



## **Effect of City-Compost on Plant Growth, Fruit Yield and Quality of Strawberry cv. Festival in Nagaland**

**C. R. Marak <sup>a\*</sup>, Kuruba Ajay Kumar <sup>a</sup>, Kosgi Mounika <sup>a</sup> and C. S. Maiti <sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland University-797106, India.*

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1931090

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87242>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 08 March 2022**  
**Accepted 17 May 2022**  
**Published 20 May 2022**

## **ABSTRACT**

The present study on effect of different doses of city-compost were conducted including the dose of Nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium through chemical fertilizer as control ( $T_1 = \text{NPK @ } 100:60:150 \text{ Kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and it comprises of eight treatments as a total ( $T_2 = \text{City-compost @ } 1.25 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_3 = \text{City-compost @ } 2.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_4 = \text{City-compost @ } 3.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_5 = \text{City-compost @ } 4 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_6 = \text{City-compost @ } 5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_7 = \text{City-compost @ } 5.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_8 = \text{City-compost @ } 6 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ). Under growth parameters  $T_1$  gave the maximum plant spread, leaf area, number of runners and number of fruits per plant (6.33). Under yield parameters fruit size in terms of length was obtained highest in  $T_4$  (4.15 cm) and in diameters  $T_1$  gave the maximum size (2.81 cm). Maximum yield were found under  $T_1$  (81.43 g plant<sup>-1</sup>). Under quality parameters, total soluble solids (TSS) (8.03 °Brix), non-reducing sugar (2.87 %), total sugar (5.20 %) and vitamin C (41.67 mg/100ml) were recorded maximum in  $T_4$  as compared to other treatments.

*Keywords: City-compost; fertilizers; Nagaland; growth; yield; quality.*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Strawberry (*Fragaria X ananassa* Duch.) is a soft fruit which belongs to the family Rosaceace and

genus *Fragaria* is a unique fruit with highly desirable taste, flavour and excellent source of vitamins, potassium, fibre and sugars [1]. Compared to other berry fruits, strawberries

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: kurbahorti1301@gmail.com;

contain a higher percentage of vitamin C, phenolics and flavonoids [2]. Though it is a major fruit of the temperate region, with the advent of day neutral cultivars, it grows well in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. In India its cultivation is confined only to hilly tracts of Himachal Pradesh, Uttaranchal, parts of Uttar Pradesh and Kashmir valley, but with the introduction of day neutral cultivars its cultivation has spread to tropical and sub-tropical regions too. Its cultivation can be extended to other suitable areas having assured irrigation and transport facilities. The North East States, particularly Nagaland, Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, Sikkim and Mizoram provide ample opportunity for successful strawberry cultivation due to its mild climatic condition. Strawberry gives the quickest returns in shortest possible time. The strawberry fruit is the first of the season's home-grown supplies to reach the markets and while the principal demand is for desserts, it is also used for jam and ice-cream, canning and quick freezing [3].

It is commercially propagated by runners and generally one plant produces 7-10 runners but under proper management, it can go up to 15 runners per plant. Daylight period of 12 hours or less and moderate temperatures are important for flower-bud formation, but different cultivar may have a different day length and temperature requirement. Strawberry can be grown in any type of soil-poor sand to heavy clay-provided proper moisture, organic matter and drainage is present.

The importance of the study on effect of city-compost has not been done under foothill of Nagaland so far. City-compost is a finely divided peat like biodegradable material with high porosity, aeration, water holding capacity, most available micro and macro nutrients and rich microbial activity which makes it an excellent soil conditioner. Keeping in view, the present study "Effect of city-compost on plant growth, fruit yield and quality of strawberry in Nagaland" was undertaken to quantify and test the performance strawberry cultivar festival under sub-humid sub-tropical part in Nagaland with the following objectives: to study the effect of various doses of city-compost on plant growth, fruit yield, quality of fruit and to study the economics of various treatments of strawberry cultivation.

