



Effect of Organic Production of Maize on soil Microbial Population and Activities on Alfisol in Abeokuta, Southwestern Nigeria

M. O. Adigun^{a*}, I. O. Abiola^b and O. A. Babalola^c

^a *Department of Biological Sciences, Crawford University, Faith City, Igbesa, Ogun State, Nigeria.*

^b *Department of Horticulture and Landscape Technology, Federal College of Forestry, Jericho, Ibadan, Nigeria.*

^c *Department of Soil Science and Land Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1931092

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85500>

Original Research Article

Received 02 February 2022

Accepted 06 April 2022

Published 20 May 2022

ABSTRACT

The study investigated the effect of organic production of maize on soil microbial population and activities. In this study, different fertilizers such as compost, neem fertilizer, poultry manure, green manure, NPK, organo-mineral fertilizer, were applied to the soil for maize production and soil samples were collected and taken to the laboratory for analysis. The effect of the different fertilizers on growth of maize was determined. The soil samples were analyzed for microbial biomass nitrogen, carbon and phosphorus; total viable count and total fungi count; phosphorus mineralization and organic matter level. Results of the analysis showed that compost and NPK gave significantly higher numbers of leaves, plant height and leaf area than other fertilizers. Poultry manure and organo-mineral fertilizer gave significantly higher microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen relative to other fertilizers but organo-mineral fertilizer gave significantly higher microbial biomass phosphorus. Neem fertilizer gave a significantly higher viable count while NPK gave a significantly higher total fungi count compared to other fertilizers. Organo-mineral fertilizer gave significantly higher inorganic phosphorus and organic matter production shortly after application (3 WAP) whereas neem fertilizer gave higher levels at 6 WAP. Conclusively, the use of composts should be encouraged in the organic production of maize to enhance yield and improve the soil quality.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: micadigun@yahoo.com;

Keywords: Chemical fertilizer; maize; microbial population; neem; organo-mineral; poultry manure.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the important concerns in the effort to improve crop production in Nigeria is the maintenance of soil fertility and productivity [1]. In the past, cultural methods like shifting cultivation and bush fallowing were practiced by the farmers especially the peasant farmers to maintain and improve the soil fertility and productivity. However, due to demographic and economic changes, the attendant shortcoming of the fallow period has led to rapid decline in soil fertility and productivity [2]. Consequently, land is no longer able to support economic yield of crops without the addition of nutrients in form of fertilizers. Nevertheless, many studies [3,4,5] have shown that continuous use of inorganic fertilizers alone may lead to soil chemical degradation, which may be detrimental to the health of the ecosystem. Furthermore, fertilizers prices have been increasing in recent years and the procurement and distribution network has been largely insufficient. Consequently, fertilizers are getting out of reach of farmers.

Study [6] has indicated that the key to soil maintenance in Nigeria is the improvement of soil organic matter. This is valid because our soils are generally low in organic matter, low in Cation Exchange Capacity, loose in structure and do not hold water and nutrient to any appreciable extent [6]. These are properties which could be improved by the addition of organic matter. Organic matter acts as store house of nutrients like Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulphur etc. it improves infiltration, stabilizes soil structure, improves soil tilt, releases carbon dioxide and provides energy for micro organisms. This has heightened the interest of agronomists and soil scientists in the use of organic manure as nutrient source for our soils. Soil organic matter also affects crop growth and yield, either directly by supplying nutrients or indirectly by modifying the soil physical properties, thereby improving the root environment, thus stimulating plant growth [7], (Cabrera et al., 1998); [8]. Bauer and Black [9] indicated that the highest total aerial dry matter and grain yields were associated with the highest organic matter contents of the soils. In addition, crop production based on the use of organic manures rather than chemical fertilizers is assumed to be a more sustainable type of agriculture [10,11,12,13,14].

