



Availability of Information and Communication Technology among the Agricultural Line Department Officials of Odisha

Bhavana Sajeev^{a++*} and B. P. Mohapatra^{b#}

^a Department of Agricultural Extension, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, India.

^b Department of Extension Education, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2022/v40i111756

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94182>

Original Research Article

Received 28 September 2022

Accepted 30 November 2022

Published 03 December 2022

ABSTRACT

To evaluate the availability of information and communication technology among the agricultural department staff in the year 2021, a study was carried out in the Khordha district of the state of Odisha. The stratified random sample approach was used to choose 105 respondents for the current study, comprising 22 district level, 59 block level and 24 village level agricultural officers. Ex-post facto research design was employed for the study while taking into consideration the sampling method. The data collected was statistically analysed using frequency, percentage and mean score. The study found that the majority of the district level officers (100%) had the availability of Microsoft package, a laptop, internet services, social media, e-mail, web-based search engines, a modem, a mobile phone, headphones, a television and video conferencing and block level officers (100%) had the availability of Microsoft package, a laptop, internet services, social media, e-mail, web-based search engines, a modem, a mobile phone, a television and videoconferencing whereas the village level agricultural officers (100%) had the availability of only mobile phone and television. A functioning in the ICT tools can be handled with good awareness and training dependable electricity in the workplaces.

⁺⁺ PhD Scholar;

[#] Professor and Head;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: bhavanasajeev0808@gmail.com;

Keywords: Information and communication technology; availability; agricultural line department officials; Odisha.

ABBREVIATIONS

ICT : Information and Communication Technology
DAO : District Agriculture Officer
AAO : Assistant Agricultural Officer
AHO : Assistant Horticulture Officer
VAW : Village Agricultural Worker
WVAW : Women Village Agricultural Worker
SMS : Subject Matter Specialists

1. INTRODUCTION

The study's primary goal was to determine whether the staff of Odisha's agriculture department got the availability of information and communication technologies. Information and communication technology (ICT) is a vast field of technology that includes a variety of tools for storing, exchanging, transmitting, or both types of information. Technologies including desktop and laptop computers, software, peripherals, and other ICT inclusion tools aid in data exchange and information dissemination to a wider audience. ICT usage at the application level is expanding and diversifying quickly. Most recently developed and emerging technologies, including e-agriculture, are geared toward accelerating agricultural and rural development through improved information and communication systems. To be more precise, e-agriculture includes a range of new ways to employ ICT in rural areas, with a focus on agriculture, including conceptualization, design, development, evaluation, and application. When compared to the technologies in use, a decade before, this new technological revolution precisely and quickly resolves and addresses the needs of farmers. Farmers must receive agricultural knowledge from extension personnel. Although extension workers have the aptitude, they still need a more effective way to gather and disseminate knowledge. If extension agents adopt and use ICTs to better themselves and farmers, it is thought that ICTs can increase the effectiveness and efficiency of extension services.

2. METHODS

The study included all the agricultural line department officials like District Agriculture Officer (DAO), Assistant Agricultural Officer

(AAO), Assistant Horticulture officer (AHO), Village Agricultural Worker (VAW), Women Village Agricultural Worker (WVAW) and Subject Matter Specialists (SMS) from Khordha district of Odisha State. Keeping in view the objectives of the study, sampling area, sampling size and the method of data collection ex-post facto research design was used for the study.

A total of 105 respondents (22 district level agricultural officials, 59 block level agricultural officials and 24 village level agricultural officials) were selected by following stratified random sampling method. An interview schedule was constructed for assembling data from the agricultural line department officials. The secondary source of data was combined from thesis, journals, internet and official records of agriculture department.

The data collected from the sample respondents were coded, analysed and tabulated for comparative study. The responses were evaluated on a three-point scale continuum as Regularly, Occasionally and Not available with scores of 3, 2 and 1 respectively. The statistical tools used for the study includes the various descriptive statistics and ranking. On the basis of average mean scores/mean scores, rank order was considered. The item securing highest average means scores/mean scores was assumed as first rank and then next highest was given second rank and so on.

Mean Score (MS) = Total score assigned by all the respondents to a practice ÷ Total number of respondents

Mean Percentage Score (MPS) = (Total score obtained by the respondents ÷ Maximum obtainable score) x 100

3. RESULTS

The data presented in Table 1 depicted that in case of district level agricultural officials Microsoft package, laptop, internet services, social media, e-mail, web-based search engines, modem, mobile phone, head phone, television and video conferencing (MS=3 and MPS=100) were most available among different ICT tools and ranked first and Radio (MS=1.64 and MPS=54.55) was the least available ICT tool and ranked twelfth.

