



Molecular Detection of *Yellow Mosaic Virus* Infecting Black Gram and Green Gram in Coimbatore District

Hridya Shaji ^a, R. Kannan ^{a*}, S. Harish ^a, B. Anita ^b
and M. Sudha ^c

^a Department of Plant Pathology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641003, India.

^b Centre for Agricultural Nanotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641003, India.

^c Department of Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641003, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193715

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105232>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/06/2023

Accepted: 26/08/2023

Published: 07/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Yellow mosaic virus (YMV) is indeed one of the most destructive diseases of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek) and urdbean (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus* infecting green gram and black gram cause great yield loss. The virus belongs to the genus begomovirus of the family *geminiviridae* transmitted by white fly. Infected samples were collected and scored according to disease scale. Diseased samples were collected from infected plants from the field of Coimbatore district and percent disease index was calculated. The symptoms of yellow mosaic virus ranges from small yellow spots which develop as mosaic yellow patterns. Later the disease leads to complete yellowing of the leaf samples. Percent Disease Index impart the severity of virus in black gram and green gram field. The DNA was isolated from both black gram and green gram

*Corresponding author: E-mail: kannanar2004@gmail.com;

samples through CTAB method. Both the quality and quantity of the DNA was evaluated through gel electrophoresis and nanodrop reading and found to be appreciably good. The DNA was molecularly confirmed with universal primer which show amplification around 1200 bp through Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). Polymerase chain reaction of *Yellow Mosaic Virus* infecting black gram and green gram samples using MYMV-CP-f/MYMV-cp-r primers of DNA A component were amplified and the expected product of size 1000 bp from the obtained infected samples.

Keywords: Black gram; green gram; mosaic; virus; disease scale; coat protein.

1. INTRODUCTION

[Pulses are an essential source of protein and fibre for the world's population. They are high in protein, calories, dietary fibre, a variety of minerals, and bioactive compounds. India is the world's top producer and consumer of pulses. Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) and urdbean (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) are the major pulse crops grown in the country. Mungbean is also known as green gram or moong, while urdbean is known as black gram or urd [1]. Central Asia is said to be the birthplace of black gram and green gram [2]. India accounts for 70% of global output of black gram and 65% of global production of green gram, respectively *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus*, *Tobacco Streak Virus*, and *Urdbean Leaf Crinkle Virus* are the most common viruses that infect black gram and green gram [3,4,5]. Yellow mosaic virus is considered the most devastating viral disease affecting urdbean, green gram causing yield losses ranging from 5 to 100 percent [6,7,8]. Nariani (1960) discovered mungbean yellow mosaic in an experimental field at the Indian Agricultural Research Institute in New Delhi. Nene (1968) called it *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus*. Honda et al., (1983) purified the virus initially. *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus* (MYMV) isolates cause infection in the southern and western parts of the country, whereas *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic India Virus* (MYMIV) isolates cause infection in the central, northern, and eastern part [9,10,11,12,1]. The MYMV disease is attributed to begomoviruses, commonly known as geminiviruses, which belong to the prominent and most important genus in the *Geminiviridae* family. These viruses are characterized as single-stranded DNA viruses that specifically infect plants [13,14]. They possess typical geminate incomplete icosahedral particles [15]. The genome organisation of MYMV consists of 2 circular ssDNA component - DNA-A and DNA-B which are roughly 2.8 kb in size [16]. MYMV is transmitted by whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* in persistent manner. It is transmitted by grafting not by seed or soil [17,18]. Thongmearkom et

al. (1981) reported the virus gains entry into the host's phloem cells via the proboscis of the whitefly. Approximately two days before the appearance of symptoms, the viral clusters become visible within the nuclei of the host cells.