Therefore, in recent years the application of organic fertilizer has received great attention from environmentalists, agriculturists and consumers alike. The nutrients released after the biological breakdown of the soil organic matter supply the nutrients essential for plant growth in organic farming. In general, the mineralization rate of soil organic matter is slow [15,16]. Therefore, to establish and maintain soil organic matter content to a certain level through the initial application of a large quantity and the continuous application of compost are important in organic farming [17,18] and enhance the soil microbial activities which enhance nutrient supply [19]. However, climate and soil significantly affect the accumulation and storage of organic matter in the soil because of the interactions of temperature and moisture on plant productivity and the ability of the soil mineral components to retain organic matter. Soil is known to be composed of four major components namely: mineral materials (45%), water (25%), air (25%) and organic matter (5%) [20]. These components exist in intimately mixed condition and interaction between these components may not permit ideal condition for plant growth. Among the four components, organic matter is of utmost importance in cultivated soils [21]. This could be largely attributed to the functions it performs in improving soil fertility. Under intensive farming, most soils exhibit rapid depletion of organic matter [22]. It is interesting to know that all the functions of organic matter depend on decomposition and its eventual mineralization which is done by soil organisms. This project therefore, aims at using organic fertilizers to produce maize and to determine their effects on soil microbial population and activities.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location of the Site

The site that was used for this project is located behind the male hostel (Umar Kabir Hall), beside the College of Plant Science farm in Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Southwestern Nigeria. The site has a mean annual rainfall of 1113.1mm. The rainfall has the characteristics bimodal distribution with peak in July and September and a break in August.

2.2 Field Layout

The field was prepared through minimum tillage. A total land area of 26 m x 37 m (962 m²) was used and this was divided into 28 plots of 5 m x 4 m (20 m²) each. Six plots were applied with fertilizers and one plot used as control. All these were replicated four times.

2.3 Treatments

The fertilizer used for the project were compost obtained by composting Mexican sunflower (*Tithonia diversifolia* and poultry dung), poultry dung, neem fertilizer, organo-mineral fertilizer, NPK and green manure (fresh *Tithonia diversifolia*). The organic fertilizers were worked thoroughly into the soil well before planting, green manure was incorporated, but NPK fertilizer was applied two weeks after planting.

2.4 Experimental Design

The experimental design used was Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD).

2.5 Planting

Maize was planted two weeks after the application of organic fertilizer using a spacing of 75 cm x 50 cm.

2.6 Data Collection

The agronomic parameters collected weekly include: Plant height (cm), Leaf area (cm²) and Number of leaves

2.7 Physical and Chemical Analysis of Soil Sample

The laboratory analyses that were carried out on the soils at the beginning and at the end of the experiments were: Particle size analysis using the hydrometer method described by Gee and Bander [23], pH was determined in a 1:1 soil to water using a pH meter with glass electrode (Thomas, 1996), Total N was determined by macro Kjeldhal digestion technique by Bremner [24], Organic carbon was also determined by wet oxidation method of Walkley and Black which was modified by Nelson and Sommers [25]. Available P was extracted using Bray 1 method [26] and determined colorimetrically using the method of Murphy and Riley (1962). Exchangeable acidity was determined by

titrimetry [26]. CEC was determined by summation of total exchangeable bases and total acidity [27] while Exchangeable bases were extracted with one normal ammonium acetate buffer at pH 7.0. Na⁺ and K⁺ in the extract were determined by flame photometry while Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ were determined using AAS [26].

2.8 Microbial Biomass Determination

Microbial biomass Carbon = (Extracted C_{t1} – Extracted C_{t0}) x 2.64

Microbial biomass Nitrogen = (Extracted N_{t1} – Extracted N_{t0}) x 1.46

Microbial biomass Phosphorus = (Extracted P_{t1} – Extracted P_{t0}) x 2.5

2.9 Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to test for differences among samples and Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for mean separation.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Initial Soil Sample Analysis

Table 1 shows the routine analysis carried out on the soil used. The soil is loamy sand, neutral in reaction, low in organic carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus with moderate level of Cation Exchange Capacity.

Table 2 shows the microbial parameters of the initial soil sample which indicates that the soil had microbial biomass Carbon of 14 mg kg⁻¹, microbial biomass Nitrogen of 0.31 mg kg⁻¹, microbial biomass Phosphorus of 17.15 mg kg⁻¹; It also had a total viable count of 26.2 x 10⁵ cfug⁻¹ and total fungal count of 0.75 x 10⁵ cfug⁻¹.