Table 1. Availability wise distribution of district level agricultural officials

Sl. no	Category	District level officials (n=22)								
		Regularly		Occasionally		Not available		MS	MPS	Rank
		F	%	F	%	F	%			
A	Computer related									
1	Microsoft package	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Laptop	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
3	USB	18	81.82	4	18.18	0	0	2.82	93.94	II
4	Memory card	12	54.55	8	36.36	2	9.09	2.45	81.82	IV
5	Fax	11	50	11	50	0	0	2.5	83.33	III
6	Digital camera	6	27.27	8	36.36	8	36.36	1.91	63.64	XI
B	Internet related									
1	Internet services	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Social media	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
3	E-mail	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
4	Web based search engines	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
5	Modem	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
6	e-journals	8	36.36	10	45.45	4	18.18	2.18	72.73	VII
C	Audio related									
1	Mobile phone	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Microphone	6	27.27	10	45.45	6	27.27	2	66.67	X
3	Landline phone	10	45.45	8	36.36	4	18.18	2.27	75.76	VI
4	Bluetooth	12	54.55	6	27.27	4	18.18	2.36	78.79	V
5	Headphone	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
6	Radio	4	18.18	6	27.27	12	54.55	1.64	54.55	XII
D	Audio-visual related									
1	Television	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Video conferencing	22	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
3	Tablet device	8	36.36	9	40.91	5	22.73	2.14	71.21	VIII
4	Smart TV	20	90.91	0	0	2	9.09	2.82	93.94	II
5	DVD player	12	54.55	0	0	10	45.45	2.09	69.7	IX

*n=number of respondents

Table 2. Availability wise distribution of block level agricultural officials

Sl. no	Category	Block level officials (n=59)								
		Regularly		Occasionally		Not available		MS	MPS	Rank
		F	%	F	%	F	%			
A	Computer related									
1	Microsoft package	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Laptop	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
3	USB	31	52.54	28	47.46	0	0	2.53	84.18	III
4	Memory card	25	42.37	23	38.98	11	18.64	2.24	74.58	V
5	Fax	0	0	9	15.25	50	84.75	1.15	38.42	XIV
6	Digital camera	5	8.47	20	33.9	34	57.63	1.51	50.28	XII
B	Internet related									
1	Internet services	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Social media	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
3	E-mail	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
4	Web based	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I

Sl. no	Category	Block level officials (n=59)						MS	MPS	Rank
		Regularly		Occasionally		Not available				
		F	%	F	%	F	%			
	search engines									
5	Modem	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
6	e-journals	6	10.17	32	54.24	21	35.59	1.75	58.19	IX
C	Audio related									
1	Mobile phone	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Microphone	4	6.78	33	55.93	22	37.29	1.69	56.5	X
3	Landline phone	20	33.9	24	40.68	15	25.42	2.08	69.49	VII
4	Bluetooth	33	55.93	0	0	26	44.07	2.12	70.62	VI
5	Headphone	55	93.22	0	0	4	6.78	2.86	95.48	II
6	Radio	12	20.34	0	0	47	79.66	1.41	46.89	XIII
D	Audio-visual related									
1	Television	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Video conferencing	59	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
3	Tablet device	16	27.12	22	37.29	21	35.59	1.92	63.84	VIII
4	Smart TV	39	66.1	3	5.08	17	28.81	2.37	79.1	IV
5	DVD player	14	23.73	7	11.86	38	64.41	1.59	53.11	XI

*n=number of respondents

From Table 2, in case of block level agricultural officials Microsoft package, laptop, internet services, social media, e-mail, web-based search engines, modem, mobile phone, television and video conferencing (MS=3 and MPS=100) were most available among different ICT tools and ranked first and Fax (MS=1.15 and MPS=38.42) was the least available ICT tool and ranked fourteenth.

Table 3 indicated the availability of ICT tools by the village level agricultural officials. Mobile phone and television (MS=3 and MPS=100) were most available and Fax (MS=1 and MPS=33.33) was the least available among different ICT tools and ranked first and seventeenth respectively.

It can be observed from Tables 1, 2 and 3 that the district level and the block level agricultural officials had regular availability of most of the ICT tools when compared with the village level agricultural officials. The probable reason for this may be due to less awareness on the importance of ICT tools among the village level agricultural officials. Therefore, the village level staffs should be made aware of the ICT tools by awareness camp and they should be provided with smart phones and other ICT tools at affordable prices to work smoothly and more efficiently. This result was in line with result of survey conducted by Agwu and Elizabeth [1] among the staffs of Women in Agriculture (WIA) in Nigeria which led to the observation that telephone and radio were available to 40.0% and 33.8% of respondents in offices, televisions and

video machines were available in about 27.5% and 22.5% of them in their offices respectively and a smaller number of respondents (21.2%, 18.8% and 12.5%) of them had accessibility to computers, duplicating machines and calculators respectively. Fax machines or GIS or official e-mail address were accessible to none of them in their offices.

