16}$ s^{-2} , $\mathcal{A} = 1.01275314 \times 10^{-22}$, $\cos \iota = 0.1$, $\psi \in U[0, \pi]$, and $\phi_0 \in U[0, 2\pi]$. Measurement of parameters that are most relevant to the understanding of ZTF J1539 are independent of the specific choice of ψ and ϕ_0 , as the UCBs are continuous sources and the LISA orbital motion allows both GW polarizations to be well sampled for $T_{\text{LISA}} > 1$ yr. The specific realization of ψ and ϕ_0 will effect the "instantaneous" signal

strength over short time intervals, such as when answering the question of how quickly ZTF J1539 will become detectable.

The waveform model is efficiently calculated in the frequency domain using a fast-slow decomposition described in Cornish & Littenberg ([2007](#page-3-0)). We simulate LISA data to be consistent with the instrument sensitivity described in Amaro-Seoane et al. ([2017](#page-3-0)), using the orthogonal time delay interferometry (TDI) channels A and E (Tinto $\&$ Dhurandhar [2014](#page-3-0)).

UCBs exhibit little frequency evolution and are thus contained within a narrow bandwidth, a feature exploited in data analysis strategies for processing the full galaxy (Crowder & Cornish [2007;](#page-3-0) Littenberg [2011](#page-3-0)). Our analysis will focus only on the narrow frequency band of data containing ZTF J1539, assuming there are no other sources present. At GW frequencies ≥ 4 mHz it is expected that sources are sufficiently separated in frequency that source confusion will not be an issue, although other sources will likely be present in the analysis bandwidth used here. To illustrate we show the LISA response to a full-galaxy simulation that is consistent with those used for the LISA Data Challenges (LDC [2018](#page-3-0)) adapted from Korol et al. (2017) (2017) (2017) , and have added ZTF J1539 for context. Data are simulated for different LISA observing times to quantify how the GW measurement evolves over the mission. $T_{\text{LISA}} = 1, 2, 4,$ and 8 yr are presented, with 4 yr being a proxy for the nominal mission lifetime, and 8 yr as a possible extended mission.

Source parameters are measured using the open-source transdimensional MCMC code under development as a prototype algorithm for LISA signal processing (Littenberg & Cornish [2018](#page-3-0)). The signal model is fixed to the sky location of ZTF J1539; i.e., we are conducting a targeted search for the source.

Taking full advantage of joint observations requires the multivariate posterior distribution from the EM observations be mapped to the GW parameters for use as priors. As a proxy for when and how joint multi-messenger analyses will improve what is understood about ZTF J1539 we look to when the GW observations become informative given what is currently known about the source. To do so we adopt uniform priors over the remaining free GW parameters to quantify the circumstances under which LISA observations are competitive with, or surpass, the measurement precision obtained in [B19](#page-3-0).

Posterior samples from the MCMC are post-processed into marginalized distributions for detailed investigations of particular parameters. To compare properties constrained by the EM measurements using the GW observables, we re-parameterize samples from the MCMC to derive posterior distributions conditional on the underlying assumptions for which the mappings are valid.

Marginalized 1D posteriors are shown using kernel density estimation (KDE) to smooth the finite-sampling of the distributions. The GW posteriors are compared to the measurements of the source parameters by the EM observations, which are represented as Gaussian distributions with central values and standard deviations taken from Table 1 of [B19.](#page-3-0) For the inclination, where the errors are asymmetric, we take the average of the upper and lower interval as the standard deviation.

Figure 1. Example LISA data containing sources from a simulated galaxy (blue), and the residual after identifiable sources have been removed (green), with ZTF J1539 added for context (dark blue). The source frequency is above the confusion noise (top panel) and has low probability of being confused with other UCBs (bottom panel).

3. Results

ZTF J1539 is a strong LISA source, as reported in $B19$, with signal-to-noise ratio of ~140 at *T*_{LISA} ~ 4 yr. By simulating the source with random polarization angle and initial phase (unconstrained by EM observations) we find $O(20\%)$ of simulations led to detection in one week of data when targeting the specific sky location of ZTF J1539. This is largely a curiosity, as the source will unambiguously be detectable after $T_{\rm LISA} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ month, regardless of the initial conditions.

According to population synthesis simulations of the galaxy, e.g., Toonen et al. ([2012](#page-3-0)) and Korol et al. ([2017](#page-3-0)), the source is in a richly populated part of the LISA measurement band, but above the frequencies where the superposition of UCBs blend together to form a confusion-limited astrophysical foreground (Bender & Hils [1997](#page-3-0)). We find for the nominal LISA mission lifetime that 99% of sources in the 4–6 mHz band have maximum overlaps with any other source of less than 50%, and a median maximum overlap of less than 0.03, indicating that ZTF J1539 has a low probability of being misidentified or blended with other sources. Consequently, the presence of other sources is unlikely to impact our results.