3.2 Effect of Different Types of Fertilizers on Number of Leaves of Maize

Table 3 shows that at 3 WAP, green manure and control gave significantly lower number of leaves compared to other fertilizer (p<0.05). At 4 WAP, NPK, compost and poultry manure gave a significantly higher number of leaves than control but was similar to neem fertilizer, organo-mineral fertilizer and green manure. At 5 WAP, NPK fertilizer gave significantly higher number of leaves than neem fertilizer, green manure and control, it was followed by neem fertilizer which gave higher number of leaves than green

manure and control, while green manure had significantly higher number of leaves than control ($p < 0.05$). At 6 WAP, compost gave significantly higher number of leaves than any other fertilizers except NPK which was followed by organo-mineral fertilizer; the next was poultry manure which had a significantly higher number of leaves than control. Control gave significantly lower number of leaves than some of the treatments ($p < 0.05$) but similar to neem fertilizer and green manure.

3.3 Effect of Different Types of Fertilizers on Plant Height of Maize

Table 4 shows that at 3 WAP, NPK gave significantly higher plant height compared to

organo-mineral, green manure and control while it was followed by organo-mineral fertilizer. Control and green manure gave a significantly lower plant height than other treatment ($p < 0.05$). At 4 WAP, NPK, compost and poultry manure gave significantly higher plant height than any other fertilizer, this was followed by organo-mineral fertilizer and neem fertilizer. Control and green manure gave significantly lower plant height than other treatments ($p < 0.05$). At 5 WAP, NPK, compost and poultry manure gave a significantly higher plant height than control ($p < 0.05$) and had a similar plant height with other fertilizers. At 6 WAP, compost and NPK gave a significantly higher plant height than neem fertilizer, green manure and control ($p < 0.05$) but similar to other fertilizers.

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil (0-20 cm)

Parameters	Values
Sand %	86.4
Clay %	10.0
Silt %	3.6
Textural class	Loamy sand
pH	7.1
Organic carbon %	1.3 %
Organic matter %	2.24 %
Exchangeable acidity (cmolkg^{-1})	5.9
Exchangeable bases (Mg, Ca, K, Na)	
Magnesium (cmolkg^{-1})	0.80
Calcium (cmolkg^{-1})	3.25
Potassium (cmolkg^{-1})	0.22
Sodium (cmolkg^{-1})	0.21
CEC (cmolkg^{-1})	10.38
Total Nitrogen, %	0.09
Available Phosphorus (mgkg^{-1})	7.78

Table 2. Microbial parameters of initial soil sample

Parameters	Values
Micro biomass carbon (mgkg^{-1})	14
Micro biomass nitrogen (mgkg^{-1})	0.13
Micro biomass phosphorus (mgkg^{-1})	17.15
Total viable count (cfug^{-1})	26.2×10^5
Total fungal count (cfug^{-1})	0.75×10^5

Table 3. Effect of different types of fertilizers on number of leaves of maize

Fertilizers	3WAP	4WAP	5WAP	6WAP
Green manure	8.6 ^b	10.9 ^{ab}	11.5 ^c	12.0 ^{cd}
Organo-mineral fertilizer	10.6 ^a	12.1 ^{ab}	12.9 ^{ab}	12.7 ^b
Neem fertilizer	10.2 ^a	11.2 ^{ab}	12.3 ^b	12.0 ^{cd}
Poultry manure	11.2 ^a	12.5 ^a	12.6 ^{abc}	12.3 ^c
Compost	11.3 ^a	12.6 ^a	13.3 ^{ab}	13.7 ^a
NPK	11.6 ^a	12.4 ^a	13.5 ^a	13.3 ^{ab}
Control	8.3 ^b	9.5 ^b	10.8 ^d	11.3 ^d

Means with different superscript on the same column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)

Table 4. Effect of different types of fertilizers on plant height of maize

Fertilizers	3WAP	4WAP	5WAP	6WAP
Green manure	39.9 ^c	59.6 ^c	127.5 ^{ab}	198.7 ^b
Organo-mineral fertilizer	52.3 ^b	81.4 ^b	137.9 ^{ab}	226.7 ^{ab}
Neem fertilizer	54.7 ^{ab}	80.6 ^b	131.6 ^{ab}	210.9 ^b
Poultry manure	58.8 ^{ab}	101.6 ^a	157.3 ^a	235.9 ^{ab}
Compost	61.8 ^{ab}	112.2 ^a	170.8 ^a	261.6 ^a
NPK	66.4 ^a	102.5 ^a	164.4 ^a	262.1 ^a
Control	34.8 ^c	51.9 ^c	89.9 ^b	198.3 ^b

Means with different superscript on the same column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$).