## 2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

The experiment was conducted at Horticultural Experimental Farm, School of Agriculture

Sciences and Rural Development (SASRD), Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus, in 2019. The experimental farm is situated in the humid and sub-tropical region with an average rainfall ranging from 2000 to 2500 mm annually, the mean temperature ranges from 21°C to 32°C during summer and rarely goes below 8°C in winter. The experimental plot was laid out in randomized block design with different doses of city-compost along with paddy straw as mulch material and one control (inorganic fertilizers as NPK) and replicated three times. T<sub>1</sub>: Inorganic fertilizer (N<sub>100</sub>: P<sub>60</sub>: K<sub>150</sub> Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as control, T<sub>2</sub>: City-compost @ 1.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub>: City-compost @2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub>: City-compost @3.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub>: City-compost @4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>: City-compost @5t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>7</sub>: City-compost @5.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub>: City-compost @6 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Growth parameters like plant spread, leaf area, number of runners and yield parameters like days taken to flowering, days taken to fruit set, number of fruits, days taken for ripening, fruit size, weight, yield, marketable fruit yield and projected yield was calculated by the relationships given in equations 1 and 2.

$$\text{Marketable fruit yield (g/plot)} = \frac{\text{Total fruit yield}}{\text{average number of } \frac{\text{Fruits}}{\text{plant}}} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Projected yield (q/ha)} = \frac{\text{Average yield per plant}}{\text{plant density per hectare}} \quad (2)$$

Mean data obtained during the period of investigation were statistically analyzed by the analysis of variance method [4]. The significant of different source of variance were tested by error mean square, using Fisher Snedecor 'F' test of probability at 0.5% level of significance.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to the plant growth, development, yield and quality of fruits under the different treatments obtained during the course of investigation are represented in below tables: Plants under T<sub>1</sub> gave the maximum spread (13.53 cm and 12.67 cm, 14.00 cm and 13.37 cm, 14.40 cm and 13.67 cm) at 15, 30 and 45 days respectively after planting and minimum spread was recorded in T<sub>6</sub>. Data pertaining to leaf area presented in Table 1 shows the slight differences among different doses of city-compost. The highest leaf area was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (27.33 cm<sup>2</sup>, 30.26 cm<sup>2</sup>, 33.88 cm<sup>2</sup> and 36.1 cm<sup>2</sup>) at 15, 30, 45 and 60 days after planting (DAP) and the least is found under T<sub>2</sub>. The various dose of city-compost did not influence to

Table 1. Effect of various doses of city-compost on plant growth parameters of Strawberry cv. Festival

Treatments	Plant Spread (cm)						Leaf area(cm <sup>2</sup> )				Number of runners	
	North-South			East-West			15DAP	30DAP	45DAP	60DAP	120DAP	150DAP
	15DAP	30DAP	45DAP	15DAP	30DAP	45DAP						
T <sub>1</sub>	13.53	14.00	14.40	12.67	13.17	13.67	27.33	30.26	33.88	36.18	4.67	5.33
T <sub>2</sub>	12.53	12.97	13.37	11.54	11.93	12.33	19.99	21.05	22.20	23.10	2.33	3.00
T <sub>3</sub>	13.17	13.53	13.93	12.30	12.80	13.27	20.74	21.19	23.34	24.11	2.67	3.33
T <sub>4</sub>	12.93	13.33	13.80	11.90	12.60	13.00	24.47	26.07	27.16	29.39	3.00	3.67
T <sub>5</sub>	12.37	13.03	13.47	11.30	11.87	13.07	21.55	22.27	24.04	25.23	3.33	4.00
T <sub>6</sub>	11.60	12.00	12.47	11.19	11.70	12.10	22.15	23.21	24.26	25.89	3.67	4.67
T <sub>7</sub>	12.07	12.67	13.07	12.07	12.67	13.07	22.92	23.92	24.94	26.04	4.00	5.00
T <sub>8</sub>	12.33	12.83	13.27	11.80	12.40	12.83	23.24	24.84	25.79	26.98	4.33	5.00
<b>Sem±</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.36</b>
<b>CD (P=0.5)</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.94</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>0.89</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.09</b>

\*DAP: Days after Planting

Table 2. Effect of various doses of city-compost on flower, fruit and yield attributes of strawberry cv. Festival

Treatments	Days taken to flowering	Days taken to fruit set	Number of fruits/plant	Days for fruit set to ripening	Fruit diameter (cm)	Fruit weight (gm.)	Yield (g/plant)	Marketable Fruit yield (g/plot)	Projected yield (q/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	91.27	16.63	6.33	24.20	2.81	13.07	18.43	725.67	75.82
T <sub>2</sub>	83.47	15.07	4.00	28.87	2.37	8.87	34.42	307.89	32.89
T <sub>3</sub>	89.53	16.27	4.93	27.13	2.33	10.47	50.41	454.56	47.38
T <sub>4</sub>	76.67	16.27	5.67	27.33	2.42	13.47	75.78	682.64	70.67
T <sub>5</sub>	78.33	16.47	5.33	26.27	2.59	13.20	70.63	635.89	64.13
T <sub>6</sub>	79.07	16.47	5.53	28.13	2.43	13.00	67.03	603.76	62.12
T <sub>7</sub>	82.60	16.73	5.07	25.47	2.35	12.47	63.67	573.54	58.38
T <sub>8</sub>	83.60	16.73	5.15	27.53	2.59	11.80	59.14	533.09	54.33
<b>Sem±</b>	<b>3.09</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>1.91</b>	<b>10.31</b>	<b>1.31</b>
<b>CD (P=0.5)</b>	<b>9.37</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>2.54</b>	<b>5.79</b>	<b>31.27</b>	<b>3.97</b>