Figure 1 shows the power spectral density of the TDI A data stream of the simulated LISA response to a Milky Way-like population of UCBs (blue) after $T_{\text{LISA}} \sim 2 \text{ yr}$. After the resolvable systems are subtracted from the data, the residual spectrum (green) shows a bump between ∼0.4 and ∼4 mHz due to the confusion noise. The yellow line is a fit to the rms noise level, including instrument and confusion noise. As shown in the upper panel, ZTF J1539 (dark blue) is among the brighter sources in the LISA band, at higher frequency than the confusion noise. The lower panel shows a narrower frequency range which demonstrates that, while densely populated, individual sources like ZTF J1539 are clearly identifiable.

Comparing the timing of the eclipses between EM and GW observations allows us to place novel constraints on the speed of gravity (Cutler et al. [2003](#page-3-0)). Measurements of the GW initial phase ϕ_0 map to uncertainties in the timing of the eclipses: $\delta t = \delta \phi_0/(2\pi f_0)$. Dividing by the light travel time from the

Figure 2. GW-derived constraints on the inclination (top panel) and distance to the binary (bottom panel) as a function of T_{LISA} , from 1 yr (magenta) to 8 yr (teal). Solid curves use GW-only information, dashed curves use priors on f_0 and \dot{f}_0 derived from the EM observations.

system we can constrain the speed of gravity to be within 3.2 (2.1) × 10⁻¹² of the speed of light. While a factor of ∼1000 weaker than from the joint GW-EM observations of GW170817 (Abbott et al. [2017](#page-3-0)), the constraints are at very different frequencies and in very different environments. This is particularly relevant for alternative theories of gravity that invoke a screening mechanism (Creminelli & Vernizzi [2017](#page-3-0)).

The inclination is encoded in the GW signal by the relative amplitudes of the two polarization modes h_+ and h_{\times} . Due to the orbital motion of the LISA constellation, the detector samples both polarizations through the year enabling a direct constraint on inclination. The upper panel of Figure 2 shows the marginalized posterior distributions on inclination given the GW data D, $p(\ell|D)$, as a function of T_{LISA} . The LISA constraint on inclination will already improve on what is currently known after $T_{\text{LISA}} = 1$ yr. The inclination constraint continues to improve throughout the mission, reaching $0^{\circ}\!/2$ ($0^{\circ}\!/15$) precision by the end of the nominal (extended) mission.

For UCBs that exhibit GW-driven orbital evolution, the distance to the source is derived from the frequency evolution and GW amplitude. Tidal interactions in the binary are an additional source of dissipation that needs to be accounted for when mapping the GW parameters to distance.

To leading post-Newtonian order, the amplitude is $\mathcal{A} = 2\mathcal{M}^{5/3}(\pi f_0)^{2/3} / d_L$, where M is the chirp mass. Measurements of the individual masses from $B19$ constrain M to within 6%, but a more precise measurement can be derived from the rate of orbital decay. Allowing for tidal contributions, the frequency evolves as $\check{f} = (1 + \alpha_0 (f/f_0)^{4/3}) \dot{f}_{\text{GW}}$, where \dot{f}_{GW} is the usual GW-driven decay and α_0 is the tidal enhancement factor. Using these relations to eliminate M , we find $A = 5f_0/(48\pi^2(1 + \alpha_0)f_0^3 d_L)$. The analysis of [B19](#page-3-0) estimates the tidal enhancement to be $\alpha_0 = 0.067 \pm 0.005$. This estimate is subject to unquantified theoretical

Figure 3. Projected constraints on the period evolution and tidal enhancement parameter α_0 with an additional 20 yr of EM observations.

uncertainties, so it is preferable to measure α_0 directly. In principle this can be done by measuring the second derivative of the period, \ddot{P} . To see if this is feasible, we took the existing eclipse data from [B19](#page-3-0) and simulated an additional 20 yr of once-yearly observations by a large telescope capable of timing the eclipses to ∼10 ms. Note that the measurement errors on the period evolution scale linearly with the timing accuracy, and as the square root of the timing cadence: once-yearly measurements with large instruments capable of 10 ms timing are equivalent to nightly measurements at 0.2 s accuracy using smaller instruments such as KPED. The results of the simulation are shown in Figure 3 using the ZTF-derived period evolution parameters and tidal enhancement factor. We found that, while *P* can be constrained at the 4% level, α_0 is poorly measured. Thus, the most precise limits on α_0 will continue to come from the types of modeling described in [B19](#page-3-0).

We remap the MCMC samples on (f_0, f_0, A) to produce $p(d_L|D)$, marginalizing over α_0 using Equation (9) and Table 1 of [B19](#page-3-0) and drawing from 1D Gaussian distributions for the binary parameters. We find no difference in the results when assuming 10% or 1% errors on the κ_i constants that encode the internal structure of the white dwarfs. Measurement of the radii and, to a slightly lesser extent, the masses contribute most to the uncertainty in α_0 .

The lower panel of Figure 2 compares $p(d_L|D)$ with results in [B19.](#page-3-0) Displayed are inferred distributions when only the GW data is considered (solid curves), or using priors on f and \dot{f}_0 assuming continued improvement in the measurement of P and *P*˙ as shown in Figure 3 (dashed). LISA observations of ZTF J1539 will improve the distance measurement by $T_{\text{LISA}} \sim 1$ yr when incorporating projected constraints from the EM observations, and within 4 yr using the GW data only. The advantage gained by incorporating the EM priors diminishes as *T*LISA increases because the measurement becomes dominated by the uncertainty in A . Ultimately, the

distance measurement precision improves by a factor of 10 for $T_{\text{LISA}} \geq 4$ yr, localizing the source to within $\mathcal{O}(10)$ pc at 1σ .

