International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(20): 164-169, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.87929
ISSN: 2320-7035

Response of Inorganic Fertilizers, Crop Residues, Organic Manure and Bio-fertilizer on Soil Health and Yield attributes of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.)

Rinku Kumari [a*], Arun Alfred David [a], Tarence Thomas [a] and I. Srinath Reddy [a]

[a] Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2031140

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87929

Original Research Article

Received 25 March 2022
Accepted 04 June 2022
Published 11 June 2022

ABSTRACT

During Kharif season 2021-22 at the central research farm of the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry in Naini Agriculture Institute research was carried out on response of cowpea by applying crop residues, organic manure, inorganic and Bio-fertilizers for effective crop growth. The experiment trial was conducted based on Randomize Block design with 9 treatments and 3 replications. The results revealed that the soil bulk density ranged from 1.15 to 1.45 Mg m^{-3}, particles density ranged from 2.04 to 2.44 Mg m^{-3}, and pore space ranged from 40.69% to 45.62%. The pH ranged from 6.63 to 7.27, E.C from 0.146 to 0.253 dS m^{-1}, Soil Organic carbon ranged from 0.344 to 0.627%, Available Nitrogen ranged from 142.38 to 248.39 kg ha^{-1}, Phosphorus ranged from 15.28 to 35.37 kg ha^{-1}, Potassium 179.63 to 240.67 kg ha^{-1} respectively. T_3 was shown the best yield compared to respective treatments which is 19.45 q ha^{-1} Thus, it indicates that the process of integrated nutrient management may be a better option for the physical and chemical condition of the soil to achieve better growth and yield attributes for Cowpea.

Keywords: Cowpea; crop residues; FYM; NPK; rhizobium and soil health.

1. INTRODUCTION

"In India consumption of pulses is highest in where majority of population is vegetarian. Pulses contain a high percentage of quality protein nearly three times as much as cereals" [1]. "The per capita availability of pulses in India is 45.5 g day^{-1} as against the minimum requirement of 70 g

**Corresponding author: E-mail: rinkujakhar543@gmail.com;*

day $^{-1}$ as advocated by Indian Council of Medical Research. Cowpea fixed atmospheric nitrogen resulting increase in soil fertility. Its quick growth and rapid ground cover checks soils erosion, and root decay in- situ produces nitrogen-rich residues that improve soil fertility and structure. It has the high vegetative growth and covers the ground surface resulting check the soil erosion in highly degradable areas. Cowpea leaves are known to be rich in proteins, vitamins such as provitamin A, folate, thiamine, riboflavin, and vitamin C, and minerals, such as calcium, phosphorus, and iron" [2]. "Nitrogen is vitally important for plant nutrient. Nitrogen is essential constituent of protein and is present in many other compounds of great physiological importance in plant metabolism. Nitrogen is called a basic constituent of life" [3]. "Phosphorus is an essential constituent of majority of enzymes, which are of great importance in the transformation of energy, in carbohydrate metabolism, fat metabolism, in respiration, photosynthesis, energy storage, cell elongation and improves the quality of crops of plants. It enhances the activity of rhizobium and increased the formation of root nodules" [4]. "Potassium also plays a vital role in carbon sequestration in soil. It helps in cell osmo-regulation, turgor maintenance and cell expansion. It imparts increased vigour and disease resistance to plant and function as an activator of numerous enzymes, regulates water conduction within the plant cell and water loss from the plant by maintaining the balance between anabolism, respiration and transpiration" [5]. "Crop residues are a potential source of organic matter in soils. Essentially, the presence of organic matter in soils is responsible for improved chemical and physical properties of the soil through mineralization and gelation of soil particles. Crop straw can be incorporated into soil to provide readily available nutrients and to minimize the loss of crop straw" [6]. "FYM is important organic manures which supplies a suitable mineral balance and improve nutrient availability by enzymes. It is increasing cell permeability and hormonal growth and make availability of essential nutrients in available form to the plants through biological decomposition and improve physical-chemical properties of soil such as aggregation, aeration, permeability" [7]. "The use of biofertilizers are more eco-friendly in nature. They can play a significant role in fixing atmospheric nitrogen; biofertilizers enrich soil fertility and improves soil fertility of these biofertilizers. The seed of pulses is inoculated with Rhizobium with an objective of increasing their number in the rhizosphere, so that there is substantial increase in the microbiologically fixed nitrogen for the plant growth" [8].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* in 2021-22. The trial was carried out in randomized block design with three levels of NPK, Rhizobium and FYM. The treatments were replicated three times and were allocated at random in each replication. The soil of experimental area falls in order of Inceptisol and in Experimental plot was alluvial soil. The soil samples were randomly collected from each plot in the experiment plot and analysed as standard method protocol given in Table.1. The treatment were fallowed during experiment trial T_1 [NPK @ 100% + Wheat straw @ 25% + FYM @ 25%], T_2 [NPK @ 100% + Wheat straw @ 50% + FYM @ 50%], T_3 [NPK @ 100% + Wheat straw @ 100% + FYM @ 100%], T_4 [NPK @ 100% + Rice straw @ 25% + FYM @ 25%],T_5 [NPK @ 100% + Rice straw @ 50% + FYM @ 50%],T_6 [NPK @ 100% + Rice straw @ 100% + FYM @ 100%],T_7 [NPK @ 100% + Mustard straw @ 25% + FYM @ 25%], T_8 [NPK @ 100% + Mustard straw @ 50% + FYM @ 50%] and T_9 [NPK@ 100% + Mustard straw @ 100% + FYM @ 100%]. The seeds were inoculated with rhizobium @ 20 gm kg-1 seed at the time of sowing. The recommended dose of nitrogen (20 kg ha^{-1}) through urea, phosphorus (30 kg ha^{-1}) through DAP, potassium (10 kg ha^{-1}) through MOP and FYM through Cow dung were applied as basal as per treatments. Seed inoculated with Rhizobium at 10g kg^{-1}.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As found in respect to the response of inorganic fertilizer, crop residues, organic manure and bio-fertilizer on the physical properties of soil after harvesting of cowpea is given in table 2&3. The statistical data for bulk density is significant for Bulk density and Particle density of soil. The Physical properties observation of sample collected from 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm shows Bulk density (Mg m^{-3}) and Particle density (Mg m^{-3}) increasing by soil depth whereas Percentage Pore space decreasing by soil depth, as 0-15 cm soil depth includes, Bulk density 1.23 Mg m^{-3}, Particle density 2.18 Mg m^{-3} and Pore space 45.62% whereas 15-30 cm soil depth includes Bulk density 1.45 Mg m^{-3}, Particle density 2.44 Mg m^{-3} and Pore space. Observation in respect to the Response of Inorganic fertilizer, Crop residues, Organic manure and Bio-fertilizer on the chemical properties of soil after harvesting of