produce any runners at 60 and 90 DAP. However, at 120 and 150 DAP it was found varying significantly among the treatments. It was observed that of all the treatments  $T_1$  produced maximum runners per plant (4.67 and 5.33) at 120 and 150 DAP respectively. The treatment  $T_2$  was recorded with the minimum number of runners on both the dates of observation. The results are also in agreement with findings of Abadi et al., [5] who reported on effect of municipal compost application on micro elements concentration in soil and tissue of plant of mint. Lima et al., [6] in corn confirmed the beneficial effectiveness of municipal solid waste compost and sewage sludge in maintaining plant growth and yield. With regard to plant growth parameters, the application of chemical fertilizers showed highest significant variance, it might be due to the fact that inorganic fertilizers source from Urea, SSP and MOP provides sufficient quantity of NPK which are the major elements for the production of photosynthates and accumulation of chlorophyll in the leaves.

The perusal of the data regarding flowering revealed that the number of days taken to flower showed variation among the different treatments.  $T_4$  took the least duration (76.67 days) for flower initiation among all treatments and maximum was in  $T_1$  (91.27). The data regarding days taken to fruit set did not show significant variance among the treatments. In general,  $T_2$  took least duration (15.07 days) and longest period is  $T_1$  (16.93 days). Number of fruits per plant were highest under  $T_1$  (6.33) and least recorded in  $T_2$  (4.00). The results of days taken for fruit ripening under  $T_1$  showed least number of days (24.20) and longest has been recorded in  $T_2$  (28.87). In terms of fruit diameter, the maximum fruit size

was obtained from plants under  $T_1$  (2.81cm) and the minimum in  $T_2$  (2.37 cm). The weight of the fruit varied significantly among different treatments as shown in Table 2. Maximum fruit weight was recorded under treatment  $T_4$  (13.47 g) while the minimum in  $T_2$  (8.87 g). The data regarding highest yield per plant was recorded in  $T_1$  (81.43 g/plant) and lowest in  $T_2$  (34.42 g/plant). The increase in yield of strawberry plants in the present experiment could be explained by increase the microbial population resulting from adding city-compost in soils. These microorganisms can produce substances which affect fruit weight and number of fruits. The data of highest marketable fruit yield were recorded in  $T_1$  (725.67 g/m<sup>2</sup>) while the lowest was recorded in  $T_2$  (307.89 g/m<sup>2</sup>). The data of projected yield revealed that  $T_1$  (75.82 q/ha) has shown highest and lowest in  $T_2$  (32.89 q/ha). Kavitha and Subramanian [7] in rice, Kasturi et al., [8] in fenugreek, Tzortzakis et al., [9] in pepper confirmed the effect of enriched municipal waste, solid waste on growth and yield.

Data related to quality parameters are reported in Table 3. The treatments  $T_5$  and  $T_7$  (4.33 days) showed maximum storage life under refrigerated conditions and  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_8$  (3.33 days) showed lowest shelf life. The fruits of  $T_6$  showed maximum shelf life (2 days) under room conditions and minimum was in  $T_8$  (1.00 days). The data regarding TSS recorded highest in  $T_4$  (8.03 °B) and lowest in  $T_2$  (6.20°B). The lowest titratable acidity has been recorded in  $T_2$  (0.55%) and highest in  $T_1$  (0.72%). The highest reducing sugar percentage was found in  $T_7$  (2.87%) and minimum in  $T_2$  (2.33%). The different doses of city-compost had influence on non-reducing sugar content of fruits which showed variation