Table 5. Effect of different types of fertilizers on leaf area of maize

Fertilizers	3WAP	4WAP	5WAP	6WAP
Green manure	251.8 ^{cd}	376.8 ^b	411.2 ^c	694.1 ^c
Organo-mineral fertilizer	402.8 ^b	605.5 ^a	567.2 ^b	764.6 ^b
Neem fertilizer	376.6 ^c	527.2 ^{ab}	563.8 ^b	663.0 ^c
Poultry manure	479.9 ^{abc}	657.4 ^a	622.7 ^{ab}	775.7 ^{bc}
Compost	496.7 ^{ab}	704.9 ^a	644.9 ^{ab}	928.0 ^a
NPK	547.5 ^a	663.5 ^a	689.2 ^a	833.8 ^{ab}
Control	193.6 ^d	337.4 ^b	452.9 ^c	678.3 ^c

Means with different superscript on the same column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$).

3.4 Effect of Different Types of Fertilizers on Leaf Area of Maize

Table 5 shows that at 3 WAP, NPK gave a significantly higher leaf area than any other treatments except poultry manure and compost; this was followed by organo-mineral fertilizer, followed by neem fertilizers. Control gave a significantly lower leaf area than the treatments ($p < 0.05$) but similar to green manure. At 4 WAP, green manure and control gave significantly lower leaf area than other fertilizers ($p < 0.05$) with the exception of neem fertilizer. At 5 WAP, NPK gave significantly higher leaf area than some other fertilizers although it was similar to compost and poultry manure, followed by organo-mineral and neem fertilizers. Control and green manure gave significant lower leaf area than other treatments ($p < 0.05$). At 6 WAP, compost gave significantly higher leaf area compared to other fertilizers but similar to NPK, followed by organo-mineral fertilizers which was significantly higher than control, neem and green manure ($p < 0.05$).

3.5 Effect of Different Fertilizers on Activities and Population of Soil Micro-organisms

Table 6 shows that plots treated with organo-mineral fertilizer had a significantly higher microbial biomass phosphorus compared to other treatments, this was followed by NPK

which was similar to green manure, followed by control which was higher than compost, neem fertilizer and poultry manure, followed by neem fertilizer while poultry manure gave a significantly lower microbial biomass phosphorus than other treatments ($p < 0.05$) with the exception of compost.

Plots treated with poultry manure gave a significantly higher microbial biomass carbon than any other fertilizers and control. It was followed by NPK which was similar to control, followed by compost, followed by green manure. Organo-mineral fertilizer gave a significantly lower microbial biomass carbon compared to other treatment ($p < 0.05$) with the exception of neem fertilizer. Plots treated with poultry manure, control, NPK and compost gave significantly higher microbial biomass nitrogen compared to the other fertilizers and control, it was followed by green manure. Neem fertilizer and organo-mineral fertilizer gave a significantly lower microbial nitrogen compared to other fertilizer ($p < 0.05$). Neem fertilizers gave significantly higher total viable count than poultry manure but similar to all other treatments ($p < 0.05$). NPK gave a significantly higher total fungal count than other treatments but comparable to neem fertilizer and control, followed by poultry manure, green manure follows. Organo-mineral and compost gave significantly lower total fungal count than other treatments ($p < 0.05$).