Table 1. Standard protocol followed to analysed the Physio-chemical parameters of soil

S. No.	Particulars	Protocols
1.	Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	Muthuval et al.,[9]
2.	Particles density (Mg m^{-3})	Muthuval et al., [9]
3.	Texture (Sand, Silt Clay %)	Bouyoucous,[10]
4.	Water holding capacity (%)	Muthuval et al., [9]
5.	Soil pH (w/v)	Jackson,[11]
6.	Electrical Conductivity (dS m^{-1})	Wilcox, [12]
7.	Organic Carbon (Kg ha^{-1})	Walkley and Black,[13]
8.	Available Nitrogen (Kg ha^{-1})	Subbiah and Asija,[14]
9.	Available Phosphorous (Kg ha^{-1})	Olsen et al.,[15]
10.	Available Potassium (Kg ha^{-1})	Toth and Prince, [16]

Table 2. Response of Inorganic fertilizer, crop residues, organic manure and bio-fertilizer on bulk density, particle density and pore space

Treatment	D_B (Mg m^{-3})		D_P (Mg m^{-3})		Pore space (%)	
	0-15 cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm
T_1 [RDF@100%+Wheat straw@25%+FYM@25%]	1.18	1.41	2.14	2.41	44.94	41.52
T_2 [RDF@100%+Wheat straw@50%+FYM@50%]	1.16	1.40	2.09	2.39	44.23	41.52
T_3 [RDF@100%+Wheat straw@100%+FYM@100%]	1.15	1.38	2.04	2.38	43.55	41.73
T_4 [RDF@100%+Rice straw@25%+FYM@25%]	1.18	1.42	2.17	2.43	45.62	41.62
T_5 [RDF@100%+Rice straw@50%+FYM@50%]	1.17	1.40	2.10	2.40	44.22	41.374
T_6 [RDF@100%+Rice straw@100%+FYM@100%]	1.16	1.40	2.06	2.39	43.57	41.35
T_7[RDF@100%+Mustard straw@25%+FYM@25%]	1.23	1.45	2.18	2.44	43.78	40.69
T_8 [RDF@100%+Mustard straw@50%+FYM@50%]	1.18	1.41	2.12	2.43	44.40	41.95
T_9[RDF@100%+Mustard straw@100%+FYM@100%]	1.17	1.41	2.08	2.40	43.62	41.34

Table 3. Response of Inorganic fertilizer, crop residues, organic manure and bio-fertilizer of pH, EC, organic carbon, available N, P, and K

Treatment	pH		EC (dS m^{-1})		OC (%)		N (kg ha^{-1})		P (kg ha^{-1})		K (kg ha^{-1})	
	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0- 15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30
T_1	6.87	7.17	0.153	0.232	0.565	0.395	198.58	149.13	27.01	22.93	230.9	197.74
T_2	6.71	7.05	0.159	0.239	0.617	0.466	244.58	197.74	34.82	30.73	239.67	206.62
T_3	6.63	6.82	0.173	0.253	0.627	0.476	248.39	199.15	35.37	30.52	240.67	208.23
T_4	6.93	7.24	0.146	0.225	0.51	0.361	193.25	151.29	23.4	18.92	216.5	180.68
T_5	6.83	7.14	0.153	0.232	0.585	0.415	204.92	154.95	28.52	24.74	231.62	198.24
T_6	6.76	7.02	0.159	0.239	0.613	0.463	241.19	196.37	33.72	29.02	238.12	204.88
T_7	7.03	7.27	0.146	0.225	0.493	0.344	177.46	142.38	20.16	15.28	210.69	180.38
T_8	6.92	7.21	0.149	0.229	0.514	0.364	193.92	151.63	23.48	21.34	214.53	179.63
T_9	6.84	7.15	0.156	0.236	0.575	0.405	202.25	151.54	28.6	24.51	229.77	195.84