In the field, the virus causes yield losses of ranges between 10-90 percentage. The symptoms begin as scattered yellow spots and progress to irregular green and yellow patches that alternate with each other. Yellow spots enlarge, resulting in yellowing, wilting, and withering. Infected plant pods will be smaller in size than normal plant pods.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Collection of Samples

Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV) infected plants of both black gram and green gram were collected from the experimental field of Department of Pulses, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. Leaves showing specific and prominent symptoms were collected. Virus infected leaves showing varied level of symptoms were collected and evaluated. Collected leaves were classified based on disease severity rating from 0-5 disease rating scale adopted by Akhtar et al., (2009) with slight modification (Table 1). Sixteen samples were collected from the field and classified accordingly.

Per cent disease index was computed using the pattern given by Wheeler (1969)

$$\text{Percent Disease Index} = \left(\frac{\text{Sum of all the numerical ratings}}{\text{Number of observations}} \times \text{Maximum disease rating} \right) \times 100$$

2.2 Isolation of Genomic

Genomic DNA was isolated from MYMV infected green gram and black gram leaves, along with healthy uninfected plants. Four samples belonging to different disease score were

selected for DNA extraction [19,20,21]. Both green gram and black gram samples were used. Extraction method described by Rouhibakhsh *et al.* (2008) with some modifications was followed. 100 mg of young fresh leaves were collected and pulverised in a pre-chilled sterile pestle and mortar thoroughly with 1.5 ml of pre-warmed (65°C) DNA extraction buffer. Then the content was transferred to Eppendorf tube, incubated at 65°C for 30 minutes in a water bath with periodic mixing. The supernatant (750 µl) was mixed with an equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) by vortexing, followed by a 10-minute centrifugation at 10000 rpm. The aqueous layer was transferred to a fresh eppendorf tube and mixed with 300 µl of ice-cold isopropanol and 30 µl of 7.5 M ammonium acetate (stored at -200°C) and kept it at 40°C overnight. The next day the contents was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 10,000 rpm. Pellets were rinsed with 70% ethanol and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was air dried for 30 minutes before being re-suspended in 40 µl of TE buffer or double distilled water and kept at -20°C. All of the DNA extracts were further diluted in single distilled water (sdw) from 1:5 to 1:10 before being used for PCR amplifications.

2.2.1 Quantitative and qualitative analysis of extracted DNA

The DNA quality was tested using gel electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel. 0.8g of agarose was melted in 100 ml of TAE buffer and gel for 80 mV for 45 minutes after adding ethidium bromide. Reaction mixture was prepared and checked for the DNA presence. Reaction mixture contain distilled water- 2 µl, DNA sample - 2 µl, 6X loading buffer - 2 µl.

The concentration of the DNA present was quantified using nanodrop. The concentration was noted in ng/ µl while the protein

contamination was checked by 260/280 nanodrop reading.

2.3 Molecular Confirmation of Virus using Universal Primer

Preliminary molecular confirmation of the virus was done using the begomovirus universal primers. Forward and reverse primer are PARIC 772 and PALIC 1960 respectively (Table 2). Reaction mixture was prepared using distilled water, forward and reverse primers along with master mix. The reaction mixture of 10 µl was prepared and kept for PCR reaction. Reaction condition at 94° for 2 min for initial denaturation, followed by denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 55°C for 2 minutes and extension at 72°C for 3 minutes which was repeated for 35 cycles and the final extension step was carried out at 72°C for 10 minutes.

2.4 Confirmation of Virus by Amplifying the Coat Protein Region

For further confirmation of the sample for MYMV, PCR amplification was done for coat protein region of the virus. Coat protein of DNA A is used for the primer given by Rangaswamy (2018). The primer sequence for forward primer is – 5’ ATG GG (T/G) TCC GTT GTA TGC TTG 3’ and reverse primer is 5’ GGC GTC ATT AGC ATA GGC AAT 3’ (Table 2). The PCR condition is similar as that of universal primer.