**Table 3. Effect of various doses of city-compost on fruit quality parameters of strawberry cv. festival**

Treatments	Shelf life in days		Total soluble solids (°B)	Titratable acidity (%)	Reducing sugar (%)	Non-reducing sugar (%)	Total Sugar (%)	Vitamin C (mg/100ml)
	Under refrigerator	Under room condition						
$T_1$	4.00	1.67	7.55	0.72	2.63	1.73	4.37	40.00
$T_2$	3.33	1.33	6.20	0.55	2.33	1.60	3.93	28.33
$T_3$	4.00	1.67	6.46	0.56	2.43	1.63	4.07	30.00
$T_4$	3.33	1.67	8.03	0.57	2.33	2.87	5.20	41.67
$T_5$	4.33	1.67	8.00	0.59	2.50	1.97	4.4	40.00
$T_6$	3.67	2.00	7.78	0.62	2.23	2.37	4.60	38.33
$T_7$	4.33	1.33	7.04	0.64	2.87	1.30	4.17	35.00
$T_8$	3.33	1.00	6.97	0.67	2.47	1.83	4.30	33.33
<b>Sem±</b>	0.2	0.21	0.40	0.03	0.10	0.12	0.08	2.84
<b>CD (P=0.5)</b>	NS	NS	1.20	0.10	1.30	0.36	0.24	2.62

among the different treatments. The highest was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> (2.87%) while the least was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (1.30%). The fruits under T<sub>4</sub> gave the highest total sugar content (5.20%) and lowest in T<sub>2</sub> (3.93%). The ascorbic acid content was estimated highest in T<sub>4</sub> (41.67 mg/100 ml juice) and T<sub>2</sub> (28.33 mg/100ml juice) recorded lowest. Increased TSS and total sugars at higher levels of compost might have resulted since humic acid played a specific regulatory role and accumulate higher amounts of carbohydrates in the fruits which might have resulted in to higher TSS and sugar content in fruits. Shehata et al., [10] and Mahaden, [11] conducted an experiment on strawberry and revealed that organic fertilizers influence significantly on fruit yield and quality parameters.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The data regarding growth parameters and quality parameters showed much variation among the different treatments. Under growth parameters T<sub>1</sub> gave the maximum plant spread, leaf area, number of runners and number of fruits per plant (6.33). Maximum yields were also found under T<sub>1</sub> (81.43 g plant<sup>-1</sup>). Under quality parameters, total soluble solids (TSS) (8.03 °Brix), non-reducing sugar (2.87%), total sugar (5.20%) and vitamin C (41.67 mg/100 ml) were recorded maximum in T<sub>4</sub> as compared to other treatments.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Sharma RR, Sharma VP. The Strawberry. ICAR, New Delhi, India; 2004.
2. Hakkine SN, Torronen AR. Content of flavonols and selected phenolic acids in strawberries and Vaccinium species: Influence of cultivar, cultivation site technique. Food Research International. 2000;33:517-524.
3. Hughes HM, Duggon JB, Banwell MG. Strawberry bulletin no. 95, HMSO 10, 6<sup>th</sup> edition, Ministry of Agri. Fish Food, United Kingdom; 1969.
4. Panse VC, Suhatme PV. Statistical method for agriculture workers. ICAR, New Delhi. 1995;119-210.
5. Abadi ZA, Sepanlou MG, Bahmanyar MA. The effect of municipal compost application on the amount of micro elements and their absorption in soil and medicinal plant of mint (*Menthas*). African Journal of Biotechnology. 2011;10(77):17716-17725.
6. Lima JS, De Queiroz JGE, Freitas HB. Effect of selected and non-selected urban waste compost on the initial growth of corn. Resources, Conservation and Recycling. 2004;42:309-315.
7. Kavitha R, Subramanian P. Effect of enriched municipal solid waste compost application on growth, plant nutrient uptake and yield of rice. Journal of Agronomy. 2007;6(4):586-592.
8. Kasthuri H, Shanthi K, Shivakumar S, Rajakumar S, Son HK, Song YC. Influence of municipal solid waste compost (MSWC) on the growth and yield of Green Gram (*Vigna radiate* (L) wilczek), Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenumgraecum* L.) and on the soil quality. Iran Journal Environmental Health Science Eng. 2011;8(3):285-294.
9. Tzortzakis N, Gouma S, Dagianta E, Saridakis C, Papamichalaki M, Goumas D, Manios T. Use of fertigation and municipal solid waste compost for green pepper cultivation. The Scientific World Journal Volume. 2012;Article Id: 973193:8.
10. Shehata SA, Gharib AA, El-Mogy MM, Gawad KFA, Shalaby EA. Influence of compost, amino and humic acids on the growth, yield and chemical parameters of strawberries. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research. 2011;5(11):2304-2309.
11. Mahadeen AY. Influence of organic and chemical fertilization on fruit yield and quality of plastic-house grown Strawberry. Jordan journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009;5(2):167-169.

© 2022 Marak et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87242>