OC = Organic carbon, N = Available Nitrogen, P = Available Phosphorous, K = Available Potassium

Cowpea is given in Tables 2&3. The statistical data was significant for soil pH. The chemical properties observation of sample collected from 0-15 cm and 15-30cm shows pH and EC increasing by soil depth and Organic carbon, Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorous, Available Potassium decreasing by soil depth as 0-15 cm soil depth includes pH 6.93, EC 0.173 dS m^{-1}, Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen, 248.39 kg ha^{-1} Available Phosphorous35.37 kg ha^{-1}, Available Potassium 240.67 kg ha^{-1} whereas 15-30 cm soil depth includes pH 6.93, EC 0.173 dS m^{-1}, Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen 199.15 kg ha^{-1} Available Phosphorous 30.73 kg ha^{-1}, Available Potassium 208.23 kg ha^{-1}.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the result of research, it is conducted that the T_3 has shown the best result on effective of growth of plant and crop production which has applied 25 N Kg ha^{-1},50 P kg ha^{-1}, 50 K kg ha^{-1} with FYM 5t ha^{-1} and Wheat Straw 6t ha^{-1} which has shown highest yield @ 19.45 q ha^{-1} and using 100% FYM, Crop residue (wheat straw) which has shown shows pH and EC increasing by soil depth and Organic carbon, Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorous, Available Potassium decreasing by soil depth as 0-15 cm soil depth includes pH Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen, 248.39 kg ha^{-1} Available Phosphorous35.37 kg ha^{-1}, Available Potassium 240.67 kg ha^{-1} whereas 15-30 cm soil depth includes pH 6.93, EC 0.173 dS m^{-1}, Organic carbon 0.627%, Available Nitrogen 199.15 kg ha^{-1} Available Phosphorous 30.73 kg ha^{-1}, Available Potassium 208.23 kg $ha^{-1}$and has shown significant treatment for crop growth [17].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, SHUATS and HoD, department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry for the support. Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj 211 007, (U.P.) India. The thankfulness is also extended to all professors, friends and technicians for their continuous help and support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Upadhyay RG, Singh A. Effect of nitrogen and zinc on nodulation, growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). Legume Research-An International Journal. 2016;39(1):149-51.
2. Xiong H, Shi A, Mou B, Qin J, Motes D, Lu W, Ma J, Weng Y, Yang W, Wu D. Genetic diversity and population structure of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp). PloS one. 2016 Aug 10;11(8):e0160941.
3. Choudhary GL, Yadav LR. Effect of fertility levels and foliar nutrition on cowpea productivity. Journal of food Legumes. 2017;24(1):67-8.
4. Sudharani Y, Mohapatra PP, Pattanaik M, Hans H, Maitra S. Effect of phosphorus on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020; 9(4):425-427.
5. Salem HM, Salam MA. Abdel Interaction between potassium and organic manure application on growth of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) And Soil Properties in Newly Reclaimed Sandy Soil World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012;8(2):141-149.
6. Bhowate RT, Bansod PH. Effect of crop residues Incorporation on physical properties of soil and yield of green gram. International Journal of Current Microbiology Applied Sciences. 2017;6(9):3717-3730.
7. Singh RK, Sharma GK, Kumar P, Singh SK. Effect of crop residues Managment on soil properties. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology; 2019.
8. Meena S, Swaroop N, Dawson J. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of green gram, Agricultural Research Communication Centre. 2016;36(1):63-65.
9. Muthuval P, Udaysoorian C, Natesan R, Ramaswami PP. Introduction to Soil Analysis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India; 1992.
10. Bouyoucos GJ. The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils. Soil Science. 1927;23:343-353.
11. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical analysis, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffe, N.J. New Delhi. 1958;219-221.
12. Wilcox LV. Electrical Conductivity, American Water works Association. J. 1950;42:775-776.

13. Walkley A, Black IA. Estimation of soil Organic Carbon by wet oxidation method. Soil Science. 1934;47:29-38.
14. Subbiah BV, Asiija EC. A rapid procedure for estimation of available Nitrogen in soil. Current Science. 1956;25(8):259-260.
15. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate ($NaHCO_3$). U.S.D.A. Circular. 1954; 939:19(1).
16. Toth SJ, Prince AL. Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by flame photometer technique. Soil Science. 1949;67:439-445.
17. Joshi D, Gediya KM, Gupta S, Birari MM. Effect of organic manures on soil and quality parameters of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] under middle Gujarat conditions. Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal. 2016; 36(3):216-9.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87929