This result was also in line with the findings of Odhiambo [2], who conducted research for examine the availability of ICT tools for teaching and learning in secondary Schools in Rachuonyo South Sub-County, Homa-bay County, Kenya and he found that majority of the schools (62.5%) had internet facilities, which were available and inadequate while a few schools (25%) had available and adequate internet facilities. Others (12.5%) did not have internet facilities. On the other hand, majority of the schools (87.5%) indicated to have available and inadequate film projectors. A few schools (12.5%) reported that they had available and adequate film projector.

4. DISCUSSION

According to the review of the current study by Wolfert et al. [3], the development of technology through digital platforms like e-commerce, computing power, agro-advisory applications, big data, and satellite systems like remote sensing has sped up farmer communication and information sharing. According to an observation made by O'Dea [4], smartphones are the ICT that are most commonly utilised worldwide. The

Table 3. Availability wise distribution of village level agricultural officials

Sl. no	Category	Village level officials (n=24)								Rank
		Regularly		Occasionally		Not available		MS	MPS	
		F	%	F	%	F	%			
A	Computer related									
1	Microsoft package	0	0	2	8.33	22	91.67	1.08	36.11	XVI
2	Laptop	0	0	6	25	18	75	1.25	41.67	XIV
3	USB	0	0	4	16.67	20	83.33	1.17	38.89	XV
4	Memory card	11	45.83	0	0	13	54.17	1.92	63.89	VII
5	Fax	0	0	0	0	24	100	1	33.33	XVII
6	Digital camera	2	8.33	3	12.5	19	79.17	1.29	43.06	XIII
B	Internet related									
1	Internet services	6	25	9	37.5	9	37.5	1.88	62.5	VIII
2	Social media	18	75	0	0	6	25	2.5	83.33	III
3	E-mail	6	25	0	0	18	75	1.5	50	XI
4	Web based search engines	18	75	0	0	6	25	2.5	83.33	III
5	Modem	2	8.33	4	16.67	18	75	1.33	44.44	XII
6	e-journals	0	0	2	8.33	22	91.67	1.08	36.11	XVI
C	Audio related									
1	Mobile phone	24	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Microphone	0	0	4	16.67	20	83.33	1.17	38.89	XV
3	Landline phone	14	58.33	4	16.67	6	25	2.33	77.78	IV
4	Bluetooth	4	16.67	0	0	20	83.33	1.33	44.44	XII
5	Headphone	20	83.33	0	0	4	16.67	2.67	88.89	II
6	Radio	12	50	4	16.67	8	33.33	2.17	72.22	VI
D	Audio-visual related									
1	Television	24	100	0	0	0	0	3	100	I
2	Video conferencing	13	54.17	5	20.83	6	25	2.29	76.39	V
3	Tablet device	3	12.5	0	0	21	87.5	1.25	41.67	XIV
4	Smart TV	9	37.5	0	0	15	62.5	1.75	58.33	X
5	DVD player	6	25	7	29.17	11	45.83	1.79	59.72	IX

*n=number of respondents

study also implied that developing nations had the highest proportion of smartphone users globally [4]. According to Statista's research from 2020 [5], there are currently over 3.2 billion smartphone users worldwide, and by 2021, that number is expected to grow to about 3.8 billion. Different ICT applications have been developed in the agriculture sector as a result of the rapid growth of ICT applications in many areas of society.

Globally, extension services revolve around dissemination of agricultural information, knowledge and new technologies to farmers and people exercising rural livelihood. According to the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), agricultural advisory services (in short, agricultural extension) enact a crucial role in promoting productivity, enhancing food security, improving and improvising rural livelihoods, and uplifting agriculture as a pro-poor economic growth engine [6]. The extension services

articulate new ideas and technologies to rural inhabitants with the help of different methods and approaches. The main reason for introducing these different approaches is to help farmers understand the information and method demonstrated to them by the agricultural extension officers. In doing so, they espouse new technologies to improve their livelihoods and become resilient to challenges arising in their farming activities. Agricultural extension services can be a powerful tool in helping smallholders breach the chain of low productivity, vulnerability, and poverty as emphasized by Davis and Franzel [7]. The extension services uphold a better position for providing farmers with knowledge and tools about modern agricultural practices, greater access to finance, and market solutions. Extension and advisory services are vital to enhancement of rural and subsistence farmers which are regarded as the central pillar of agriculture and food supply chains in low-income countries [8].