2.5 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

The amplified PCR product was examined through gel electrophoresis using 1% of agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide in 1x TAE buffer for 45 min in 85 V with 1 kb ladder. Then the amplified product was examined under del documentation unit. The expected amplicon of product for rojas primer would be around 1200 base pair and that of specific primer would be of 1000 base pair

Table 1. Scale for assessing the YMV incidence with modification (0-5)

| Symptoms | Rating/Disease severity |
|---|-------------------------|
| Complete absence of symptoms | 0 |
| Small yellow specks or spots on few leaves seen | 1 |
| Bright yellow specks or spots common on leaves and some are coalesced | 2 |
| Mostly coalesced bright yellow specks or spots common on leaves covering 30% leaf area | 3 |
| Plants showing coalesced bright yellow specks or spots covering 50% | 4 |
| Yellowing or chlorosis of all leaves on whole plant followed by necrosis, shortening of internode | 5 |

Table 2. Primers used for molecular confirmation of virus

| Sl.no | Primer | Sequence | References |
|-------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Universal primer – forward primer- PARIC 772 | 5'GGNAARATHTGGGATGGA3' | Rojas et.al , (1993) |
| 2 | Universal primer – reverse primer - PALIC 1960 | 5'ACNGGNAARACNATGTGGGC3' | |
| 3 | Coat protein specific primer – forward primer | 5'ATGGG(T/G) TCCGTTGTATGCTTG 3' | Rangaswamy (2018) |
| 4 | Coat protein specific primer – reverse primer | 5' GCGTCATTAGCATAGGCAAT 3' | |

Table 3. Content of reaction mixture prepared for PCR amplification

| Sl..no | Content | Quantity (µl) |
|--------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1 | Master mix | 5 |
| 2 | Distilled water | 2 |
| 3 | Forward primer | 1. |
| 4 | Template DNA | 1 |
| 5 | Reverse primer | 1 |
| | Total | 10 |

Table 4. PCR conditions for amplifying the viral DNA

| | | |
|--------|--|----------------------|
| Step 1 | Initial denaturation | 94° c for 2 minutes |
| Step 2 | denaturation | 94° c for 1minutes |
| Step 3 | Annealing | 55° c for 2 minutes |
| Step 4 | Extension | 72° c for 3 minutes |
| Step 5 | Steps 2 to 4 repeated for a total of 35 cycles | |
| Step 6 | Final extension | 72° c for 10 minutes |

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Black gram and green gram field was found infected with *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus* infection in different range. The entire field appear as mosaic patches. The visible signs are scattered yellow-colored dots on the young leaves, which eventually transform into a yellow mosaic pattern and result in total yellowing. Individual plant shows MYMV symptoms with low to high intensity.

The collected samples were scored based on the disease scale (Table 1). Infected field have leaf samples with different scale of infection.

Table 5. Classifying the collected leaf sample based on disease score

| Disease score | Frequency of observation | Sum of observations |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 3 | 4 | 12 |
| 4 | 4 | 16 |
| 5 | 2 | 10 |
| Total | 16 | 47 |

$$\text{Percent disease Ind} = (47/16 \times 5) \times 100 = 58.75 \%$$



Fig. 1. Appearance of MYMV in field as mosaic patches



Fig. 2. MYMV symptoms collected from field
A- MYMV symptoms from green gram b – MYMV symptoms from black gram



Fig. 3a. Disease score for each leaf sample of MYMV infected in green gram
Leaf sample 1- score 0, leaf sample 2- score 1, leaf sample 3- score 2, leaf sample 4- score 3, leaf sample 5 - score 4, leaf sample 6 – score 5



Fig. 3b. Disease score for each leaf sample of MYMV infected in black gram
Leaf sample 1- score 0, leaf sample 2- score 1, leaf sample 3- score 2, leaf sample 4- score 3, leaf sample 5 - score 4, leaf sample 6 – score 5

Most of the infected samples comes under score 4 which have plants showing coalesced bright yellow specks or spots covering 50% of leaf. It implies that most of the plant sample have infection more than 50%.

Collected leaf samples were grouped based on disease score and percent disease incidence

was calculated. PDI is 58.75% indicating that more than 50% of the cultivated area is infected by MYMV. It implies that the disease severity is high in the green gram and black gram field. Both the green gram and black gram field is infected with virus whereas green gram field is more infected by virus rather than black gram through visual evaluation.