Table 6. Activities and population of microorganisms as affected by different fertilizers in maize production

Fertilizers	Microbial BiomassP (mg kg ⁻¹)	Microbial BiomassC (mg kg ⁻¹)	Microbial BiomassN (mg kg ⁻¹)	TotalViable Count (cfug ⁻¹)	TotalFungi Count (cfug ⁻¹)
Compost	9.78 ^{de}	10.21 ^c	1.11 ^a	18.4x10 ^{5ab}	0.4x10 ^{5d}
Green manure	10.40 ^{bc}	10.03 ^d	0.58 ^b	18.2x10 ^{5ab}	0.5x10 ^{5c}
NPK	10.79 ^b	10.56 ^b	1.06 ^a	16.65x10 ^{5ab}	0.7x10 ^{5a}
Neem fertilizer	10.08 ^d	9.55 ^{de}	0.09 ^c	19.6x10 ^{5a}	0.65x10 ^{5ab}
Organo-mineral	11.33 ^a	9.29 ^c	0.09 ^c	19.15x10 ^{5ab}	0.4x10 ^{5d}
Poultry manure	9.39 ^c	10.93 ^a	1.25 ^a	15.7x10 ^{5b}	0.55x10 ^{5b}
Control	10.63 ^c	10.18 ^{bc}	1.03 ^a	18.55x10 ^{5ab}	0.6x10 ^{5abc}

Means with different superscript on the same column differ significantly ($p<0.05$)

Table 7. Percent organic and inorganic phosphorus as affected by different fertilizer in maize production

Fertilizers	OrganicP 3WAP	InorganicP 3WAP	OrganicP 6WAP	InorganicP 6WAP
Compost	0.52 ^{ab}	0.20 ^{ab}	0.42 ^{ab}	0.22 ^b
Green manure	0.47 ^{ab}	0.19 ^{ab}	0.38 ^b	0.18 ^{bc}
NPK	0.47 ^{ab}	0.19 ^{ab}	0.42 ^{ab}	0.23 ^{ab}
Neem fertilizer	0.59 ^a	0.24 ^{ab}	0.50 ^a	0.25 ^a
Organo-mineral	0.59 ^a	0.26 ^a	0.51 ^a	0.23 ^{ab}
Poultry manure	0.58 ^a	0.22 ^{ab}	0.34 ^{bc}	0.18 ^{bc}
Control	0.38 ^b	0.18 ^b	0.24 ^c	0.15 ^c

Means with different superscript on the same column differ significantly ($p<0.05$)

Table 8. Soil organic carbon and organic matter as affected by different fertilizers in maize production

Fertilizers	Organic Carbon 3WAP	Organic Matter 3WAP	Organic Carbon 6WAP	Organic Matter 6WAP
Compost	2.02 ^{ab}	3.48 ^{ab}	1.60 ^c	2.76 ^c
Green manure	1.92 ^{ab}	3.31 ^{ab}	1.97 ^{abc}	3.4 ^{abc}
NPK	2.04 ^{ab}	3.52 ^{ab}	1.85 ^b	3.19 ^{bc}
Neem fertilizer	1.89 ^{ab}	3.26 ^{ab}	2.25 ^a	3.88 ^a
Organo-mineral	2.38 ^a	4.1 ^a	1.81 ^{bcd}	3.12 ^{bcd}
Poultry manure	1.69 ^b	2.91 ^b	1.49 ^d	2.57 ^d
Control	2.17 ^{ab}	3.74 ^{ab}	2.14 ^{ab}	3.69 ^b

Means with different superscript on the same column differ significantly ($p<0.05$)

3.6 Effect of Different Fertilizers on Soil Organic and Inorganic Phosphorus

Table 7 shows that at 3 WAP, control plot gave significantly lower organic phosphorus than neem fertilizer, organo-mineral fertilizer and poultry manure but was comparable with NPK, green manure and compost. Also, plots treated with organo-mineral fertilizer had significantly higher inorganic phosphorus than control but was similar to other treatment ($p<0.05$). At 6WAP, plots treated with neem and organo-mineral fertilizer gave significantly higher organic

phosphorus than green manure and control but comparable to other treatments, this was followed by green manure while control plots had a significantly lower organic phosphorus than the other treatments ($p<0.05$) with the exception of poultry manure. Also, plots treated with neem fertilizer had significantly higher inorganic phosphorus than other fertilizers but was comparable to organo-mineral fertilizer and NPK, followed by compost while control gave significantly lower inorganic phosphorus than other treatments ($p<0.05$) but comparable to green manure and poultry manure.