4. Discussion

The discovery of ZTF J1539 adds to the census of known UCBs detectable through GW emission. The source will be unambiguously identified by LISA owing both to its inherently large GW amplitude and its location in the GW spectrum where source confusion is not likely to complicate the analysis.

GW measurements will independently provide comparable levels of precision to the current measurement of the orbital evolution of the system, and will improve the precision to which the source location and orientation are known. Measurement of the inclination of the binary's orbital plane is immediately improved within the first year of observations, achieving a factor of 5 decrease in uncertainty. The GW constraint on the distance to the source is a more remarkable improvement, reducing uncertainty by a factor of 10, localizing ZTF J1539 to within 10 pc. Multi-messenger observations of ZTF J1539 will allow the speed of gravity in the mHz regime to be constrained to parts in a trillion.

Not addressed in this analysis is how the LISA measurements will feed back into the EM observations to further improve understanding of the source. Multi-messenger observations are greater than the sum of their parts, and a true joint analysis incorporating both the EM and GW data, exploiting the complex relationships between observables and theoretical models, will further reveal what will be achieved when studying UCBs in the LISA era.

With over a decade until the LISA launch, and the advent of large-scale ground-based survey instruments like Gaia, ZTF, and the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope, the number of known GW sources will continue to grow providing increasingly more opportunities for conducting joint analyses of UCBs.

We thank Q. Baghi, J. Slutsky, and J.I. Thorpe for drawing our attention to the discovery paper. We thank K. Burdge and M. Coughlin for answering questions about future EM observing campaigns for ZTF J1539. T.B.L. acknowledges the support of NASA grant No. NNH15ZDA001N and the NASA LISA Study Office. N.J.C. acknowledges the support of NASA grant No. 80NSSC19K0320.

ORCID iDs

Tyson B. Littenberg **I** [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9574-578X)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9574-578X) [9574-578X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9574-578X)

Neil J. Cornis[h](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7435-0869) the [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7435-0869)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-7435-0869](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7435-0869)

References

Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2017, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c), [848, L13](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...848L..13A/abstract)

Amaro-Seoane, P., Audley, H., Babak, S., et al. 2017, arXiv[:1702.00786](http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00786) Bellm, E. C., Kulkarni, S. R., Graham, M. J., et al. 2019, [PASP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaecbe), [131, 018002](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019PASP..131a8002B/abstract)

- Bender, P. L., & Hils, D. 1997, [CQGra](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/14/6/008), [14, 1439](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1997CQGra..14.1439B/abstract)
- Brown, W. R., Kilic, M., Hermes, J. J., et al. 2011, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/737/1/L23) [737, L23](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...737L..23B/abstract)
- Burdge, K. B., Coughlin, M. W., Fuller, J., et al. 2019, [Natur,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1403-0) [571, 528](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019Natur.571..528B/abstract)
- Cornish, N. J., & Littenberg, T. B. 2007, [PhRvD](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.083006), [76, 083006](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007PhRvD..76h3006C/abstract)
- Coughlin, M. W., Dekany, R. G., Duev, D. A., et al. 2019, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz497), [485, 1412](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.485.1412C/abstract)
- Creminelli, P., & Vernizzi, F. 2017, [PhRvL,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.251302) [119, 251302](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017PhRvL.119y1302C/abstract)
- Crowder, J., & Cornish, N. 2007, [PhRvD,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.043008) [75, 043008](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007PhRvD..75d3008C/abstract)
- Cutler, C., Hiscock, W. A., & Larson, S. L. 2003, [PhRvD](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.024015), [67, 024015](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003PhRvD..67b4015C/abstract)
- Kilic, M., Brown, W. R., Gianninas, A., et al. 2014, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slu093) [444, L1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014MNRAS.444L...1K/abstract)
- Korol, V., Rossi, E. M., Groot, P. J., et al. 2017, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1285), [470, 1894](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.470.1894K/abstract)
- Kupfer, T., Korol, V., Shah, S., et al. 2018, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1545) [480, 302](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.480..302K/abstract)
- LDC 2018, LISA Data Challenges, https://lisa-ldc.lal.in2p3.fr/
- Littenberg, T. B. 2011, [PhRvD,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.063009) [84, 063009](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011PhRvD..84f3009L/abstract)
- Littenberg, T. B., & Cornish, N. J. 2018, Tlittenberg/ldasoft: Preliminary Release, v0.0, Zenodo, doi:10.5281/[zenodo.2026177](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2026177)
- Tinto, M., & Dhurandhar, S. V. 2014, [LRR](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2014-6), [17, 6](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014LRR....17....6T/abstract)
- Toonen, S., Nelemans, G., & Portegies Zwart, S. 2012, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201218966) [546, A70](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012A&A...546A..70T/abstract)