Isolated DNA shows a clear band when gel electrophoresis at 0.8 % agarose which was done to check the quality of DNA. Quantity of DNA was assessed using nanodrop which shows a reading which implies good concentration of the DNA in infected samples. The 260/280 absorbance in nanodrop reading implicate the quality of DNA which will indicate the RNA or protein contamination. The value of range 1.6-1.9 indicating the quantity of DNA is good. Nanodrop reading implies that RNA contamination and protein contamination is less in extracted DNA. Concentration of DNA is higher in green gram than black gram. Quality of DNA is also high in green gram samples rather than black gram samples.

The isolated DNA was diluted in 1:10 ratio since the concentration of DNA is high. PCR was done to confirm the virus using rojas primer. All the samples are amplified with expected amplicon size of 1200 bp. The DNA samples were further amplified with using coat protein specific primer from DNA A which show a amplicon at 1000bp.

Black gram samples have clear band compared green gram samples. The concentration and 260/280 nanodrop reading of the green gram samples are high which may substantiate towards the band properties.

Table 6. Concentration of extracted DNA

| Sample | 260/280 reading | Concentration of DNA (ng/ µl) |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Gg1 | 1.715 | 1022.2 |
| Gg2 | 1.817 | 1380 |
| Gg3 | 1.720 | 1571.6 |
| Gg4 | 1.962 | 1705.6 |
| Bg1 | 1.634 | 1164.3 |
| Bg2 | 1.842 | 980.2 |
| Bg3 | 1.658 | 1274.7 |
| Bg4 | 1.795 | 633.7 |

All the samples have amplified well IN coat protein specific primer since the DNA A of the viral DNA has been detected through PCR amplification.




Fig. 4. Band image of infected plant genomic DNA amplified with universal primers through gel electrophoresis

Lane1- 1Kb Ladder, lane2- gg1, lane3- gg2, lane4- gg3, lane5- gg4, lane6-blank, lane7-bg1, lane8- bg2, lane9- bg3, lane10- bg4, lane11-positive control lane12- negative control




Fig. 5. Band image of infected plant genomic DNA amplified with coat protein specific primers through gel electrophoresis

Lane1- 1Kb ladder, lane2- gg1, lane3- gg2, lane4- gg3, lane5- gg4, lane6-blank, lane7-bg1, lane8- bg2, lane9- bg3, lane10- bg4, lane11-positive control lane12- negative control