3.7 Effect of Different Fertilizers on Organic Matter Production

Table 8 shows that at 3 WAP, plots treated with organo-mineral fertilizer had a significantly higher organic matter than poultry manure but comparable to other types of fertilizer and control ($p < 0.05$). At 6 WAP, plots treated with neem fertilizer had significantly higher organic matter compared to other fertilizer but comparable to green manure, followed by control, followed by compost while poultry manure gave a significantly lower organic matter compared to other treatments and control but was comparable to organo-mineral fertilizer ($p < 0.05$).

4. DISCUSSION

NPK fertilizer and compost had the highest effect on the number of leaves, plant height and leaf area of maize. This was due to the fact that the nutrients in NPK fertilizer are easily released for plant uptake. Previous studies have also shown that composted organic materials enhanced fertilizer use efficiency, by releasing nutrients slowly and thus reducing the losses, particularly nitrogen [28,29,30,31,32,33,17,34,35,18,36,37,38]. Also, compost which was a combination of *Tithonia diversifolia* and poultry manure had undergone decomposition hence; it gave a faster released of nutrients. Control and green manure had the lowest effect on number of leaves, plant height and leaf area of maize, this is due to the fact that, no nutrient is applied in control hence; the nutrients in the soil were inadequate to meet plant needs. Green manure which was fresh *Tithonia diversifolia*, takes a longer time for it to decompose slowing down the release of nutrients and hence having little or no effect on plants during its early growth stage. This is in consonance with Adediran et al. (1999) who reported that nutrients in organic fertilizer are not immediately available to plants, although, this slow release feature can be advantageous.

The microbial biomass phosphorus was higher in plots treated with organo-mineral fertilizer and NPK; this is because nutrients in inorganic fertilizer are easily released to the soil rendering them available for microbial assimilation. Since addition of organic fertilizer increases mobilization of phosphorus and microbial activities in soil, it might also be a contributing factor in improving nutrition, as well as root system [39]. Similar studies by other workers have shown that the composted organic

materials enhanced fertilizer use efficiency by releasing it slowly and thus, reducing its losses [29-44]. Also, organic phosphorus was least in control plots because no nutrient was added and the mineralization of phosphorus to inorganic phosphorus was least in control as well.

5. CONCLUSION

The study shows that plots treated with compost and NPK gave significantly higher number of leaves, plant height and leaf area than other fertilizers. While plots treated with poultry manure and organo-mineral fertilizer had significantly higher microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen relative to other fertilizers but plots treated with organo-mineral had significantly higher in microbial biomass phosphorus. Furthermore, plots treated with neem fertilizer had higher microbial viable count while NPK had higher fungi count than other fertilizer and control. Plots treated with organo-mineral fertilizer had higher phosphorus mineralization and organic matter production shortly after application (3 WAP) whereas neem fertilizer gave higher levels at 6 WAP. Therefore, the use of value added organic fertilizer to get higher yield is therefore wise than sole application of either huge amount, low quality, raw organic material or adequate amount of chemical fertilizer. The use of organic manure ensures stability of soil structure improve soil organic matter status, nutrients availability and high crop yield.

6. RECOMMENDATION

Since compost was observed to be efficient in most of the parameters investigated, it is therefore recommended that enhanced compost should be used as soil amendment in organic production of maize. Furthermore, it is recommended that this type of research should be done for other crops since the crops may differ in their response to enhanced organic fertilizers.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Akinbola GE, Adigun MO, Shittu KA. Soil characteristics and qualities of an inland valley bottom land for dry season sweet