Usage of multidisciplinary tool such as educational methodologies, communication and group techniques by the extension services play a crucial role in promoting new technologies, communicating information and sharing knowledge among rural dwellers and farmers [9]. ICTs have the potential to minimise the gap between extension and research to farmers. Globally, there are numerous ICT applications and programmes that are active and have been devised to improve communication among extension workers and other parties within the agriculture value chain. In Afghanistan, an ICT application platform called 'e-Afghan' [10] has been formulated to connect farmers to extension workers, research institutions and other parties that avail and help farmers in Afghanistan to share credible information. In the same way, Digital Green in Ethiopia has forged an ICT agricultural advisory services platform known as FarmStack that blend farm-level data, input availability, local weather market information as well as linking extension system actors and information [11]. Similarly, in Jamaica, the Lifelong Learning for Farmers program have developed an SMS interactive service to proffer rural farmers with information and knowledge on good agricultural practices [12]. Lastly, i-Shamba (a phone-based farmer club) has been set in motion in Kenya. The new technology has backed up a call centre, where agricultural extension experts and researchers extend technical assistance to subscribers through voice call or SMS on issues related to weather, inputs, good agricultural practices and market information [13].

5. CONCLUSION

According to the study, agricultural authorities at the district and block levels had substantially greater access to and availability of practically all ICT tools, but agricultural officials at the village level had relatively restricted access to and availability of most ICT resources. ICT tools are simultaneously altering the general perspective for improving the agricultural and related sector. However, there are some limitations in the use of ICT technologies that can be fixed to advance further. The following suggestions are made to address the limitations that still affect how ICT tools are handled:

- To effectively use ICT tools, agricultural officials at all levels must receive the proper training and education.

- For the successful use of ICT tools in government offices, particularly at the block and village level, there should be a proper ICT infrastructure.
- At government offices, a consistent financial budget should be set aside for the upkeep of ICT equipment.
- Through regular trainings, it is necessary to increase the ICT tool use proficiency of staff members working at the village level.
- To facilitate smoother and more effective operations, smart phones should be made available to village level workers.
- The government should make sure that there are enough inexpensive ICT tools available to agricultural officials at all levels.
- Allocate sufficient money and financial support for the ICT instruments' purchase and implementation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No funding was there for the research work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Agwu, EA, Elizabeth, EO. Access and use of information communication technologies by women staff of public extension service in the North Central Zone of Nigeria, *Agricultural Information Worldwide*. 2013;6(1):18-24.
2. Odhiambo, Obonyo. Examining availability of ICT tools for use in enhancing teaching and learning in Secondary Schools in Rachuonyo South Sub-County, Homa-bay County, Kenya. *J. Social Sci. Res*. 2015;7:1325-1331. Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.24297/jssr.v7i2.3567>
3. Wolfert Sjaak, Ge Lan, Verdouw Cor, Bogaardt MJ. Big data in smart farming – A review. *Agricultural Systems*. 2017;153: 69-80.
4. O'Dea S. Smartphone users worldwide 2016-2021, Statista online publication; 2020.
5. Statista, 2020. Statista Inc. The Statistics Portal; 2020.
6. IFPRI. Agricultural extension;2020.

- Available:<https://www.ifpri.org/topic/agricultural-extension>
(Accessed 07 March 2021)
7. Davis K, Franzel S. Extension and advisory services in 10 developing countries: A cross-country analysis. Developing Local Extension Capacity (DLEC) Project. The US government's global hunger and food security initiatives; 2018.
 8. Francis J. Modern ICTs and rural extension: Have we reached the tipping point? Rural21 publication. Frankfurt, Germany; 2014.
 9. Sousa F, Nicolay G, Home R. Information technologies as a tool for agricultural extension and farmer-to-farmer exchange: Mobile-phone video use in Mali and Burkina Faso, IJEDICT. 2016;12(3): 19–36.
 10. Bell M. e-Afghan Ag. Content is just part of the story. Presentation at CTA's ICT4Ag International Conference, Kigali, Rwanda; 2013.
 11. Digital Green. Farm stack. A digital green web publication; 2019. Available:<https://www.digitalgreen.org/farm-stack/>
(Accessed March 2021)
 12. K'adamawe, K. Evaluation of RADA text messages on Agricultural Disaster Risk Management (ADRM). Report. Youth Crime Watch/ Office of Social Entrepreneurship, University of the West Indies, Jamaica; 2012.
 13. Tsan M, Totapally S, Hailu M, Addom B. The digitalisation of African Agriculture Report, Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation (CTA) publication. Wageningen, Netherlands; 2019.

© 2022 Sajeev and Mohapatra; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94182>*