4. CONCLUSION

The disease samples were collected from black gram and green gram field infected with *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV)*. The samples were scored based on the disease score and calculated the Percent Disease index. The PDI value implies that the disease severity is more than 50% indicating that disease incidence is high. DNA from the infected samples were extracted using CTAB method. Good and high amount of DNA was obtained and purity was assessed. The extracted DNA was amplified using Rojas and Coat protein specific primer of DNA A to confirm the presence of DNA. Bands were observed for Rojas and Coat protein specific primer at 1200 bp and 1000 bp respectively indicating the presence of *Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV)* in all infected samples. Thus MYMV still a serious problem in field level for both green gram and black gram which has to be addressed further for effective management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The corresponding author would like to thank the Department of Plant Pathology, Department of Nanoscience and Technology and Department of Plant Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore for providing facilities required to carry out this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Tsai WS, Shih SL, Rauf A, Safitri R, Hidayati N, Huyen BTT. Genetic diversity of legume yellow mosaic begomoviruses in Indonesia and Vietnam. *Annals of Appl. Biol.* 2013;163:367–377.
2. Kumar S, Kumar R. Genetic improvement of mungbean for yield, nutrition and resistance to stresses-A review. *Int. J. Trop. Agril.* 2014;32(3-4):683–687.
3. Akhtar Khalid PR, Kitsanachandee P, Srinives G, Abbas MJ, Asghar TM, Shah BM, Atta et al. Field evaluation of mungbean recombinant inbred lines against mungbean yellow mosaic disease using new disease scale in Thailand. *The Plant Pathology Journal.* 2009;25(4):422-428.
4. Honda Y, Iwaki M, Saito Y. Mechanical transmission, purification and some properties of whitefly-borne mungbean yellow mosaic virus in Thailand. *Plant Dis.* 1983;67:801–804. DOI: 10.1094/PD-67-801
5. Karthikeyan A, Shobhana VG, Sudha M, Raveendran M, Senthil N, Pandiyan M, et al. Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV): a threat to green gram (*Vigna radiata*) production in Asia. *Int. J. Pest Manage.* 2014;60(4):314–324. DOI: 10.1080/09670874.2014.982230
6. Nene YL. A survey of the viral disease of pulses crops in Uttar Pradesh, India, in First Annual Report (Pantnagar: UP Agricultural University). 1968;358.
7. Rathi YPS, Epidemiology, yield losses and management of major diseases of Kharif pulses in India, Plant Pathology and Asian Congress of Mycology and Plant Pathology, University of Mysore, Mysore, India; 2002.
8. Singh G, Singh K, Gill AS, Chhabra KS. Screening for sources of resistance to yellow mosaic virus of mung bean. *Vigna radiata L. Wilczek. National seminar on disease resistance in crop plants.* Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 1980; 72-76.
9. Ilyas M, Qazi J, Mansoor S, Briddon RW, Genetic diversity and phylogeography of begomoviruses infecting legumes in Pakistan. *J. Gen. Virology.* 2010;91:2091-2101.
10. Islam MN, Sony SK, Borna RS. Molecular characterization of Mungbean yellow mosaic disease and coat protein gene in mungbean varieties of Bangladesh. *Pl. Tissue Cult. Biotech.* 2012;22:73-81.
11. Malathi VC, John P. Mungbean yellow mosaic viruses, in Desk encyclopedia of plant and fungal virology. Eds. M. Van Regenmortel and B. Mahy London: Academic Press. 2009;217–226.
12. Shahid MS, Ikegami M, Natsuaki KT. First report of Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus on lima bean affected by yellow mosaic disease in Nepal. *Australasian Pl. Dis. Notes.* 2012;7:85-89.
13. Mishra Gyan P, Harsh K, Dikshit, Ramesh Sv, Kuldeep Tripathi, Ranjeet R. Kumar, Muraleedhar Aski, Akanksha Singh et al. Yellow mosaic disease (YMD) of

- mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek): current status and management opportunities. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2020; 11:918.
14. Nariani TK. Yellow mosaic of mung (*Phaseolus aureus* L.). *Indian Phytopathology*. 1960;13: 24–29.
 15. Rouhibakhsh A, Priya J, Periasamy M, Haq QMI, Malathi VG. An improved DNA isolation method and PCR protocol for efficient detection of multicomponents of begomovirus in legumes. *Journal of Virological Methods*. 2008;147(1):37-42.
 16. Borah BK, Dasgupta I. Begomovirus research in India: A critical appraisal and the way ahead. *Journal of Biosciences*. 2012;37:791-806.
 17. Prema GU, Rangaswamy KT, Molecular relationship and sequence comparison of coat protein gene of yellow mosaic virus isolates infecting different legume crops from Southern India. *New Series*. 2018; 39:290.
 18. Rojas MR, Gilbertson RL, Russell DR, Maxwell DP. Use of degenerate primers in the polymerase chain reaction to detect whitefly transmitted gemini viruses. *Plant Dis*. 1993;77:340-347.
 19. Rangaswamy KT, GU Prema. Molecular Characterization of Coat Protein Gene of Blackgram Yellow Mosaic Virus (BGYMV) from Karnataka, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. 2018;7(07):2225-2235.
 20. Thongmeearkom P, Honda Y, Saito Y, Syamananda R. Nuclear ultra structural changes and aggregates of virus like particles in mungbean cells affected by mungbean yellow mosaic disease. *Phytopathol*. 1981;71:41–44.
 21. Wheeler BEJ. *An Introduction to Plant Diseases*. John Wiley and Sons. Ltd. London. 1969;301.

© 2023 Shaji et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105232>