- potato production. Journal of Applied Agricultural Research. 2012;4(1):127–132.
2. Adetunji MT. Organic residue management, soil nutrient changes and maize yield in a humid ultisol. Nutrient Cycling in Agro-system. 1997;47:184-195.
 3. Agboola AA. Solving the problem of soil fertility in the African Semi-arid zone. International proceedings seminar on drought and food grain production in semi-arid regions of sub-sahara Africa. 1980:429-444.
 4. Agboola AA, Omueti JAI. Soil fertility problems and its management in tropical Africa. In: International conference on land clearing and development proceedings. Vol.2, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria; 1982.
 5. Nottidge DO, Ojeniyi SO, Asawalam DO. A comparative effect of plant residue and NPK fertilizer on nutrient status and yield of maize in a humid ultisol. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science. 2005;15:1-8.
 6. Agboola AA, Akinnifesi FK. Soil fertility management for the humid tropics of Africa. In; Soil and water management for sustainable productivity. Seasonal Africa Soil Science Society Conference Proceedings. 1991:107-125.
 7. Avnimelech Y. Organic residues in modern agriculture. In The Role of Organic Matter in Modern Agriculture. Eds Y Chen and Y Avnimelech. Martines Nijhoff Publishers, Boston. 1986:1-10.
 8. Liebig MA, Doran JW. Impact of organic production practices on soil quality indicators. Journal of Environmental Quality. 1999;28:1601-1609.
 9. Bauer A, Black AL. Quantification of the effect of soil organic matter content on soil productivity. Soil Science Society of American Journal. 1994;58:185–193.
 10. Yayock JY, Awoniyi JO. Organic manure, industrial waste and chemical fertilization of maize and sorghum. Samaru Agricultural Newsletter. 1974;16(1):37-39.
 11. Fabiyi LL, Ogunfowora OO. Economics of production and utilization of organic fertilizers in the Nigeria Agriculture: Present and Future. Ed. Federal Ministry Sci. Technol. Lagos. 1992:90-110.
 12. Obire O, Akinde SB. Poultry manure amendments of oil polluted agronomic soils for sustainable development in the Niger Delta. Journal of Nigerian Environmental Society. 2004;2(2):138-143.
 13. Obire O, Akinde SB. Soil physico – chemical and microbiological criteria as indicators of soil fertility management: effect of poultry droppings augmentation. Journal of Nigerian Environmental Society. 2005;2(3):291-295.
 14. Obire O, Akinde SB. Comparative study of the efficiency of cow dung and poultry manure as nutrient sources in bioremediation of oil polluted soil. Niger Delta Biologia. 2006;5(2):82-91.
 15. Fernandez I, Cabaneiro A, Gonzalez-Prieto SJ. Partitioning CO₂ effluxes from Martines Nijhoff Publisher, Boston; 2006.
 16. Li ZP, Zhang TL, Han FX, Felix-Henningsen P. Changes in soil C and N contents and mineralization across a cultivation chronosequences of paddy fields in subtropical China. Pedosphere. 2005;15:554-562.
 17. Amujoyegbe BJ, Opabode JT, Olayinka A. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on yield and chlorophyll content of maize (*Zea mays* L) *Sorghum bicolor* (L) Moerich. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2007;6(16):1869-1873.
 18. Adeleye EO, Ayeni LS, Ojeniyi SO. Effect of poultry manure on soil physico-chemical properties, leaf nutrient contents and yield of yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*) on Alfisol in Southwestern Nigeria. Journal of American Society. 2010;6(10):871-878.
 19. Udoh DJ, Ndon BA, Asuquo PE, Ndaeyo NU. Crop production techniques for the tropics. Concept Publisher, Lagos, Nigeria. 2005:223-247.
 20. Harry OB, Nyle CB. The nature and properties of soil. The Macmillan Company New York; 1966.
 21. Adigun MO, Babalola OA. Dynamics of Nitrogen on Soybean Field Amended with Poultry Manure. British Microbiology Research Journal. 2016;16(6):98-102. DOI: 10.9734/BMRJ/2016/27759.
 22. Agboola AA, Sobulo RA. A review of soil fertility in Southwestern zone of Nigeria. Report No. 6. Federal Department of Agriculture and Land Resources, Kaduna, Nigeria. 1981:1-35.
 23. Gee GW, Bauder JW. Particle size analysis. In: Klute A. (ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis, Part. Second Edition. American

- Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison WI. 1986;1:383-411.
24. Bremner JM. Total Nitrogen. In: Sparks DL (ed) Methods of soil analysis: Chemical method. Part 3. SSSA, ASA, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 1996:1123-1184.
 25. Nelson DW, Sommers LE.. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, 2nd ed., A.L. Page et al., Ed. Agronomy. American Society of Agronomy, Inc. Madison, WI; 1996;9:961-1010.
 26. IITA. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture Annual report, Ibadan; 1997.
 27. Braize. Soil Science Analysis. Wiley. 1998:192.
 28. Paul EA, Clark FE. Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry. Academic Press, San Diego, CA; 1996.
 29. Muneshwar S, Tripathi AK, Reddy KS, Singhi KN. Soil phosphorus dynamics in a vertisol as affected by cattle manure and nitrogen fertilization in soybean-wheat system. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science. 2001;164(6):691-696.
 30. Nevens F, Reheul D. The application of vegetable, fruit and garden waste (VFG) compost in addition to cattle slurry in a silage maize monoculture: nitrogen availability and use. European Journal of Agronomy. 2003;19:189-203.
 31. Golap MH, Denney MJ, Iyekar C. Use of composted organic wastes as alternative to synthetic fertilizers for enhancing crop productivity and agricultural sustainability on the tropical island of Guam. Paper presented at 13th international Soil Conservation Organization Conference, Brisbane July; 2004.
 32. Felipo MT, Huerta O, Lopez M, Soliva M. Research on organic soil recycling and its applicability to local scenerios. Ferre-Balas D, Mulder KF, Bruno J and Sans R (eds), Int. Confer. Eng. Edu. Sustain. Dev. (EESD). 2004:8.
 33. Ahmad R, Khalid A, Arshad M, Zahir ZA, Naveed M. Effect of raw (un-composted) and composted organic waste material on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L). Soil Environment. 2006;25(2):135-142.
 34. Polat E, Uzun HI, Topcuoglu B, Onal K, Onus AN, Karaca M. Effects of spent mushroom compost on quality and productivity of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L) grown in greenhouses. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2009;8(2):176-180.
 35. Ewuzie PO. Evaluation of spent mushroom substrate, poultry manure and urea as soil amendments for growing fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis* HOOK. F) Ph.D Thesis, RSUST Port Harcourt, Nigeria. 2009:126.
 36. Bamaiyi LJ, Dauj LZ, Gabriel VK, Lyocks WSJ, Tanimu J. Effect of poultry manure rates and vine staking on the incidence and severity of *Cylas puncticolis* (Curculionidae: Brentidae) on sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* Lam). Journal of Agricultural Biological Science. 2011;2(3):049-053.
 37. Mbah CN, Njoku C, Idike FI, Ezike KNN. Potentials of rice wastes as soil amendment: Effect on soil physical properties and maize (*Zea mays*) yield. Journal of Agricultural Biological Science. 2011;2(3):054-058.
 38. Babalola OA, Adigun MO. Effects of pig dung and poultry manure with plant residues on the production of some fruit vegetables. International Multidisciplinary Research Journal. 2013;3(2): 32-35.
 39. Bahl GS, Toor GS. Influence of poultry manure on phosphorus availability and the standard phosphate requirement of crop estimated from quantity-intensity relationship in different soils. Bioresource Technology. 2002;85:317-322.
 40. Asghar HN, Ishaq M, Zahir ZA, Khalid M, Arshad M. Response of radish to integrated use of nitrogen fertilizer and recycled organic waste. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2006;38(3):691-700.
 41. Zahir ZA, Iqbal M, Arshad M, Naveed M, Khalid M. Effectiveness of IAA, GA3 and kinetin blended with recycled organic wastes for improving growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2007a;39(3):761-768.
 42. Zahir ZA, Naveed M, Zafar MI, Rehman HS, Ashad M, Khalid M. Evaluation of composted organic waste enriched with Nitrogen and L-Tryptophan for improving growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2007b;39(5):1739-1749.
 43. Cabrera D, Young SD, Rowell DL. The toxicity of cadmium to barley plants as affected by complex formation with humic acid. Plant Soil. 1988;105:195-204.

44. Salako FK. Effect of tillage, *Mucuna pruriens* and poultry manure on maize growth on physically degraded alfisols in Abeokuta, Southwestern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science. 2008; 18:10-21.

© 2022 Adigun et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85500>